## The Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta

Issued four times a year OCTOBER, JANUARY, MARCH, MAY

# ALFRED WESTFALL, Editor GEORGE W. FINLEY, Business Manager

Entered as second-class matter, October 20, 1924, at the postoffice at Fort Collins, Colorado, under Act August 24, 1912.

Office of Publication, Express-Courier Publishing Co., Fort Collins, Colorado.

Address all copy to Alfred Westfall, Editor Pi Kappa Delta Forensic

529 South Meldrum, Fort Collins, Colorado.

SERIES 13

JANUARY, 1928

NUMBER 3

# WHY YOUR CHAPTER SHOULD HAVE A DELEGATE AT THE SEVENTH NATIONAL CONVENTION

First: It will be one of the largest student conferences of the year. There will be over 400 delegates.

Second: It will be one of the most important and helpful forensic conferences ever held. Men of national importance in the forensic world will be there.

Third: Over 100 colleges will be represented.

Fourth: There will be national tournaments in oratory, extemporaneous speaking, and debate which will bring together the leading student speakers of the country.

Fifth: The business of the society for the next two years will be transacted. The chapter which does not share in this is reaping the benefits of the organization without contributing towards its support.

Sixth: Unless a chapter is there it will have nothing to say about the policy of the society for the next two years. The society may enact rules which a chapter may not like.

Seventh: It will select the place for the next convention. If you are not there you cannot help to hold the next Convention at a place suitable to you.

Eighth: The sacrifice and effort which sending a delegate will require will stimulate your chapter.

Ninth: No chapter that really wants to be represented needs to miss the convention. Other chapters are doing heroic things to send their delegates. Why not your chapter?

Tenth: Your delegation can carry back to your campus the benefits which will flow from the Convention and put them to work improving your own forensics. Unless you have a delegation at this convention, you will share only indirectly in the advantages coming from the largest and, we hope, the best and most serviceable conference on intercollegiate forensics ever held.

\* \* \* \*

## ARE YOU IN DANGER OF LOSING YOUR CHARTER?

HE business of II K  $\Delta$  is carried on by its national conventions which are held every two years. Without these conventions the society could not exist, for they adopt its policies, select its national officers, and direct its activities. It is therefore the duty of every chapter to attend these conventions and to take some share in the work of the organization. The chapter which does not do this is living on charity, for it receives the benefits of the efforts and sacrifices of the other chapters without contributing anything to keep the society a going concern.

Because a few chapters have not understood this and have taken the attitude that whether or not they attend is a personal matter which concerns them alone, the Fifth National Convention passed some amendments to the constitution which require a chapter to attend at least every other convention to hold its charter. This was not voted in the sense that it was a penalty on any chapter, but out of the feeling that a chapter which does not attend the conventions misses the best that the society can contribute to the betterment of its forensic activities, and the conviction that the society could not be 100 per cent efficient unless each chapter was doing its share.

The society has no desire to lose any of the chapters it has. It takes great pride in the fact that it has lost only two chapters during its life, a record which can be approached by few honorary or social fraternities. It does not feel that the obligation thus imposed is an unreasonable one. But lest any chapter should overlook this it prints below the sections of the constitution which apply to attendance on the convention. The names of the chapters required by these provisions to attend the coming convention were printed in the October Forensic.

Article 5, Division A, Section 13: Any chapter failing to have a delegate at the first national convention after the granting of its charter, or any chapter failing to have a delegate at two consecutive conventions shall have its charter suspended. Any charter thus suspended can be regranted only by action of a national convention before which some delegate of the institution appears in person.

Article 9, Section 2: All institutions petitioning for a chapter of Pi Kappa Delta shall obligate themselves to send a delegate to the first National Convention following the granting of their petition.

#### A ONE HUNDRED PER CENT CONVENTION

Every chapter should be represented at the national convention and every chapter which desires to be represented can be. Whether or not a chapter is represented does not depend upon distance, because most of the distant chapters are planning to have delegates at the Convention. It is not a matter of the size of the institution, for many of our smallest institutions have always been represented.

About twenty chapters have given benefit plays to raise convention funds. One sold tickets for a benefit motion picture play in cooperation with a local theatre. The institution in some cases has appropriated a sufficient sum to send the delegation. One chapter brought Edwin Markham to the campus for an evening program. A musical program was produced by another. The delegates in many of the chapters were required to pay a part of the expenses themselves.

All that has been necessary is the desire of the chapter to send a delegate. Ways and means are easily found. The very effort of raising the fund is a stimulus to the chapter. Every chapter should want to put its own institution in touch with what is best in intercollegiate forensics. It will certainly be rewarded for the effort.

#### SEND US YOUR COLLEGE PAPER



NATIONAL organization must keep in touch with its chapters. One of the best services it can render is to keep the chapters in touch with each other. Each one then can learn what the others are doing and profit accordingly. It costs some organizations a

large sum of money to do this thru the more expensive means of traveling secretaries and elaborate reports. An investigation made a few years ago by another honorary organization disclosed the fact that  $P \times \Delta$  has maintained a larger chapter roll with fewer suspended chapters and at a much lower expense than any other similar organization which was investigated. Our national organization has no visiting secretaries and requires no regular or burdensome reports. At slight expense and with little bother to the individual chapters it keeps in touch with their activities thru the medium of the college paper.

In order to preserve this line of contact with its chapters, the society wrote into its constitution a clause requiring each chapter to supply the editor of the FORENSIC with its college paper. (National Constitution, Article V, Division A, Section 15, page 11.) Since the first of the year the editor has been sending out letters to the chapters notifying them that they should send their papers. A fine of a dollar a month is assessed against those chapters failing to comply with this requirement. The national society wishes to avoid these fines. They do not atone for the break in contact and are only employed as a means of emphasizing this clause of the constitution.

It is assumed that no chapter wilfully disregards this obligation to supply its college paper. Sometimes a notice is turned in to the college editor, but the FORENSIC does not get on the mailing list. When a fine notice is sent, the chapter is surprised to learn that the paper is not being received.

While the college paper furnishes the most regular and satisfactory method of keeping in touch with the forensic activities of each institution, the FORENSIC does not wish to insist that the paper be sent if the chapters find this difficult. It is willing to accept other sources of forensic news. If a chapter will agree to supply the editor once a month with a letter giving the news, the requirements of the constitution will be considered as satisfied.

No papers were received from the following chapters during either November or December and the fines against them for each of these months have been reported to the National Treasurer and must be paid into his office.

Arkansas

Beta, Ouachita.

California

Beta, Occidental.

Alpha, Illinois Wesleyan. Delta, Bradley.

Kansas

Epsilon, Wichita.

Michigan

Zeta, Detroit.

Minnesota Beta, St. Olaf.

Missouri Alpha, Westminster.

Nebraska

Alpha, Wesleyan.

North Carolina

Beta, Wake Forest.

Ohio

Alpha, Baldwin-Wallace.

Delta, Akron.

Oklahoma

Alpha, Agricultural College. Beta, Tulsa. Zeta, Oklahoma Women.

Tennessee

Beta, Maryville.

Zeta, North Texas Normal.

Wisconsin

Beta, Carroll.

The following chapters evidently had the Forensic on the mailing list of their college paper at the first of the year, but it has since been dropped, as no papers were received during December.

Illinois

Beta, Eureka.

Indiana

Alpha, Franklin.

Iowa Mu, Drake.

Kansas Beta, Washburn.

Kentucky

Beta, Centre.

Minnesota Epsilon, St. Thomas.

Missouri Beta, Park. Montana

Beta, State.

Ohio

Delta, Hiram. Zeta, Marietta.

South Carolina

Alpha, Wofford. Gamma, Newberry.

South Dakota Alpha-Wesleyan.

Wisconsin

Alpha, Ripon.

In some cases the trouble may arise because the college paper is incorrectly addressed or sent to some other national officer. It should be mailed to

Alfred Westfall, Editor The Forensic 529 S. Meldrum Fort Collins, Colorado.

#### **CONVENTION COMMITTEES**

Chapter: W. H. Veatch, Chairman, 706 Campus, Pullman, Washington.

Interchapter Relations: J. D. Menchhofer, Michigan State College, East Lansing.

Constitutional Amendments: Charles A. Marsh, Chairman, University of California, Southern Branch; Professor H. B. Summers, Kansas State Agricultural College; P. Casper Harvey, William Jewell College.

If you have any suggestions which should go to these committees, send them in now so that the committees may have plenty of time to consider them.

#### OUR NATIONAL CONSTITUTION

Our National Constitution is our highest law. It outlines the conditions under which we operate. Upon its provisions depends our welfare as a national organization. Our Constitution should not be tampered with nor amended without due respect for its authority and importance.

However, the society has never hesitated to correct or modify its constitution when new conditions have demanded a change. It may be that it should again be amended at the coming Convention.

Each chapter is requested to study the National Constitution carefully to see what changes, if any, should be made. Any proposed amendments should be sent to Professor Charles A. Marsh, chairman of the Constitutional Amendment committee, as soon as possible in order to give his committee sufficient time to study them.

His address is given elsewhere in this issue.

WATCH US GROW

# The last membership card, No. 7491, was issued to Miss Thelma Pearl Chitwood, North Texas State Normal College. Miss Ruby Walker of the same institution purchased the last key, No. 5242.

#### THE PERSONNEL OF THE DEBATE TEAMS

"Can we enter a team for the affirmative and another for the negative if we have the men at the Convention, or must each team debate both sides of the question?" writes one of our debate coaches. In order to be fair to all, the national council has ruled that each team must be prepared to debate both sides of the question and the drawing will be seeded after the first preliminary to compel a team on each debate to take the side opposite to the one it last debated as far as this is possible.

An institution can enter more than one team if it desires to. Also, the personnel of the team may be changed for any debate, but these changes must be announced before the side for the debate is drawn in order to prevent a coach using certain men for one side of the question and others for the other side. This provision is to take care of the coach who has three or more men at the tournament and who wishes to give each one an opportunity to debate. It is the desire of the national council to make it possible for the maximum number of people, to take part in the speech contests.

\* \* \* \*

## DO NOT ENTER MORE THAN ONE LINE OF COMPETITION

Because there will be a large number entered in the different contests of the Convention, and because it will be difficult to get thru all of the preliminaries and finals in the time allowed, it will be necessary to schedule contests in the various events at the same time. This will make it practically impossible for one person to compete in more than one branch of forensics. With the competition as keen as it will be, it is unlikely that a contestant can make a good showing in more than one line. The physical demands alone on those who go thru the preliminaries and finals which will be crowded into the few days of the Convention make it too much for one individual to compete in more than one line. In case one person is entered in say both oratory and debate, and two contests are scheduled at the same time, the program cannot be held up and the contestant will be compelled to forfeit in the contest he cannot attend.

For this reason delegates are advised not to enter more than one contest.

\* \* \* \*

### HELP FOR THE EXTEMPORANEOUS SPEAKER

All who are planning to enter extemporaneous speaking contests should have a copy of the booklet on "Self-cultivation in Extemporaneous Speaking," by Professor Glenn Cark of Minnesota Alpha, Macalester College. It is the classic in its field, brief, logical and helpful. The book is well known, widely used, and highly recommended. It is published by the Minnesota Alpha chapter of Pi Kappa Delta and sells for twenty-five cents.

## RECENT BOOKS REVIEWED

Intercollegiate Debates, Volume VIII. Edited by Egbert Ray Nichols. New York, Noble & Noble, 1927. Pp. VIII-465. \$2.50.

Those who are familiar with the first volumes of the series of Intercollegiate Debates edited by Professor Nichols will be glad to know that he has resumed their publication after the interruption which followed the war. Professor Nichols' long acquaintance with intercollegiate forensics and his contact with debating thru his connection with Pi Kappa Delta, of which he was the principal founder and is still one of the national officers, as well as his previous experience in editing debates, make him one of the best qualified men in the country for this task. This recent volume is well up to the standard he has maintained in the others.

This volume contains the complete affirmative, negative, and rebuttal speeches of nine debates. They deal with recent debate questions. Prohibition, Child Labor (two debates). Recognition of the Soviet Government, The Power of the Supreme Court to Declare Legislation Unconstitutional, Japanese Immigration, League of Nations, Declaration of War by Popular Vote, and Uniform Marriage and Divorce Laws. There is a good bibliography with each debate.

The debates selected are all good examples of intercollegiate debating. The two on Child Labor are the debates which won the men's and women's tournament at the national Pi Kappa Delta tournament. The one on the League of Nations was between Oxford and Kansas State Agricultural College. The others have been selected because they have won in district or state conferences, or because of the high standard of debating maintained by the colleges giving them. It is interesting from the standpoint of Pi Kappa Delta to note that Oxford, Willamette, and the University of British Columbia are the only institutions represented which do not have chapters of this society.

While this volume should be praised for its judicious and comprehensive selection of debate questions, it can be censured for its lack of geographical distribution. England and Canada are represented, but only seven of our own states. Kansas institutions appear in four debates and California colleges in three. Wisconsin, Illinois, South Dakota. Texas, and Oregon are the other states which appear. Without going into any question of the relative merits of debating in various states, it seems only fair to state that more cosmopolitian representation would give the volume a wider appeal, and make it a more accurate cross section of debating thruout the nation without lowering its standard.

This volume should be placed on the shelves of the libraries of all colleges seriously attempting to help their students in forensics by keeping them in touch with the best debating.

How to Win an Argument. By Richard C. Borden and Alvin C. Busse. New York, Harper and Brothers. 1926. Pp. 166. \$2.

This book is unique in that it attempts the application of the principles of argumentation rather than their exposition. It sets forth six very elementary principles of argumentation and then presents two versions of fictious arguments; in the first version these principles are ignored and the argument ends in failure; in the revised version they are followed to astonishing success. These dialogs which constitute the chief part of the book display considerable interest and ingenuity.

The book is divided into three sections. The first twenty pages give a statement of principles. The next one hundred show how a poor argument can be improved when the principles recommended are employed. The final section gives exercises for practice.

This book will commend itself more to the man outside of educational circles than to the serious student of argumentation. The six principles it is based on are of such an elementary nature as to belong as much to the province of courtesy as to the field of the argument. Don't do all the talking, don't interrupt, avoid a belligerent attitude, inquire before you attack, restate your opponent's argument in your own words, and avoid digressions are worth while suggestions, but some of them are matters of good taste. A clean face and neat clothes will also help to win arguments.

This book combines good suggestions on etiquette and argument and will fit into the office better than the classroom.

\* \* \* \*

The Art of Argument. By Harold F. Graves and Carle B. Spotts. New York, Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1927. Pp. 1x 298. \$2.

An attempt to give a broad application of the art of argumentation rather than an intensive study of its principles is the justification for this book offered by its authors. It opens with a general survey of the problems of the argument and ends with the problem of getting action. The treatment of the subject matter is clear and easily grasped. It is an attempt to popularize and render more accessible the abstract principles contained in more elaborate treatises on the subject.

The book begins with the usual analysis of the problem, treats of the discovery of evidence and its employment, and takes up the problem of presentation and the task of securing action. Each chapter is followed with a set of exercises devised to afford training in the application of the principles studied. It is well written and easily read.

If one accepts the definition with which it begins, the rest follows. But the student of argumentation would probably not admit that "argument is the art of influencing other persons to accept our beliefs, or to act upon the basis of such beliefs." In actual practice, argument is too often this very thing. That is one reason why so much argument is fruitless. Theoretically the justification of argument must be in its effort to discover the

truth. While these definitions may lie close together, they lead by diverging paths to results that are wide apart. In a textual treatment of such a subject it is necessary that the right start be made.

This text is well adapted to classroom use as it is based on the experience of several years in the teaching of argumentation. It will prove of most value to the teacher of a brief course combining theory and practice, public speaking and argumentation.

\* \* \* \*

#### WHAT IS A GOOD SPEECH?

#### DARTMOUTH COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SPEAKING—HANOVER, N. H.

Dean William A. Hamilton, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Va. Dear Sir:

I thank you for the invitation to write an article for the *Scroll*; but find nothing on my chest pressing for utterance. I fear I shall have to decline. I am sure the Editor must have a preference for articles with some meat in them.

How would it do to start a debate on what is a good speech? I have nothing to contribute, but should like to read the discussion. Rather late I have awakened to the fact that I do not know the answer; but simply know when I like a speech. The point was forced upon us by an advanced student who pressed home the fact that Burke rarely was on the winning side. The answer is obvious; but that question remains, How can we tell that a given speech is good?

There are teachers, rather more given to spontaneous combustion than I, who might reel off answers in short order. Yours very truly.

J. A. WINANS.

March 14, 1927.

-The Literary Scroll of Phi Delta Gamma.

Frank Watson won the extempore contest at Redlands for the second time and will again represent California Alpha in the state contest.—The U. of R. Campus.

Interclass debates between both the men and the women of the freshman and sophomore classes are held at Redlands. This year the question discussed was, Resolved, that a nation with an advanced civilization is justified in forcing its civilization on an inferior people. The negative won in both debates.—The U. of R. Campus.

Professor Nichols, national historian, took one of the leading parts in the  $\Pi$  K  $\Delta$  play produced at California Alpha.—The U of R, Campus.

Redlands co-eds proved that the influence of modern advertising is detrimental to public welfare against the opposition of Pomona.— $The\ U.$  of  $R.\ Campus.$ 

The AT $\Omega$  fraternity won the interfraternity debating tournament at Iowa Epsilon.—The Simpsonian.

Western State debaters have scheduled debates with North Dakota and Wyoming universities.—Top O' the World.

Colorado Beta produced "The Patsy" two nights for a Convention fund. The play was well attended.—Teachers' College Mirror.

Miss Harriet Smith won the Cleaver debate medal which is annually awarded to the best debater at the College of Idaho.—The College Coyote.

Upper Iowa and Luther divided a duel debate on the  $\Pi$  K  $\Delta$  question. The home team, the negative in each case, won the audience decision.—

The Collegian.

Roger Dooley, the scoring star of the Iowa Football Conference, won the oratorical contest at Iowa Eta with the oration The New Patriotism.—

The Upper Iowa Collegian.

A series of women's intersociety debates at Morningside on the II K  $\Delta$  question resulted in an even break between the affirmative and negative.—

The Collegian Reporter.

Upper Iowa and Luther divided a dual debate on the  $\Pi$  K  $\Delta$  question. The home team, the negative in each case, won the audience decision.—*The Collegian*.

College of the Pacific opened its forensic season with an open forum debate with the University of Nevada on a resolution declaring democracy a mistaken sentiment.—The Pacific Weekly.

The College of the Pacific met the Cambridge debaters. The question discussed was: Resolved, that the power of the press has increased, is increasing, and should be diminished.—The Pacific Weekly.

The women of the Los Angeles branch of the University of California won from Redlands, Whittier, Pomona, and Occidental in their debates on the effect of modern advertising on public welfare.—The California Grizzly.

Kansas Theta at Pittsburg staged an open forum debate with Kansas Aggies on the McNary-Haugen bill at which the debaters from fifteen or more high schools who were competing in a tournament on the same question were guests of honor.—The Collegio.

Colorado Alpha, the Aggies, will help to entertain the Colorado debating conference consisting of six Colorado institutions. The debaters will assemble in Fort Collins and Greeley for a series of debates in which each institution meets each of the others. The question of limiting college attendance and the II K  $\Delta$  question will be used.—The Rocky Mountain Collegian.

Resolved, that the foreign policy of the United States as administered under President Coolidge's term of office, with reference to Nicaragua, Mexico, and the other South American states, is not entitled to general public approval is the question in which Connecticut and Rhode Island Aggies divided honors, the negative winning in each case.—The Connecticut Campus.

Centenary is getting underway with some promising new men competing for places on her teams.—The Conglomerate.

Herbert Johnson was selected to represent Gustavus Adolphus in the state oratorical contest. — The Gustavian Weekly.

Professor C. L. Bane of College of Emporia represented Kansas Iota at the meeting of debate coaches held in Kansas City—College Life.

For the benefit of the high schools which are debating the McNary-Haugen bill in Kansas this year, Bethany and Kansas Agies staged two debates, one at Marysville and the other a radio debate over the Aggies' broadcasting station. By agreement, each team was to consist of one experienced debater and two beginners.—The Bethany Messenger.



JOHN G. FREEMAN
Minnesota Epsilon
President of the Student Body
College of St. Thomas

Michigan State is planning to meet Kansas State at Jackson under the auspices of the Jackson Chamber of Commerce and the Michigan State alumni chapter there.—Michigan State News.

Faculty and students, under the direction of the Y. M. C. A. debated the question, "Should Colleges Educate?" at Michigan State. Professor Hunt of the Zoology department and Mac Fisher, a student, argued the affirmative against Coach Barney Traynor and Tom Ramsdell, a member of the debating squad. It was a question of scholarship against activities. Judge C. B. Collingwood of the Circuit Court presided. An open forum followed the debate.—Michigan State News.

J. Harry Adams speaking on Imperialism, and Miss Alleen Gates, a freshman who spoke on Wilson's Challenge, won the men's and women's oratorical contests at Michigan State Normal.—The Normal College News.

A national organization recently gave a very comprehensive rating of the teachers colleges of the country. It is interesting that the three ranked highest were all II K  $\Delta$  institutions, Michigan Normal, Kansas Teachers of Emporia, and Colorado. Illinois Normal, another II K  $\Delta$  institution, ranked fifth. North Texas, Northern of South Dakota, and Kearney of Nebraska all appeared among the first twenty-five.—The Normal College News.

Macalester and St. Olaf debated the abolition of intercollegiate athletics in a dual no-decision debate.—The Mac Weekly.

Gustavus Adolphus opened its forensic season with a dual no-decision contest with Waldorf College on the II K  $\Delta$  question.—The Gustavian Weeklu.

Missouri Gamma has scheduled a radio debate with Missouri Wesleyan, besides twenty other debates with Missouri, Kansas, and more distant institutions.—The Central Collegian.

Phillips Beedon, who delivered the oration, "Democratic Ideal of Hero," won the oratorical contest at Macalester and will represent Minnesota Alpha in the state contest.—The Mac Weekly.

William Jewell debated Missouri University December 13 on the proposition that the Republican party be returned to power in national affairs for the next four years. The Jewell debaters supported the Republican side.

—The William Jewell Student.

Minnesota Gamma conducts a freshman oratorical contest for both the men and the women. This year "One Nation, One Language, One People," given by Margaret Benson won the women's contest, and "Big Brother" presented by Theodore LeVander won the men's.—The Gustavian Weekly.

Colorado Beta ranked fifth in scholarship among organizations on the campus of Colorado Teachers. This is a good showing when the fact that its members carried a larger average number of hours than those of any of the organizations ranking above it is taken into consideration.—The Teachers College Mirror.

Quite a number of  $\Pi$  K  $\Delta$  coaches attended the meeting of the Association of the Teachers of Speech held in Cincinnati during the holidays. Professor H. D. Hopkins of Heidelberg had a place on the program. He spoke of the influence of the honorary forensic societies. Second Vice President J. D. Menchhofer of Michigan State attended, as did also George R. R. Pflaum of Kansas Teachers of Emporia, and a number of others.

Strenuous initiations are in order at Minnesota Gamma. One neophyte stood on the steps of the main building during a rain and addressed those who would listen on "Snowbound." Five others were put in charge of the publicity for the freshman oratorical contest and were required to have two hundred present for the contest. Previously these five had been put in charge of the II K  $\Delta$  float in a parade with the promise that if they won first or second prize they would be excused from further initiation requirements.—The Gustavian Weekly.

Professor H. B. Summers of Kansas Aggies discussed the Oxford or British system of debating before the meeting of the Kansas and Missouri coaches in Kansas City recently. "The Oxford debaters say nothing effectively," he declared, "while the American debaters say something very logical, but in a manner dry." As debating in English institutions is merely an undeveloped side activity, American institutions should not be too much influenced by the British system, was his opinion. "It is not good policy to stage burlesque matches of wit and humor on trival subjects a la Oxford Union in an effort to compete with the movies for popular interest." —The Central Collegian.

Hope and Carroll debated the II K Δ question before the men's club of the First Reformed Church of Milwaukee. No decision given.—The Hope Anchor.

For their tryouts the women at Kalamazoo debated whether or not it was wise for people to attend college in the present numbers. — Kalamazoo College Index.

Kentucky Wesleyan has scheduled debates with Georgetown, Transylvania, Centre, Louisville, and probably a radio debate with Cincinnati.—The Kentucky Wesleyan.

Louisiana College is planning to tour Texas on a debating trip. It is also scheduling a debate with Millsaps College on adopting the metric system in place of our present system of weights and measures.—

The Wildcat.



DANIEL F. McLAUGHLIN Minnesota Epsilon President of the Senior Class and Players Club College of St. Thomas

Edward Betz, who delivered the oration, "The Child," won the right to represent Hastings in the state oratorical contest.—The Hastings Collegian.

North Dakota Alpha sponsored a program of vaudeville acts put on by campus organizations to raise funds for a Convention trip.—The Jamestown Collegian.

St. Thomas is planning to meet a large number of out of state institutions, including North Dakota, Illinois, Colorado, and Trinity universities.

—The Purple and Gray.

Courtland Motley won the freshman Extempore contest at Culver-Stockton. The general subject was Latin-American relationship. Motley spoke on the protection of business interests.—*The Megaphone*.

Professor P. Caspar Harvey, Missouri Delta, has signed a contract with the MacMillan Company to bring out a text in English Composition based on the work he is doing at William Jewell.—The William Jewell Student.

Albert E. Howard has been selected to represent William Jewell in oratory for the fourth time. Besides his work in oratory, he has debated twenty-three times for his college. He already holds the degree of special distinction in both oratory and debate.—The William Jewell Student.

John C. Julian, Oklahoma Delta, is now coaching debating at Larned City, Kansas.

Oklahoma Baptists are planning to send a debating team to the Convention.—The Bison.

Oregon Alpha is presenting a play to raise funds to send a team to the Convention—The Linfield Review.

Nebraska Delta plans a debate trip thru Missouri, Illinois, Kentucky, and Ohio.—The Hastings Collegian.

A number of Doane debaters attended the Cambridge-Nebraska debate on business ethics.—The Doane Owl.

McKendree reports an unusual number of people competing for both debate and oratory.—McKendree Review.

Dubuque and Iowa Teachers divided a dual on the II K  $\Delta$  question. In each case the vote of the audience was in favor of the home affirmative. —The Blue and White.

"We hope to send four debating teams and two orators to the national Convention at Tiffin." said Professor John R. Pelsma of Kansas Teachers, Pittsburg.—The Collegio.

Washburn and Kansas State opened the forensic season with a radio co-ed debate on our Latin American policy. The decision was given by the vote of the radio audience.—The Washburn Review.

Howard Payne is beginning a strenuous forensic season which will end with a trip to the national Convention. It opened the season with a no-decision contest with Texas A. and M. on the  $\Pi$  K  $\Delta$  question.—Howard Paune Yellow Jacket.

Drake met Cambridge debaters on the question of business ethics. The British trio succeeded in convincing the audience that our business ethics are not morally sound. The Iowa Mu chapter entertained after the debate and found the Englishmen very charming guests.—The Drake Graphic.

In Pennsylvania Geneva, Westminster, and Grove City are planning to have all teams debate away from home in their triangle. The president of each college will appoint three members of the faculty to act as judges of the debate between the teams from the other two institutions.—The Collegian.

Through the cooperation of the Evening Huronite and the college speech department, a series of public debates will be inaugurated soon after the holidays. The debates, five in number, will be sponsored by the Evening Huronite and arranged by Professor Hazard. Five different questions, all of vital interest to Huron and her citizens, will be chosen for the debates, and the public will be invited to participate in each discussion. Introductory speeches on both sides of the question will be prepared by members of the college debate squad, which will be divided into two sections. To the group winning the majority of the five debates, the Huronite will present a suitable loving cup for its accomplishment. Each decision will be rendered by a vote of the audience.—The Huron Alphomega.

Puget Sound is planning a debate trip thru California.—The Puget Sound Trail.

Texas Christian is planning to send a debate team to the Convention.

—The Skiff.

Marion Nollen will represent Iowa Beta in oratory in the state contest.

—The Central Ray.

Grove City is planning to send a debate team to the national Convention.—The Collegian.

South Dakota State is offering prizes and planning a tournament to select the best debater of the school.—The Industrial Collegian.

Professor F. W. Lambertson of Dakota Wesleyan was elected president of the South Dakota forensic association.—The Phreno Cosmian.

Mr. Longstaff, one of the leading attorneys of Huron, addressed the South Dakota Beta squad on making briefs.—The Huron Alphomega.

The Texas Delta chapter during a chapel meeting presented keys to those who had earned them last year.—Howard Payne Yellow Jacket.

Ted Schultz, former debater at South Dakota State, is now taking graduate work at the University of Wisconsin.—The Industrial Collegian.

Arthur Larson, a freshman, won the local oratorical contest at Augustana. He spoke on "The Indifference of a Nation."—The Augustana Mirror.

Southwestern is entering on what promises to be one of its best forensic years. The tryouts discovered a good supply of forensic talent.—The Megaphone.

Texas Eta, the new chapter to be installed this month at North Texas State Normal, is planning to send an orator and a debate team to the National Convention.

Elmer Mortensen and Albert Kranz won the prizes offered for the best individual debaters at South Dakota State. Archie Higdon was third.

—The Industrial Collegian.

Augustana and South Dakota State plan to broadcast their debate on the  $\Pi$  K $\Delta$  question which will be given March 15 before the parent teachers association of Dell Rapids.—The Augustana Mirror.

Lester Boone, Lloyd Armstrong, and Fred Erisman represented Texas Christian against Cambridge. The question was, "Resolved, that this house thinks that the ethics of the business world are incompatible with sound morality."—The Skiff.

The Bates College debaters, backed by a seven thousand dollar fund raised by popular subscription thru the cooperation of Portland newspapers, are about to start on a trip around the world. They will visit Hawaii, Philippines, New Zealand, Australia, South Africa, and England. Bates was the first college to invade England. This trip will help to continue its prominence in forensics.

Miss Mary Lee Huff, who will speak in the IIK  $\Delta$  national tournament, will have the honor of being the first woman to represent William Jewell in an oratorical contest. Miss Bonnie Jones will be its representative in the extempore contest. Catherine Hunt will be one of the debaters.—The William Jewell Student.

Paul J. Simons for the second time won the fifteen dollar Flannagan debate prize at South Dakota Gamma. Second prize of ten dollars was awarded to Robert Pearson.—The Yankton Studeent.

Kendrick Grobel won the right to represent Yankton in the state extempore contest.—The Yankton Student.

Is the American home passing, is the general topic selected for the men's extempore contest in Iowa this year.—The Portfolio.

Coe is planning to send two teams to the national Convention.—The Coe College Cosmos.

# If you are planning to compete in the contests at the national convention you will want to study the winning speeches of the last

## VOLUME I, II K A DEBATE ANNUAL

Winning Intercollegiate Debates and Orations, volume I of what we expect to make a regular publication, is now on sale. It was published during the summer. In it appear the debates, orations, and extempore speeches which won the national contests at Estes Park. Every chapter of  $\Pi K \Delta$  and every library in a  $\Pi K \Delta$  institution should have a copy. Each chapter should ask its library to order one. Individuals will want copies. Order now. The price is one dollar. All orders should be addressed to

G. W. FINLEY, National Treasurer Colorado Teachers College Greeley, Colorado