


The Forensic

of Pi Kappa Delta

Published since 1915

Index

A Partial Index to Research and Opinion Articles in the *Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta*, 1915-2001



Pi Kappa Delta National Forensic Honorary Society

National Council

SCOTT JENSEN, PRESIDENT

School of Communication, Webster University
St. Louis, MO 63119 314-968-7439

GLENDA TREADAWAY, PRESIDENT ELECT

Communication, Appalachian State University
Boone, NC 28608 704-262-2222

BILL HILL, SECRETARY-TREASURER

Communication Studies, University of North Carolina-Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28223 704-547-4217

JOEL HEFFLING, IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Communication, South Dakota State University
Brooking, SD 57007 605-688-4390

MICHAEL BARTANEN, EDITOR OF THE FORENSIC

Communication and Theatre, Pacific Lutheran University
Tacoma, WA 98447 253-535-7130

SUSAN MILLSAP, NATIONAL COUNCIL

Speech Communication, Otterbein College
Westerville, OH 43081 614-823-3386

MARK HICKMAN, NATIONAL COUNCIL

Communication Studies, West Chester University
West Chester, PA 19383 610-436-6942

EDDIE SKAGGS, NATIONAL COUNCIL

Communication, Cameron University
Lawton, OK 73505 580-581-2555

BROOK SMITH, NATIONAL COUNCIL

Communication, Boise State University
Boise, ID 83725

RICARDO RUST, NATIONAL COUNCIL

School of Communication, Webster University
St. Louis, MO 63119

R. DAVID RAY, HISTORIAN

PO Box 2882, University of Arkansas-Monticello
Monticello, AR 71655 501-460-1078

NATIONAL OFFICE - PI KAPPA DELTA

125 Watson St., P.O. Box 38
Ripon, WI 54971

Phone: 920-748-7533
Fax: 920-748-9478

Series 86
Number 2
Winter 2001

The Forensic

of Pi Kappa Delta

Index

A Partial Index to Research and Opinion Articles in the *Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta*, 1915-2001

The *Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta* invites authors to submit manuscripts related to scholarship, pedagogy, research, and administration in competitive and non-competitive speech and debate. The Editorial Board will consider manuscripts employing any appropriate methodology and is particularly interested in historical-critical studies in forensics and forensics education. Manuscripts submitted by undergraduate students and previously unpublished scholars will also receive serious consideration.

The journal reflects the values of its supporting organization. *Pi Kappa Delta* is committed to promoting "*the art of persuasion, beautiful and just.*" The journal seeks to promote serious scholarly discussion of issues connected to making competitive and non-competitive debate and individual events a powerful tool for teaching students the skills necessary for becoming articulate citizens. The journal seeks essays reflecting perspectives from all current debate and individual events forms, including, but not limited to: NDT, CEDA, NEDA, Parliamentary, Lincoln-Douglas debate; and NIET, NFA and non-traditional individual events.

Reviews of books and other educational materials will be published periodically. Potential reviewers are invited to contact the editor regarding the choice of materials for review.

All works must be original and not under review by other publishers. Authors should submit three print copies conforming to APA (4th ed.) guidelines plus a PC-compatible disk version. Manuscripts should not exceed 25 double-spaced typed pages, exclusive of tables and references; book and educational material reviews should be between 4-5 double-spaced pages. Submitted manuscripts will not be returned. The title page should include the title, author(s), corresponding address and telephone number. The second page should include an abstract of 75-100 words. The text of the manuscript (including its title) should begin on the next page, with the remaining pages numbered consecutively. Avoid self-identification in the text of the manuscript. Notes and references should be typed double-spaced on pages following the text of the manuscript. Tables should be clearly marked regarding their placement in the manuscript.

Manuscripts should be submitted to the editor: Michael Bartanen, Department of Communication and Theatre, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, WA 98447. 253-535-7764. BARTANMD@PLU.EDU. Authors will have an editorial decision within three months.

Review Editors

Sandra Alspach, Ferris State University
David Frank, University of Oregon
Steve Hunt, Lewis & Clark College
Jaime Meyer, University of Mary
C. Thomas Preston, Missouri-St. Louis

Jeff Gentry, Southwestern Oklahoma
Glenn Kuper, University of Puget Sound
Mabry O'Donnell, Marietta College
Glenda Treadaway, Appalachian State
Andrew Billings, Clemson University

THE FORENSIC OF PI KAPPA DELTA (ISSN: 0015-735X) is published four times yearly, Fall, Winter, Spring and Summer by Pi Kappa Delta Fraternal Society. Subscription price is part of membership dues. For alumni and non-members the rate is \$30.00 for one year, \$60.00 for two years and \$75.00 for three years. Second class postage paid at Ripon, WI. Postmaster and subscribers: please send all changes of address requests to: PKD, 125 Watson Street, P.O. Box 38, Ripon, WI 54971. THE FORENSIC OF Pi Kappa Delta is also available on 16 mm microfilm, 35 mm microfilm, or 100 mm microfilm through University Microfilms International, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

A Partial Index to Research and Opinion Articles in the Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta, 1915-2001

This index contains a partial index of research and opinion articles in the Forensic. For the majority of it's history, the journal also published information about individual chapters, national convention invitations and results, and information about the organization. That information is not included in this index, but would be appropriately indexed separately at some future time. The index is organized alphabetically by author and a general subject index, indexed to individual articles numbers in the far right column is found after the index.

| | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 1 | Acland, R. T. | English Style Debating | 15 (1930) 3, |
| 2 | Adams, T and Wood, A | The Emerging Role of the World Wide-Web in Forensics: On Computer Mediated Research and Community Development | 81 (1996) 4, 21-35 |
| 3 | Adams, T | The Unfair Burden Presented by Database Access Deficiency: Concerning LEXIS-NEXIS and Forensics Research | 81 (1996) 4, 39-46 |
| 4 | Adams, W. C. and Cox, E. S. | Pi Kappa Delta's Leadership Role in Competitive Debate: Toward Fairness, Civility and Accessibility | 80 (1995) 4, 38-56 |
| 5 | Ahart, J | Burn the Ballots and Spin the Wheel | 40 (1955) 3, 67-69 |
| 6 | Ahrendts, H. L. | To What Statement of General Objectives of Education Should Speech People Subscribe | 39 (1954) 2, 43-45 |
| 7 | Ahrendts, H. L. | American Debating Societies Below the Secondary Level | 45 (1960) 4, 6-10 |
| 8 | Alexander, B. G. | Negroes Can Think As Well as Sing | 24 (1939) 2, 42-48 |
| 9 | Allen, M, Berkowitz, S and Loudon A | A Study Comparing the Impact of Communication Classes and Competitive Forensic Experience on Critical Thinking Improvement | 81 (1995) 1, 1-8 |
| 10 | Anonymous | Shall We Admit New Argument in Refutation? The Affirmative | 11 (1925) 1, 20-21 |
| 11 | Anonymous | The Debate Over Debate | 47 (1962) 2, 3 |
| 12 | Anonymous | Fifty Years of Questions | 47 (1962) 2, 4-7 |
| 13 | Ansbury, M | As Others Hear Us | 24 (1938) 1, 1-4, 12 |
| 14 | Ashmore, T. M. | The Role of Audience Analysis in Contemporary Debate | 67 (1981) 1, 21-27 |
| 15 | Aude, G | Dignity | 16 (1931) 3, |
| 16 | Baccus, J | Unique Values of Competitive Debate | 23 (1938) 3, 81-85 |
| 17 | Baird, A. C. | Shall American Universities Adopt the British System of Debating | 9 (1924) 4, |
| 18 | Baird, A. C. | Salvaging Debate | 15 (1930) 4, |
| 19 | Baird, A. C. | The Educator Looks at Debate | 16 (1930) 2, |
| 20 | Baird, J. E. | Try Conference Debate | 55 (1970) 2, 5-7 |
| 21 | Baird, J. E. | Attorney Judges: A Communication Problem for Debaters | 56 (1971) 2, 3-7 |
| 22 | Baker, J. T. | Value of Debate Tournaments | 16 (1931) 3, |
| 23 | Baker, J. T. | Planning and Working the Debate Tournament | 17 (1932) 3, |

| | | | |
|----|---|--|--------------------|
| 24 | Baker, R. T. | ...Shall Provide Qualified Judges | 44 (1959) 3, 67-68 |
| 25 | Ballard-Reisch, D. S. | Let Us Entertain You: Service Programs for Individual Events | 74 (1989) 4, 1-5 |
| 26 | Ballard-Reisch, D. S. and Reisch, R. J. | A Beginners Guide for Persuasive Speaking | 76 (1990) 1, 1-6 |
| 27 | Barger, J | Literary Societies at the Kansas State Agricultural College | 7 (1922) 4, 58-59 |
| 28 | Bartanen, K. M. | Argument Analysis as a National Event | 75 (1989) 1, 1-6 |
| 29 | Bartanen, K. M. | Imagining Otherwise: A Response to Broda-Bahm | 79 (1994) 4, 38-41 |
| 30 | Bartanen, K. M. and Hanson, J | Advocating Humane Discourse | 80 (1994) 1, 16-21 |
| 31 | Bartanen, K. M. | A Preliminary Assessment of the Professional Climate of Forensic Education, Part I | 81 (1996) 4, 1-21 |
| 32 | Bartanen, K. M. | A Preliminary Assessment of the Professional Climate of Forensic Education | 82 (1996) 1, 1-15 |
| 33 | Bartanen, K. M. | Keynote Address: Reclaiming the Citizen-Orator in the Mission and Practice of Forensic Education | 82 (1997) 4, 2-9 |
| 34 | Bartanen, K. M. | The Place of the Forensics Program in the Liberal Arts College of the Twenty-first Century: An Essay in Honor of Larry E. Norton | 84 (1998) 1, 1-16 |
| 35 | Bartanen, M. D. | The Criteria for a Good CEDA Debate Resolution | 67 (1981) 1, 16-19 |
| 36 | Bartanen, M.D. and Frank, D. A. | Creating Procedural Distinctions Between Value and Policy Debate: The Issues-Agenda Model | 69 (1983), 1, 1-9 |
| 37 | Bartanen, M.D. | Topicality in Value Debate: A Comment | 69 (1983) 1, 17-20 |
| 38 | Bartanen, M. D. | The Case for Regulation of Forensic Transfers | 73 (1988) 2, 1-7 |
| 39 | Bartanen, M. D. | The National CEDA Assessment Conference: Where We Are; Where We've Been; Where We Hope to Go | 77 (1992) 4, 16-19 |
| 40 | Bartanen, M. D. | The Educational Objectives of the Guild of American Forensic Educators | 78 (1993) 2, 1-11 |
| 41 | Bartanen, M. D. | The Forensic Outcomes Assessment Project: Can We Measure the Benefits of Forensics to Students? | 79 (1994) 2, 26-27 |
| 42 | Battin, C. T. | The Value of Debating | 39 (1954) 3, |
| 43 | Battin, C. T. | Sweepstakes Trophies, A Surplus Commodity | 39 (1954) 4, 101 |
| 44 | Bauer, M. H. | Select Sweepstakes of the Cross-Examination Debate Association: A First Year Analysis | 84 (1999) 3, 25-32 |
| 45 | Beagle, R | Whatever Happened to Philosophy? | 61 (1975) 1, 8-10 |
| 46 | Beasley, D. J. | Winning: A Lay Judge Lays It on the Line | 59 (1974) 3, 10-11 |
| 47 | Beasley, M | The Educational Value of Forensics | 64 (1979) 2, 20-23 |
| 48 | Bednerick, M | Give Me A "For Instance" | 49 1964) 2, 2-3 |
| 49 | Beecher, H | Abraham Lincoln: A Tribute | 17 (1932) 3, |
| 50 | Beh, R. F. | What's Funny? | 49 (1964) 4, 2-7 |
| 51 | Bennett, C. H. | NFL Conference on State of Debate: Are Debate Handbooks Proper Educational Materials for the High Schools Debaters? | 71 (1985) 1, 13-18 |
| 52 | Benoit, W. L. | Response to Hahn and Gustainis | 68 (1983) 3, 3-5 |
| 53 | Bernabo, L. M. | Homology and Catachresis in the Sociobiology Debate | 68 (1983) 3, 29-38 |

| | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 54 | Bertston, F | Forensics in Europe: A Lost Art | 46 (1960) 1, 3-5 |
| 55 | Beveridge, A. J. | Public Speaking | 15 (1929) 2, |
| 56 | Betz, E. S. | A Study of Debate Standards | 25 (1940) 4, 108-112, 181 |
| 57 | Betz, E. S. | The Preliminary Rounds in Oratory | 26 (1941) 3, |
| 58 | Betz, E. S. | Evaluation of the Intercollegiate Forensic Program | 31 (1946) 4, 95-98 |
| 59 | Billings, A. C. | He Said, She Said: An Analysis of Judging Differences Between the Sexes | 84 (1999) 2, 1-8 |
| 60 | Billings, A.C. | Increasing Diversity in the 21st Century: Minority Participation in Competitive Individual Events | 85 (2000) 4, 1-9 |
| 61 | Black, J | A Basis for Speech Study | 35 (1950) 3, |
| 62 | Bliese, J. R. | Inherency, Largely a Bogus Issue | 64 (1979) 4, 5-9 |
| 63 | Bluhm, J | Women Lawyers on Wall Street | 30 (1945) 4, 82-85 |
| 64 | Blumenthal, H. J. | Understanding and Cooperation Fostered by International Debate | 16 (1931) 3, |
| 65 | Bolme, J | The Utility of Argument | 41 (1956) 3, 77-78 |
| 66 | Bormann, E. F. | A Need for the Need for Argument | 42 (1956) 1, 4-5 |
| 67 | Bosley, P. B. | The College Argumentation and Debate Course: Applications to Mass Communication and Public Relations | 65 (1979) 1, 4-8 |
| 68 | Boss, G | Original Poetry: Emotion Recollected in Tranquility or Sentiment Dressed in Cliches? | 64 (1979) 3, 8-12 |
| 69 | Bourhis, J. S. and Burtis, J. O. | A Response to a Voice Crying in the Wilderness | 66 (1981) 2, 22-24 |
| 70 | Bourhis, J. S. | The Buck Stops Here | 67 (1982) 3, 14-15 |
| 71 | Bourhis, J. S. | Regulating the Debate Process | 68 (1982) 1, 6-9 |
| 72 | Bowman, G. L. | College Forensics Helps Women to Enter Radio | 28 (1943) 4, |
| 73 | Bowman, G. L. | Report on Budget Study | 52 (1966) 1, 8-9 |
| 74 | Brack, H. A. | Pre-Ministerial Students Need Forensic Training | 43 (1958) 2, 35 |
| 75 | Braden, W. O. | The Magic of a Title | 32 (1946) 1, |
| 76 | Brady, G | Supporting Materials | 60 (1974) 1, 11-12 |
| 77 | Brand, J. D. | Locating the Pedagogical and Practical in Collegiate Lincoln-Douglas | 83 (1998) 2, 1-8 |
| 78 | Brand, J. D. | Advancing the Discipline: The Role of Forensics in the Communication Field | 86 (2000) 1, 1-14 |
| 79 | Brewer, R. and Willett, T | Debate Via Telelecture | 56 (1970) 1, 3-5, 30 |
| 80 | Brewer, W. F. | Notes on the History of Extemporaneous Speaking | 28 (1943) 2, |
| 81 | Brick, A | A Suggestion for the Improvement of Debate Judging | 6 (1921) 4, |
| 82 | Broda-Bahm, K. T. | Community Concepts of Argumentative Legitimacy: Challenging Norms in Nation-Circuit CEDA Debate | 79 (1994) 3, 26-35 |
| 83 | Broda-Bahm, K. T. | A Broader Range of Argumentative Response: Broda-Bahm Responds to His Critics | 79 (1994) 4, 46-52 |
| 84 | Brooks, W | Needed: A Rationale For Debate That is Potent | 47 (1962) 3, 6-7 |
| 85 | Brownlee, D. R. | The Educational Value of Forensics | 64 (1979) 2, 18-20 |
| 86 | Brownlee, D. R. | Advocacy and Values | 65 (1980) 2, 4-5, 7 |
| 87 | Brownlee, D. R. | Communication Training for a Career in Law | 67 (1981) 1, 10-11 |
| 88 | Brownlee, D. R. | Debate Downunder: Argumentation in New Zealand and Australia | 71 (1985) 1, 1-4 |
| 89 | Brownlee, D. R. | Broad Topics as Debate Entry Barriers: The Effects of Cognitive Development and Tolerance for Ambiguity | 71 (1986) 2, 29-33 |

| | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 90 | Brownlee, D. R. and Fielding, I | An Index to the Forensic | 72 (1987) 3, 82-90 |
| 91 | Brushke, J and Johnson, A | A Response to Shelton | 81 (1996) 3, 22-24 |
| 92 | Bryan, W. L. | On the Unreliability of the Human Memory | 16 (1931) 3, |
| 93 | Buehler, E. C. | Conducting Debate Try-Outs | 10 (1924) 2, 4-6 |
| 94 | Burnett, N. F. | Fiddling While Rome Burns: Organizational Politics and the Future of Academic Debate | 82 (1996) 1, 38-42 |
| 95 | Burrows, A. H. | Forensics and Scholarship | 25 (1940) 2, 33-38 |
| 96 | Burrows, A. H. | Competition in Forensics | 26 (1941) 3, |
| 97 | Burrows, H. M. | Training for Democracy | 27 (1942) 2, 41-43 |
| 98 | Burt, J. M. | Parliamentary Procedure as Law | 59 (1974) 3, 5-8 |
| 99 | Bury, J. B. | Memoria: Necessary Adjunct to Impromptu Speaking | 84 (1999) 2, 29-39 |
| 100 | Bush-Haffey, D | A Problem-Solving Analysis of Women in Debate | 81 (1996) 3, 1-13 |
| 101 | Cable, W. A. | Western Teachers of Speech Organize | 15 (1930) 3, |
| 102 | Camp, L | Extemp Speaking | 50 (1964) 1, 17-18 |
| 103 | Capp, G. R. | Debating the Affirmative | 40 (1955) 2, 44-47 |
| 104 | Carleton, W. G. | Benefits of Debating | 35 (1949) 1, |
| 105 | Carlile, C. S. | Ask the Men Who Were There | 49 (1963) 1, 9-14 |
| 106 | Carver, C. R. | The Role of the Ex-Forensics Director as Mentor | 78 (1993) 4, 17-22 |
| 107 | Cathcart, R | Group Discussion as a Competitive Forensic Event | 41 (1956) 4, 102-104 |
| 108 | Caulkins, S | After Dinner Speaking | 40 (1955) 3, 69-70 |
| 109 | Chapel, R and Cariker, G | A Debate Code of Ethics | 47 (1961) 1, 6-7 |
| 110 | Chenoweth, E | Debate Judging Ballot | 32 (1946) 1, |
| 111 | Christopherson, M.G. | If Judges Can't Agree - Make Them Debate | 39 (1954) 4, 99-100 |
| 112 | Clark, B | Recommendations from the 2nd National Developmental Conference on Individual Events | 81 (1996) 3, 25-28 |
| 113 | Clark, G | Extemporaneous Speaking | 7 (1921) 3, 55 |
| 114 | Clark, G | Forensic Contests: America's Most Popular Indoor Sport | 11 (1926) 4, 98-99 |
| 115 | Clark, R. D. | Innovation in the Impromptu Contest | 27 (1942) 3, 79-81 |
| 116 | Cleary, J | Debate Techniques | 35 (1950) 2, |
| 117 | Colbert, K. R. | Replicating the Effects of Debate Participation on Argumentativeness and Verbal Aggression | 79 (1994) 3, 1-13. |
| 118 | Cole, T. W. | Towards a Pedagogical Ethic of Individual Events | 69 (1984) 2, 9-13 |
| 119 | Cole, T. W. | The Role of Stasis in Non-Policy Analysis | 72 (1987) 3, 57-64 |
| 120 | Collins, S. J. | The Beards | 37 (1952) 4, 86-87, 101 |
| 121 | Coburn, C. W. | Let's Develop the Proper Attitude | 49 (1964) 2, 5-6 |
| 122 | Colburn, C. E. | The Creation of Rhetorical Truth: Knifemanship and Sourcery | 68 (1983) 4, 57-65 |
| 123 | Colvert, A | Balancing Graduate Studies and Coaching: It is All in the Technique | 82 (1997) 4, 32-40 |
| 124 | Compton, R | Forensic Tournaments | 18 (1932) 2, |
| 125 | Congalton, D | Do Debate Parameters Make Any Difference? | 63 (1978) 3, 10-11 |
| 126 | Congalton, K. J. and Olson, C. D. | Judging Individual Events: Art or Science | 81 (1995) 1, 9-18 |
| 127 | Conner, J. E. | Trimming the Sails of Oratory to the New Wind | 14 (1929) 4, |

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 128 | Cornwell, R. H. | Oratory | 16 (1930) 2 |
| 129 | Countryman, S | Analysis of "A House Divided" | 51 (1966) 4, 6-7 |
| 130 | Crawford, C. B. and Watt, W. M. | Argument Supporting the Requirement for Debate Judging Philosophy Statements at the Pi Kappa Delta National Tournament | 80 (1995) 2, 1-10 |
| 131 | Crawford, R. J. | The Australian and American Courtrooms: A Challenge for the Students of Nonverbal Communication | 67 (1982) 3, 9-12 |
| 132 | Cromwell, H | Decisions in Forensic Speaking | 38 (1953) 3, 67-69 |
| 133 | Cromwell, H | Your Speech is Important to All of Us | 46 (1961) 3, 3-5 |
| 134 | Cromwell, H | Speech and the Honors Program | 50 (1964) 1, 19-21 |
| 135 | Cromwell, H | Resume of National Officers of Pi Kappa Delta - 1913-1965 | 51 (1965) 1, 4-7 |
| 136 | Crossman, M | The Future of Academic Debate: CEDA's Decline and the Growth of Parliamentary Debate | 82 (1996) 1, 27-33 |
| 137 | Cox, E. S. and Adams, W. C. | Valuing of Tournament Debate: Factors from Practioners and Administrators | 80 (1995) 4, 7-13 |
| 138 | Curry, H | Orator or Demagogue | 35 (1949) 1, |
| 139 | Curry, H. L. | A Variant in Intercollegiate Speech | 52 (1967) 3, 7-8 |
| 140 | Dalten, W and Pross, E. L. | The "Successful" Intercollegiate Debater | 40 (1954) 1, 3-4 |
| 141 | Dauber, C and Panetta, E | Quail Roost Conference | 79 (1994) 2, 16-25 |
| 142 | David, H. J. | So We Blame Discussion! | 37 (1952) 3, 70-72 |
| 143 | Davis, K. M. | In Defense of the Value Oration | 68 (1982) 1, 10-13 |
| 144 | Dause, C. A. and Seltzer, R. V. | Debate and the Black Student: A Comment on Recruiting | 55 (1970) 3, 3-4 |
| 145 | DeBoer, R. L. | Original Oratory / Persuasive Speaking | 55 (1970) 4, 3-6 |
| 146 | DeLoach, M. B. | Shared Topics and CEDA/NDT Interaction: One Perspective | 82 (1996) 1, 22-26 |
| 147 | Derryberry, B. R. | Making the Most of Forensic Dollars | 60 (1975) 2, 20 |
| 148 | Derryberry, B. R. | Discussion as a Contest Event | 62 (1977) 3, 4-6 |
| 149 | Derryberry, B. R. | Forensic Programs in a Scrutinizing Decade | 65 (1980) 4, 4-5 |
| 150 | Derryberry, B. R. | Examining Debate Options and Alternatives | 70 (1984) 1, 22-24 |
| 151 | Derryberry, B. R. | Achievement and Recognition: Reaching Goals | 74 (1988) 1, |
| 152 | Derryberry, B. R. | Reaching Beyond Individualism: The Concept of Team as a Motivation for Forensics and Educational Development | 80 (1995) 4, 31-38 |
| 153 | Derryberry, B. R. | Why Should Local Chapters Support the National Tournament: A Consideration of Positive and Negative Dimensions | 82 (1997) 4, 10-16 |
| 154 | Derryberry, B. R. | Linking Departments and Forensic Directing in the Small College | 82 (1997) 4. 41-51 |
| 155 | Derryberry, B. R. | Forensics as a Cooperative Agent: Building a Tradition Within an Academic Community | 83 (1998) 3, 33-41 |
| 156 | Derryberry, B. R. | Remembering a Special Friend of Pi Kappa Delta | 83 (1998) 4, 25-28 |
| 157 | Donaho, M. W. | A Perspective on Changes Proposed in Pi Kappa Delta Debating | 53 (1967) 1, 3-5 |
| 158 | Dyer, S. C. | A Rhetoric of Value, The Ontological Approach: What Do We Mean When We Use the Term "Value?" | 72 (1987) 3, 65-74 |
| 159 | Eckerman, D. C. | Why Not a Debater's Intelligence Test | 10 (1924) 2, 3-4 |

- | | | | |
|-----|---|---|------------------------|
| 160 | Einerson, M | The Blind Student and Forensics | 56 (1971) 4, 3-5, 9 |
| 161 | Ellis, C. B. | Symposium: Advice to New Coaches, Financing Forensics | 55 (1969) 1, 14 |
| 162 | Emery, W | FCC Practices and Problems in Regulating Radio and Television Programs | 46 (1961) 4, 35-8 |
| 163 | Emswiler, T | The Importance of Clarity in Debate | 46 (1961) 3, 8-9 |
| 164 | Eppings, P | An Ancient Force in Action Today, Ethos | 47 (1962) 3, 14-16 |
| 165 | Ericson, J. M. | Discussion as an Intercollegiate Activity | 37 (1952) 3, 64, 80 |
| 166 | Evans, E. H. | "There's A War On" | 29 (1944) 4, 83-86 |
| 167 | Ewbank, H. L. | The Elements of Good Strategy | 17 (1931) 1, |
| 168 | Fleg, E | On After Dinner Speaking | 46 (1961) 3, 20 |
| 169 | Floyd, J. J. | Parameters for the National Debate Resolution: A Negative Response | 61 (1976) 4, 9-10 |
| 170 | Foster, D. E. | In Defense of Lincoln-Douglas Debate at Individual Events Tournaments | 78 (1992) 1, 14-20 |
| 171 | Fouts, K. B. | Oral Interpretation, Yes | 49 (1964) 4, 10-11 |
| 172 | Fouts, K. B. | Once More, With Feeling | 51 (1965) 1, 10-11 |
| 173 | Frank, D. A. | Innovation in Individual Events: An Invitation to Competition | 68 (1982) 1, 9-10 |
| 174 | Frank, D. A. | A New Forensics for a New Millennium | 83 (1997) 1, 4-16 |
| 175 | Frank, G | Qualifications for a Good Teacher | 17 (1931) 1, |
| 176 | Franklin, B | The Cash Value of Speaking Ability | 28 (1943) 3, 90-93 |
| 177 | Freeley, A. J. | A Proposal to Improve the Quality of National Debate Propositions | 55 (1969) 1, 8-10, 16 |
| 178 | Friedenberg, R. V. | The Army of Invisible Men: Ghostwriting for Congressmen and Congressional Candidates | 62 (1977) 4, 4-8 |
| 179 | Fulkerson, R | Stage Fright | 17 (1932) 4, |
| 180 | Gabbard, B. R. | In Defense of the Status Quo | 57 (1971) 1, 9-10 |
| 181 | Garland, H | The Value of Melodious Speech | 15 (1930) 4, |
| 182 | Garretson, J | Intercollegiate Discussion | 37 (1952) 3, 68, 80 |
| 183 | Gartell, R. B. | Educational Forensics: Another Viewpoint | 58 (1973) 3, 3-6 |
| 184 | Geisler, D. M. | Rhetorical Criticism as an Individual Event: Current Practices and Concerns | 70 (1984) 1, 1-5 |
| 185 | Gentry, J | But When They Shine: Great Students in Policy Debate | 85 (2000) 2, 1-10 |
| 186 | Glasink, W. A. | Your Causal Relationships | 49 (1964) 4, 8-9 |
| 187 | Glasink, W. A. | Physical Forensic Facilities Can Be Obtained | 55 (1970) 3, 6-8 |
| 188 | Gonsher, D. A. | Forensics On-Line: Global Resources on the Internet for Competitors and Coaches | 83 (1998) 2, 9-16 |
| 189 | Goodwin, F | The Business of Bestness | 45 (1959) 1, 3-4 |
| 190 | Goodwin, F | Oratory by the Seat of Your Pants | 47 (1961) 1, 12-14 |
| 191 | Gow, J. E. | Re-Examining Contest Speaking | 53 (1968) 2, 3-5 |
| 192 | Graham, D. L. | Private Debate vs. Public Speaking | 45 (1959) 1, 8-12 |
| 193 | Grandy, C | Education and Forensics | 37 (1952) 3, 57-60, 63 |
| 194 | Grant, D. M. | Judging Discussion | 29 (1943) 1, 5-6 |
| 195 | Grant, D. M. | Some Difficulties with Discussion | 32 (1947) 2, 34-36 |
| 196 | Grant, D. M. | Orators Judge Each Other | 32 (1947) 3, 69-70 |
| 197 | Greenstreet, R. W. | Policy Propositions: A Call for Revision | 69 (1983) 1, 21-26 |
| 198 | Greenstreet, R. W. | Going Local | 83 (1997) 1, 37-44 |
| 199 | Greenstreet, R. W., Joeckel, K, Martin, J and Piercy, K | The Gender-Based Experiences of Women in Intercollegiate Forensics | 83 (1998) 3, 1-22 |
| 200 | Greenstreet, R. W. and Frederick, T | The Sounds of Silence: The Gender-Based Experiences of Women in Intercollegiate Forensics | 85 (2000) 3, 25-36 |
| 201 | Gregg, R. B. | Rhetorical Criticism: The Quintessential Human Act | 64 (1978) 1, 4-9 |

- 202 Hada, K Cloning Ourselves to Death: A Plea for Real Audiences/Real Forensics 84 (1999) 3, 33-38
- 203 Hadaller, J. A. The One Judge System in Debating 10 (1924) 1, 40-41.
- 204 Hahn, D. F and Rhet Crit: It's Not Rhetorical Criticism 68 (1982) 1, 13-18
- 205 Hainis, J. J.
- 205 Hample, D Forensics Research in the 1980s 66 (1981) 3, 20-25
- 206 Hancer, V The Debater's Preparation - His Information and Thought 34 (1948) 1,
- 207 Hansen, J. D. Streamlining Debate 28 (1942) 1, 17-19
- 208 Hansen, J. D. How About a Fact Proposition for a Change? 34 (1949) 4,
- 209 Hansen, J. D. The Origin of the Debate Tournament 35 (1949) 1,
- 210 Hanson, C. T. Evaluating the Student's Performance: A Statement of Standards and Rationale 70 (1985) 2, 37-43
- 211 Hanson, C. T. Competing in Host School Tournaments 71 (1986) 3, 61-65
- 212 Hanson, C. T. What are the Options: The Philosophy of Using Ballots 73 (1988) 3, 1-6
- 213 Hanson, C. T. Student Beliefs About Good and Bad Judges 74 (1988) 1,
- 214 Hanson, C. T. The Role of Experimental Events in a National Tournament 74 (1989) 4, 6-11
- 215 Hanson, C. T. Judging the Contestant Via Interactive Video Communication systems: Do Existing Models of Evaluation Work? 77 (1991) 1, 20-26
- 216 Harding, J College Debating as Preparation for Advocacy 43 (1957) 1, 3
- 217 Hardy, E. B. Speaking Authoritatively 21 (1936) 4, 170-71
- 218 Harpine, W. D. The Theoretical Base of Stock Issues 70 (1984) 1, 6-14
- 219 Hart, J Cross-Examination: An Interpersonal Perspective 67 (1982) 3, 16-21
- 220 Harte, T. B. Some Thoughts on the 10-Minute Rule 60 (1974) 1, 7-8
- 221 Hassenchal, F The Status of Graduate Courses Designed for Directors of Forensic Programs 79 (1993) 1, 1-5
- 222 Hawkins, S. C. An Experiment That Worked: Franchising Tournaments 60 (1974) 1, 18-20
- 223 Hawn, H. G. The Art of Good Speech 15 (1930) 4,
- 224 Hazard, J. C. Financing Forensics 9 (1923) 1, 8-10
- 225 Heaton, A With Work, Just About Anybody Can Do Well 68 (1983) 2, 43-45
- 226 Henning, J. H. O.K., Johnny, Get Your Gun 29 (1943) 1, 2-4
- 227 Hershey, L Arguing Literature: Some Suggestions for the Coaching and Judging of the Performance of Literature in Individual Events 73 (1987) 1, 13-16
- 228 Hicks, P.M. Open Forum or Judgeless Debates 9 (1923) 2, 7-11
- 229 Hildreth, R. A. Bestness Has a Place 45 (1960) 2, 3, 6
- 230 Hill, B. J. The Status of CEDA Debate in the Southeast 70 (1985) 3, 57-68
- 231 Hill, B. J. The Educational Goals of CEDA: Clarification and Reformulation 73 (1987) 1, 17-27
- 232 Hill, B. J. Clarifying the Conceptual Boundaries of Non-Policy Argument 78 (1992) 1, 1-13
- 233 Hill, B. J. and Leeman, R. W. Developing Field-Dependent Criteria in Non-Policy Debate 79 (1994) 3, 14-25
- 234 Hill, M. A. Good Manners in Debate 23 (1938) 2, 45-46
- 235 Hobbs, J. Surrendering Decision Authority from the Public to the Technical Sphere of Argument: The Use of Evidence in Contemporary Intercollegiate Debate 80 (1994) 1, 1-6
- 236 Hodgestraat, W Three Things That Debate is Not 47 (1962) 3, 5-6

- 237 Hodgestraat, W. E. Should Debate Judges Take Notes? 52 (1967) 3, 11
 238 Hodgestraat, W. E. Fluency and Stylistic Bloopers and McCleary, W. R. 53 (1968) 3, 5-6
- 239 Holcomb, M The Critic-Judge System of Deciding Debates 17 (1932) 4,
- 240 Holladay, H Paid Haters of Debate 43 (1958), 3, 67-68
 241 Holley, D. L. and Jamison, F. R. A Television Forensic Event 51 (1965) 1, 12-14
- 242 Holm, J. N. The Opposition is Essential 28 (1943) 3, 87-89
 243 Holm, J. N. The Function of the Province in Pi Kappa Delta 29 (1944) 2,
- 244 Holm, Jr, J. N. Alexander Campbell: A Study in the Value of Effective Rhetorical Training 62 (1976) 1, 10-13, 31
- 245 Hope, B. W. Draw Three 35 (1950) 4, 79-81
 246 Hope, B. W. College Debate: Shift from Reality 42 (1956) 1, 5-7
 247 Hope, B. W. Draw Three, 1950-1965 50 (1965) 3, 11-13
 248 Hopkins, H. D. The Influence of the Honor Forensic Fraternity 13 (1928) 4, 173-78
- 249 Hopkins, H. D. Debating to Win 14 (1929) 3,
 250 Hostettler, G The Early Education of Albert J. Beveridge 33 (1948) 2,
- 251 Hostettler, G The Speech Training of Jonathan P. Dolliver 35 (1950) 4, 83-86
- 252 Howe, J. H. Six Simple Ways to Lose a Debate 42 (1957) 2, 44-45
 253 Howe, J. H. Forensics, Tournaments and the Pursuit of Trophies 44 (1958) 1, 6-9
- 254 Howe, J. W. Disappearing Research 45 (1960) 3, 5-6
 255 Howes, R. F. Results of Questionnaire on International Debating in the United States 15 (1930) 3,
- 256 Hufford, R Use of Statistical Evidence on Debating 50 (1965) 2, 17-20
 257 Hufford, R The Case of the Missing Evidence 52 (1966) 1, 15-17
 258 Hufford, R Scheduling Debate Tournaments 55 (1970) 2, 9-10
 259 Hufford, R Promoting Off-Topic Debating 60 (1975) 3, 3-4
 260 Hufford, R Why Introduce Parameters? How Can They Work? What Good Can They Possibly Do? 61 (1976) 4, 11-12, 23
- 261 Hunt, S. B. Select Bibliography for Individual Speaking and Interpretation Events 80 (1995) 3, 23-33
- 262 Hunt, S. B. A Select Partially Annotated Bibliography For Directing Forensics: Teaching, Coaching and Judging Debate and Individual Events 81 (1996) 2, 1-41
- 263 Hunt, S. B. Select Bibliography for Argumentation and Debate Theory, Part I 82 (1997) 2, 1-18
- 264 Hunt, S. B. Select Bibliography for Argumentation and Debate Theory: Part II 82 (1997) 3, 1-21
- 265 Hunter, E. B. The Judge's Score Sheet 14 (1929) 4,
 266 Inch, E. S. Managing Individual Events Tournaments 79 (1994) 4, 7-34
- 267 Ingram, M. T. The Logistics of Extemp 69 (1984) 3, 12-13
 268 Insalata, S. J. The Persuasive Use of Evidence in Formal Argument 45 (1960) 3, 9-11
- 269 Insalata, S. J. The Young Titans: Early Forensic Careers of Supreme Court Justices 45 (1960) 4, 11-12
- 270 Irwin, T Current Forensic Practices 25 (1940) 3, 76-78
 271 Irwin, T Direct Clash Debating (An Analysis) 25 (1940) 3, 88-89
 272 Jacob, B. E. The National Forensic League 14 (1929) 3,
 273 Jacob, B. E. First Annual Tournament of National Forensic League 17 (1931) 2

- 274 Jaswal, A "One Man Speaking" - A Valuable Thing 58 (1973) 2, 16-17
- 275 Jennes, L A Debate Philosophy to Grow With 35 (1949) 1,
- 276 Jensen, S. L. and An Alternative Method for Approaching 77 (1992) 3, 1-15
Cox, E. S. Non-Policy Propositions
- 277 Jensen, S. L. A Survey Analysis of Regional and 78 (1993) 4, 1-10
National Programs and Competitive
Trends in Collegiate Forensics
- 278 Jensen, S. L. Overview of the 1987 PKD Professional 82 (1997) 4, 1
Development Conference
- 279 Jochenning, J Let's Have More Cross Examination 52 (1967) 3, 4-6
Debate
- 280 Jones, M. A. CEDA vs. NDT: The Fact-Value 66 (1981) 3, 15-20
Dichotomy emerges in Educational
Debate
- 281 Jordan, H. M. Tournament Experiment With 31 (1946) 3,
Debate Types
- 282 Jurgen, M The Unconcerned 40 (1955) 3, 70-72
- 283 Kayser, K The Beginning of the End 14 (1929) 4,
- 284 Keefe, C The Pi Kappa Delta Alumni Chapter: 80 (1995) 3, 10-16
On its Maintenance and Development
- 285 Keefe, C The Pi Kappa Delta Alumni Chapter: 81 (1995) 1, 19-25
An Account of the West Chester
University Alumni Chapter
- 286 Keiser, A Financing Forensics in the Small College 11 (1925) 1, 12
- 287 Kelly, M. P. A Paradigmatic View of the Individual 70 (1985) 3, 53-57
Events Revolution/Structure
- 288 King, P. E. and Mediated Forensics Instruction 73 (1988) 2, 7-13
Behnke, R. R.
- 289 Kleinau, M. D. Symposium: Advice to New Coaches, 55 (1969) 1, 15-16
New Directions in forensics
- 290 Kleinau, M. D. Training the Advocate 57 (1972) 3, 4-6
- 291 Kloph, D. W. Experiment in Educational Debate 49 (1963) 1, 3-4
- 292 Kloph, D., Evans, The Controversy Over Judges' 51 (1966) 3, 7-10
D. and DeLozier, Qualifications
M. L.
- 293 Kneupper, C. W. A Defense of General Systems Theory 61 (1976) 3, 10-11,15
- 294 Kneupper, C. W. The Webster-Calhoun Debate: Its 63 (1978) 3, 4-9
Significance in American Public Address
- 295 Knight, R. A. Getting Off to a Good START: A Basic 81 (1996) 4, 35-39
Method for an Effective Oral
Interpretation Introduction
- 296 Kreps, L. R. Forensics at a Land-Grant Institution 44 (1958) 1, 10-11
- 297 Ladd, J. M. A Look at Discussion 51 (1966) 3, 4-6
- 298 Laase, L. T. A Standard for Judging Debates 16 (1931) 4,
- 299 Laase, L. T. A Critical Evaluation of Intercollegiate 24 (1939) 2, 37-41
Forensic Contests in Terms of
Educational Principles
- 300 Laase, L. T. A Philosophy for the Director of 24 (1939) 3, 69-73
Forensics
- 301 Laase, L. T. Some Suggested Revisions in Contest 24 (1939) 4, 101-106
Procedures
- 302 Larson, S and Argument Analysis 68 (1982) 1, 20-22
O'Rourke, S. P.
- 303 Lawrence, J. H. Congressional Debating 13 (1928) 4, 170-71
- 304 Lawson, H. L. and Some Thoughts Pertinent to the 79 (1994) 4, 1-7
Skaggs, E. C. Presentation of Sweepstakes Awards
in Forensics Tournaments
- 305 Leftwich, L. L. Discussion in a World of Peace 32 (1947) 3, 71-72
- 306 Level, D Objectives and Effects of Debate 42 (1957) 2, 42-44
- 307 Lewis, L One Way to Secure a Debate Audience 27 (1941) 1,
- 308 Litsheim, P. M. Is Speech a Tinkling Cymbal 44 (1959) 4, 123-125.