A Christian-founded center puts at top of its flier on this subject this quote from a naturalistic biblical writing, Eccl.: "For everything there is a season...a time to be born and a time to die." A subdominant view that, with renascent Stoicism in the USA--on this subject the media personality being Betty Kbbler-Ross--has become, even for many pastoral counselors, dominant. In contrast to nature-cycle acceptance aimed at Stoic "death with dignity" is the Bible's overall orientation of death as commitment: "Into your hands I commit...." "Acceptance" centers in the self, specifically in the supreme Stoic virtue egkrateia [self-possession, self-control, in the current phrase "taking responsibility for your own existence," self-containment", and --a higì component in most of the recent psychotherapies, and a translation very close to the original in feel as well as morpheme-sememe--"ego-strength"]. "Acceptance" appeals because it plays into the hands of Western individualism [cp. individualistic forms of Hinduism, TM e.g.J; at a level profounder, and more amenable to the biblical worldview, it becomes third-stage "attitudinal" [after the loss of the "creative" and the "appreciative" stages] in Frankl's logotherapy. "Acceptance" is ambivalent--Doth a hindrance and and nelp to getting to the other and the Other. But whereas "acceptance" is essentially egocentric, "commitment" is essentially heterocentric and therefore the primary humanizing-divinizing action of the biblical model: RELATIONSHIP FORMED/DEFORMED/REFORMED, MADE/BROKEN/MENDED--three scenes, like three Klieglights of Christian doctrine playing upon every life-experience. Under the first Klieglight (creation) death is "natural," under the second (fall) "sinful" ["the last enemy," certainly not to be accepted!J, under the third (redemption) "transcended" in atonement-resurrection-Lordship-Eschaton [shalom convergence of justice and joy]. In Stoic dying, "dignity" derives from the fact that things are under soul-control; in Christian, from the fact that things have gotten out of control deliberately, by Spirit-control through commitment. The verbal distinction is glib, but the mindset difference is profound.

> Death and dying are of course permanently "out" for our humanity no matter how "in" they may be in cocktail-teatime conversation. Everybody but everybody into religion teaching has at least once taught a "D \& D" course, and some have even specialized in the new-wave, near-ghoulish-cult enthusiasm (part of the Vietnam and "death of God" fallouts, I think). Bob Neale, who became religion's media personality in D\&D, recently responsded, when I asked whether he's still into this, "No! For me, death is dead!"

Solid theological thinking is more apt to follow than precede a new wave (consciousness fad). We're veginning to get some theological critiquing of D\&D, and this thinksheet is part of it. Try also Roy Branson's "Another Look at Elizajeth KublerRoss" (THE CHRISTIAN CENTURY, 7 May 75--today!).

Finally, here's a movement started by a terminally ill person: "MAKE TODAY COUNT":
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 cancer, call it cancer. Don't try to hide what is wrong.
> 2. ACCEPT death as a part of life.
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