

THE

Forensic

ΠΕΙΘΩ ΚΑΛΗ ΔΙΚΑΙΑ

JANUARY, 1967

OLD MAIN — A WHITEWATER LANDMARK



FORENSIC

of Pi Kappa Delta

Series 52 January, 1967 No. 2

CONTENTS

President's Page	3
Convention Program	4
Convention and Contest Committees	5
Convention and Contest Rules	6
Constitution and Proposed Amendments	11
Secretary's Page	35
Chapter Notes	36
Alumni News	39
New Members	41
Pi Kappa Delta Directory	42

Editor..... L. A. Lawrence

Associate Editors:

Alumni News..... Frank T. Alusow

Chapter Notes..... Gilbert Rau

Business Manager..... Larry Norton

Published four times a year in October, January, March and May. Office of publication: Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana. Second class postage paid at Bozeman, Montana 59715 (application for re-entry pending).

DIRECTORY OF PI KAPPA DELTA

National President — Georgia Bowman, William Jewell College, Liberty, Missouri

National Vice-President—Theodore O. H. Karl, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, Washington

National Secretary-Treasurer — Larry Norton, Bradley University, Peoria, Illinois

National Council Members — James Grissinger, Otterbein College, Westerville, Ohio; Harold C. Larson, Carroll College, Waukesha, Wisconsin; H. Francis Short, Kansas State College, Pittsburg, Kansas

Immediate Past President—Roy Murphy, University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, Louisiana

Historian—D. J. Nabors, East Central State College, Ada, Oklahoma

Editor of the Forensic—L. A. Lawrence, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana

Associate Editors of The Forensic — Frank T. Alusow, MacMurray College, Jacksonville, Illinois; Gilbert Rau, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

Student Council Members—Marlys Prigge, Wheaton College, Wheaton, Illinois; Stephen Dickman, Wisconsin State University, Whitewater, Wisconsin.



PROVINCE GOVERNORS

1. **Province of the Plains**—Richard J. Crawford, Colorado State College, Greeley, Colorado.
2. **Province of The Missouri**—R. J. deLaubenfels, Simpson College, Indianola, Iowa
3. **Province of Illinois** — Edwin A. Hollatz, Wheaton College, Wheaton, Illinois
4. **Province of the Pacific**—Herb Booth, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.
5. **Province of the Sioux**—Hazel Heiman, Morningside College, Sioux City, Iowa
6. **Province of the Lower Mississippi** — Evan Ulrey, Harding College, Searcy, Arkansas
7. **Province of the Lakes**—Gilbert Rau, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, Michigan.
8. **Province of the Upper Mississippi**—William Robertz, Gustavus Adolphus, St. Peter, Minnesota.
9. **Province of the Southeast**—David Walker, Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, Tennessee.
10. **Province of the Northwest**—Roy D. Mahaffey, Linfield College, McMinnville, Oregon
11. **Province of the Northeast**—William Teufel, Grove City College, Grove City, Pennsylvania.

The President's Page

When as a little girl I complained about my big nose, my great-aunt Bet used to say, "It's what's inside that counts." This old-fashioned advice may still have some utility for those of us who direct speech programs, for sometimes it appears that externals are overshadowing basic purposes. (Students: Read on; you may be coaching in five years.)

In graduate school we wrote seminar papers about the goals of the extra-curricular speech program. "Forensics," we said, "should have as a goal the development of the student: he should emerge with an ability to analyze accurately, perform research thoroughly, organize material cogently, argue logically, convince and persuade effectively."

Two external factors of glamour and intensity sometimes intrude into our activities to such an extent that they obscure these educational objectives.

Admitted that undergraduates like to go where the action is; that any good forensic director will try to put some zest in his program. Nevertheless, in some cases glamour becomes the first goal. Witness giving a dozen trophies at early October tournaments, when beginning debaters have not had time to do adequate research and cannot by any standards be considered outstanding. Perhaps some upperclassmen are worthy of awards, but those of us who listen to early-season debates are often forced to give the decision to the "less worse" team, and not to truly meritorious speakers.

Spending lavishly for plane tickets and expensive motel accommodations is another form in which glamour takes precedence over common sense. Certainly air travel is in some cases the most economical transportation, and debate teams should not have to live like Spartans, but the coaches — and there are some — who run through their budgets before January 1 by lavish squad living, must have lost sight of what the forensics program should be doing in the long-term training of student minds.

A second threat to balanced programs is intensity. When I hear debaters remark in early November, "We've already been to five big tournaments," I wonder when those travelers go to class. A debater will not mature in one or two tournaments, but there must be a golden mean whereby he can participate reasonably in forensics and also have a well-rounded campus life. When two or four students from a given school are seen week after week all over the country, one questions whether any other students are getting the benefit of tournament experience, or whether the intensity of that school's program is limited to the "stars."

This intense excitement takes place at crowded tournaments where senior debate is held in church nurseries and there's neither time nor place in the schedule for lunch. Whatever your viewpoint about participation and program planning, surely we all want to put student welfare and enrichment first and give thought to the internal values of the activities we are performing.



GEORGIA BOWMAN

PROGRAM

PI KAPPA DELTA NATIONAL CONVENTION

March 27-31, 1967

Wisconsin State University,
Whitewater

MONDAY, MARCH 27

8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m. Registration
7:00 p.m. Business meeting:
Charter presentation
Announcements

4:30 p.m. Business Meeting; Elect
National Council;
Constitution Revision
7:00 p.m. Student Meeting and
Committee Meetings

TUESDAY, MARCH 28

8:15 a.m. Oratory
9:45 a.m. Debate I; Discussion I
11:30 a.m. Convention Picture
12:00 noon Past Presidents and Na-
tional Council Luncheon
(informal)
Extemp Drawing I
1:00 p.m. Extemp I; Oratory II
2:30 p.m. Debate II, Discussion II
4:00 p.m. Business Meeting; Elect
President and Vice-Presi-
dent
7:30 p.m. Province Meetings
9:00 p.m. Student Meeting and Com-
mittee Meetings

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29

8:15 a.m. Extemp Drawing II
9:15 a.m. Extemp II; Oratory III
10:45 a.m. Debate III; Discussion III
12:00 noon Province Governors'
Luncheon
12:30 p.m. Extemp Drawing III
1:30 p.m. Extemp III
3:00 p.m. Debate IV

THURSDAY, MARCH 30

8:15 a.m. Extemp Drawing IV
9:15 a.m. Extemp IV; Oratory IV
10:45 a.m. Debate V
12:00 noon Lunch, Old and New Coun-
cil and Province Governors
1:30 p.m. Debate VI
2:30 p.m. Business Meeting;
Convention Speaker;
Constitution Revision
7:30 p.m. Talent Night

FRIDAY, MARCH 31

8:15 a.m. Debate VII; Discussion IV
9:45 a.m. Student Meeting
10:45 a.m. Additional Business Meet-
ing, if Necessary
12:00 noon Lunch, Special and Highest
Distinction Members, and
Old and New Council
(Informal)
1:00 pm. Debate VIII
2:30 p.m. Business Meeting;
Reports; Constitution
Revision
7:00 p.m. Banquet—Distinguished
Alumnus Award;
Announcement of Results;
Pictures

Convention and Contest Committees

CONVENTION COMMITTEES:

1. **Convention Committee**
Convention Chairman: Roy Murphy
Convention Officer: Edna Sorber
Transportation Chairman: Pat Townsend
2. **Province Coordinator**
Harold Larson
3. **Parliamentarian**
Forrest Rose
4. **Nominations Committee**
Glenn Capp, Chairman
Sherod Collins
Harvey Cromwell
Martin Holcomb
Cunera VanEmmerik
5. **Resolutions Committee**
Lloyd Dudley, Chairman
E. R. Minchew
George Armstrong
6. **Convention Invitations Committee**
Mike Twedt, Chairman
Lloyd Farr
Paul Dovre
7. **Convention Evaluation Committee**
William DeMougeot, Chairman
Phil McFarland
Roger Mosvick
8. **Convention Publicity Committee**
L. A. Lawrence, Chairman
Gilbert Rau
Frank Alusow
Marlys Prigge
Steve Dickmann
9. **Constitution Revision Committee**
Ted Karl, Chairman
John Burt, Secretary
Paul Winters
Ray Yeager
Roger Hufford

10. **Charter Committee**
H. Francis Short, Chairman
Mel Hopkins
C. L. Nystrom
Jack Howe
11. **Public Relations and Research Committee**
D. J. Nabors, Chairman
Otto Bauer
Ted Nelson
Ralph Micken

CONTEST COMMITTEES:

1. **Contest Chairman**
Jim Grissinger
2. **Men's Debate Committee**
George Schell, Chairman
William Robertz
3. **Women's Debate Committee**
Hollis Todd, Chairman
Robert Lyon
4. **Open Cross-Examination Debate Committee**
Dave Matheny, Chairman
James McCarthy
5. **Men's Extempore Committee**
Earl Owens, Charman
Jerry Winsor
5. **Women's Extempore Committee**
James Fletcher, Chairman
Martha Womack
7. **Oratory Committee**
William A. Bos, Chairman
Mark Lee
Herbert Booth
8. **Discussion Committee**
Fred Phelps, Chairman
Duane Aschenbrenner
Elwood Tame
9. **Judging Committee**
Roy Mahaffey, Chairman
Fred Goodwin

Any one interested in motel or hotel accommodations in Whitewater should write directly to Dr. Edna Sorber, Wisconsin State University, Whitewater, Wisconsin, for information.

Convention and Contest Rules

GENERAL

1. Each student and one faculty director of forensics from each college attending the convention must pay the \$8.00 registration fee, the meal and lodging fees. Extra judges and wives of faculty members will not be charged the registration fee.

2. Each student delegate to the convention and each participant in the contests shall be a bona fide undergraduate student who has not already had four years of forensic participation and who is carrying a minimum of twelve hours of college work with passing grades at the time of the convention. He shall be a member of Pi Kappa Delta or shall have filed a membership application with the National Secretary and sent in his initiation fee.

3. All entries in convention contests must be sent to the National Secretary so as to show a postmark not later than February 24, 1967.

4. There shall be separate divisions for men and women in all events except discussion and the open cross examination division of debate. Men and women will discuss together in the discussion event but their ratings will be tabulated separately for the purpose of determining awards at the conclusion of the convention. Gold medals will be awarded to winners of Superior ratings in each contest; certificates to those winning Excellent rating. Certificates will be awarded to the school for students who receive ratings of Superior and Excellent.

5. Certificates of "Superior" will be awarded to the 10 per cent of the chapters with the most points in men's contests and to the 10 per cent of the chapters with the most points in women's contests. Certificates of "Excellent" will be awarded to the next 20 per cent in each division. Those in the next 30 per cent will be rated "Good" but will receive no certificates. Points will be given

in debate as follows: Superior rating, 10 points; Excellent, 8 points; Good, 6 points; participation, 2 points. Points won by a men's team in the open cross-examination division will be credited to men's sweepstakes. Points won by a women's team will be credited to women's sweepstakes. Points won by a mixed team will be credited equally to men's and women's sweepstakes. Sweepstakes awards will be made to colleges which have the highest total points in each of the men's and women's divisions. In extemporaneous speaking, oratory and discussion a rating of Superior will be given 5 points; Excellent, 4 points; Good, 3 points; participation, 1 point.

6. All contest arrangements not covered by the rules shall be in the hands of the individual contest committees and the Chairman of the Tournament Committee. Questions concerning any interpretation of contest rules should be directed to James Grissinger, Otterbein College, Westerville, Ohio 43081.

JUDGING

All competing chapters must provide at least one faculty member who is a qualified judge and who will accept his assigned service as outlined by the judging committee. Individual adjustments in that assignment will be made by the chairman of the committee. Chapters entering discussion and all three divisions of debate must provide at least two qualified judges. No college will be permitted to enter the convention tournament without providing a judge unless the delegation is limited to one student representative. No student member of the delegation will be permitted to serve as a substitute for a faculty judge. A college which cannot meet the requirements of this section or whose faculty representative feels that he cannot meet his judging assignments is requested not to enter the contests. A two dollar fine shall be imposed for each judging assignment not fulfilled. No results will be announced or

awards presented to schools whose judge fails to meet his judging obligations.

ORATORY

1. Contestants. Each Pi Kappa Delta chapter may enter one orator in the men's and one in the women's contest. All contestants must be present at the beginning of each round and remain until the contest has been concluded.

2. Orations. Orations shall contain not more than 150 words of quotations. The length of orations shall not exceed 1,300 words. (Total word count.) All quotations shall appear definitely as such in the manuscript. All orations must be the original work of the contestant. The orations shall be memorized and delivered without notes. The reading of orations from manuscripts shall be prohibited. Each orator shall bring two typewritten copies of his oration to the national convention. One copy shall be presented to the National Secretary of Pi Kappa Delta at the Convention Registration desk on March 27, and one copy shall be presented to a judge at the beginning of at least one of the rounds of oratory. The oration delivered in the contest must conform to the manuscript submitted. The manuscript presented to the National Secretary will not be returned.

3. Contests. The contests shall be held simultaneously, the number of such contests being determined by the number of contestants entered, it being provided that not more than six speakers shall appear on one program. Each orator shall appear in four rounds.

4. Method of Judging. Three judges shall be appointed in each contest. Each judge shall rank only the three highest ranking speakers, first, second, and third. In tabulating the results, all other speakers in each contest will be given a ranking of four. No judge shall tie two speakers for first, second or third places. The judges should write comments on the forms provided, but should not reveal their decisions.

5. Rankings. The orators ranking in the upper 10 per cent will be rated "Su-

perior"; those in the next 20 per cent will be rated "Excellent"; and those in the next 30 per cent will be rated "Good."

EXTEMPORANEOUS SPEAKING

1. Contestants. Each Pi Kappa Delta chapter may enter one speaker in the men's and one in the women's contest. All contestants must be present at the beginning of each round and remain until the contest has been concluded.

2. **Urban Problems in the United States** is the general topic for use in the extempore contests. A subdivision of the general topic will be used in each round as follows: Round 1, Economic; 2, Sociological; 3, Transportation; 4, Government.

3. Sub-topics. The contest committee shall arrange for the securing of sufficient and suitable sub-topics for each of the four areas.

4. Drawings. One hour before the time of speaking in each contest, the contestant shall draw by lot for a sub-topic. It is expected that the speech will be prepared by the student without the assistance of anyone.

5. Contests. The rules for these contests shall be the same as those for oratory.

6. Length of Speeches. Speeches shall not be more than six minutes. Each speaker shall be given a warning by the timekeeper at the expiration of five minutes.

7. The Question Period. Each speaker shall be asked one pertinent question by a judge at the close of his original speech. The speaker must answer this question impromptu; maximum time for the answer, two minutes.

8. The Method of Judging. The method of judging shall be the same as for oratory.

9. Ranking. The method of ranking shall be the same as for oratory.

DEBATE

1. Each Pi Kappa Delta chapter may enter one team in the men's division, one team in the women's division, and

one team in the open cross examination division.

2. Substitutions. There may be free substitution of debaters from round to round providing the names of those to be used are included in the entry lists.

3. Question. The proposition for debate shall be, Resolved: That the United States Should Substantially Reduce Its Foreign Policy Commitments.

4. Speeches in Men's and Women's Division. Each debater shall have two speeches, one of ten minutes and one of five. The affirmative shall introduce the constructive and the negative shall introduce the refutation speeches.

5. Rounds. All teams will take part in eight rounds of debate, the pairings of which are to be arranged by the contest committee and posted from round to round.

6. Sides. Each college must debate both sides of the question, having an equal number of affirmative and negative debates.

7. Decisions. Decisions will be rendered by single judges, who may comment on the debate but who should not announce their decisions to the debaters.

8. Rankings. Both team ratings and decisions will be taken into account in determining awards. All teams winning all or seven of the eight rounds shall be declared "Superior" teams regardless of their proficiency ratings. All teams with a rating of from 4.5 to 5.0 shall also be declared "Superior" teams provided they have won at least four debates. All teams winning six of the eight rounds shall be declared "Excellent" teams regardless of their proficiency ratings. All teams with a rating of from 4.0 to 4.49 shall also be declared "Excellent" teams even though they may have won fewer than six debates. All teams winning five of the eight rounds shall be declared "Good" teams regardless of their proficiency ratings. All teams with a rating of from 3.5 to 3.99 shall also be declared "Good" teams even though they may have won fewer than five debates.

9. Drawing. In order to avoid a pairing in which strong teams will meet only strong teams and weaker teams

meet only weaker teams, every fourth team will be seeded on the basis of performance earlier in the year. Under this plan every team (including every seeded team) will meet two seeded teams and two only during the course of the eight rounds. Ratings of various colleges shall be determined by the Debate Committee with the assistance of the Province Governors and qualified Pi Kappa Delta members in the respective areas.

10. Open Cross Examination Division. In this division each school may enter one team which may consist of men, women, or mixed groups. Teams will be bracketed for eight rounds and given ratings based on their record of wins and losses, and team ratings as in the other divisions.

The following order of speaking will be used in the Open Cross Examination Debates:

First affirmative constructive	
speech	9 Min.
Negative question period ..	4 Min.
First negative constructive	
speech	9 Min.
Affirmative question period	4 Min.
Second affirmative constructive	
speech	9 Min.
Negative question period ..	4 Min.
Second negative constructive	
speech	9 Min.
Affirmative question period	4 Min.
Negative summary speech	5 Min.
Affirmative summary speech ..	5 Min.
(Each debater must conduct one question period.)	

DISCUSSION

1. Subject. What should be the policy of the United States toward Communist China?

2. Procedure. There will be four rounds. Each of the four rounds shall have a maximum time limit of one and one-half hours.

Round I. Definition and delineation stage. (What is the nature, extent, and significance of the problem? What terms of the discussion question need defining?)

Round II. Problem — analysis stage. (What are the probable causes of the problem? By what criteria should probable solutions be measured?)

Round III. Solutions stage. (What possible solutions are there? What is the best solution for the problem? Use criteria for evaluating and eliminating solutions.)

Round IV. Solutions stage. (Continue evaluation of solutions.)

3. Discussion leader. A discussion leader shall be appointed by the Discussion Contest Committee for each section of Round I. At the conclusion of Rounds I, II, and III, the members of each section shall elect one of their members to serve as the discussion leader for the following round.

4. Participants. Each Pi Kappa Delta chapter may enter one man and one woman student in discussion. Men and women will discuss together but their ratings will be tabulated separately for the purpose of determining awards at the conclusion of the convention.

5. Judging. A faculty observer will sit with each section. It shall be his function to check attendance, serve as a guide in procedure, and evaluate the participants. The faculty observer shall evaluate each participant on his knowledge of the discussion question, his use of critical thinking, and his cooperation

with other members of the group. Also, all sections shall be observed by a panel of five traveling judges. Each of the five traveling judges will spend a minimum of fifteen minutes with each section. It shall be the function of the traveling judges to compare and evaluate the quality of work being done by the sections they visit. Each member will receive the average evaluation awarded by the traveling judges for his section. At the conclusion of Round IV, each participant will complete an evaluation for each member of his section. Each participant's final score will consist of the sum of the evaluations awarded him by the faculty observers (66 $\frac{2}{3}$ per cent), the evaluation awarded his section by the traveling judges (16 $\frac{2}{3}$ per cent), and the average of evaluations given by the members of his section (16 $\frac{2}{3}$ per cent). Faculty observers will use a different rating scale for evaluating discussion leaders and discussion participants.

6. Ranking. The discussion participants ranking in the upper 10 per cent will be rated "Superior"; those in the next 20 per cent will be rated "Excellent"; those in the next 30 per cent will be rated "Good." Men and women will be ranked separately at the conclusion of the convention. Students must participate in each of the four rounds to be eligible for a final rating.

EXTEMPORANEOUS SPEAKING TOPIC

MEN'S and WOMEN'S DIVISIONS

National Pi Kappa Delta Convention

March 27-31, 1967

URBAN PROBLEMS IN THE UNITED STATES

The following areas of study will be used in each of the four rounds of speaking:

Round I. Economic

Round II. Sociological

Round III. Transportation

Round IV. Government

CONVENTION FEES

Package Price

\$ 8:00 Registration fee.

\$14.00 Meals. Monday evening through Saturday Breakfast, including the banquet.

\$11.00 Lodging for 5 nights. (Single rooms, if available, will cost \$2.00 a night more.)

\$33.00

The registration fee is paid by one coach and all students representing each chapter.

All delegates pay the \$14.00 for meals and \$11.00 for lodging.

SAMPLE ENTRY FORM

Pi Kappa Delta

25th National Convention

WISCONSIN STATE UNIVERSITY, WHITEWATER, WISCONSIN
MARCH 27-31, 1967

The _____ Chapter located at _____
will send _____ delegates to the Convention consisting of _____ faculty members,
_____ men students and _____ women students.

School's Judge(s): 1) _____, 2) _____
(Name) (Name—if second judge is required)

Others Available 1) _____, _____
For Some Judging: (Name) (What Events?) (When?)
2) _____, _____
(Name) (What Events?) (When?)

Are you, or a second judge, willing to judge discussion? _____
(Name)

Visitors **not** Judging: _____
(Names)

Our Voting Delegate will be: _____

We will have entries in the following events:

Men's Debate _____ Men's Oratory _____ Men's Extempore Speaking _____ Men's Dis-
cussion _____ Women's Debate _____ Women's Oratory _____ Women's Extempore
Speaking _____ Women's Discussion _____ Open Cross-Examination Debate _____

Our entries will be selected from the following: (List the name of each student who **may** be
entered in competition. At the time of reigstration, indicate the event(s) in which each con-
testant **is** entered.)

Men

Women

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Every contestant must be a member of PKD or must have sent a tentative membership application blank and the initiation fee to the National Secretary. All entry blanks must be mailed to show a postmark **not later than February 24, 1967**. Free substitution of speakers in debate may be made from round to round if all names are included on this blank. Each contestant in Oratory must bring two typewritten copies of the oration to the Convention. One copy, which will not be returned, must be turned in before the school is permitted to register.

Do not send fees with this blank. Pay them at the time of registration.

We have read the rules governing these contests as published in the FORENSIC and certify that our contestants are eligible under these rules.

NOTE — DO NOT MAIL THIS FORM — IT IS A SAMPLE ONLY. THE OFFICIAL ENTRY FORM WILL BE MAILED TO YOU LATE IN JANUARY.

Constitution and Proposed Amendments

The Constitutional Revision Committee has asked for and received a ruling from the President of National Pi Kappa Delta that the following procedures will be enforced at the convention in those business meetings which will involve the adoption of the new constitution.

All proposed amendments to the revised constitution hereafter proposed by the Constitution Committee **MUST** be submitted in **WRITING** any time following the date of this publication up to and including the close of convention registration on Monday, March 27 at 6:30 P. M. Any proposals later than that date and time **WILL NOT** be recognized on the floor of the convention.

It has also been determined that any substitute motions or other amendments which would change the intent of the written proposal shall be declared out of order by the chair. (Grammatic and typing errors excepted).

Each chapter thus has the opportunity to study and evaluate the proposed constitutional changes and has over a month in which to submit amendments to the proposed constitution. Ample time is provided for all to have their wishes and desires known.

Submit all proposed amendments to Theodore O. H. Karl, Chairman, Constitutional Revision Committee, Department of Speech, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, Washington 98447. On the day of registration they may be given to any member of this committee as they are listed:

Theodore O. H. Karl

John Burt

Roger Hufford

Paul Winters

Raymond Yeager

PRESENT CONSTITUTION

ARTICLE I—NAME

The name of this Honorary Forensic Society shall be "Pi Kappa Delta," the initial letters of the Greek phrase *Peitho Kale Dikaia*, signifying "the art of persuasion, beautiful and just." The name shall be written thus: ΠΚΔ on all insignia of the organization.

ARTICLE II—PURPOSE

It shall be the purpose of this organization to stimulate progress in and to further the interests of intercollegiate speech activities and communication in an effort to provide functional leadership training for life, and at the same time encourage a spirit of fellowship, brotherly cooperation, and incentive for achievement.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Amendment 1. Wherever the word "society" appears strike and substitute the word "fraternity."

Amendment 2. Wherever the word "provincial" appears strike and substitute the word "province."

Amendment 3. Wherever the word "graduate" appears in reference to chapter organization strike and substitute the word "alumni".

Amendment 4. Wherever the word "secretary" appears in reference to the national office strike and substitute the words "secretary-treasurer."

Amendment 5. Wherever the word "oratory" appears in reference to the present Order of Oratory, strike and substitute the words "Competitive Individual Speaking" so that it shall be the Order of Competitive Individual Speaking.

ARTICLE III—ADMISSION OF MEMBERS

1. Eligibility. Eligibility to membership in this society shall be determined as follows. The candidate shall be a regular collegiate student in good standing, or a graduate of an institution of college rank, and shall have represented his college in speech activities as provided in Article IV, Division C, Section 1, or shall be an instructor in public speaking, or a director of oratory or debate in a recognized college.

2. Recognition. All institutions maintaining local chapters of Pi Kappa Delta and all other institutions of collegiate rank granting a four year degree shall be recognized institutions. Other institutions may be recognized by the National Council.

3. Application. Each candidate for membership in this society shall make application in writing on the official blank. The Local Chapter shall then make an investigation of the eligibility of the candidate and, upon favorable report, shall recommend the applicant for membership. The application shall then be forwarded to the National Secretary with a statement of its acceptance by the Local Chapter together with the initiation fee as provided in Article V, Division A, Section 6. The National Secretary shall issue the membership card. The applicant shall then become a regular member of the society and shall be given the standing to which he is eligible. A membership certificate will be issued upon request at cost.

ARTICLE IV—DIVISION OF MEMBERSHIP

Membership in this society shall be of four classes, three orders, and five degrees:

Division A—The Classes

The classes shall be (1) Active, (2) Inactive, (3) Graduate, and (4) Honorary.

Note: Amendments 6-9 refer to the same point.

Amendment 6. Article III, Section 1, lines 9-11. Strike "an instructor in public speaking, or a director of oratory or debate" and substitute "a faculty member in charge of instruction in one or more of the activities recognized by the Order of Oratory (Competitive Individual Speaking) or the Order of Debate."

Amendment 10. Article III, Section 2, line 5. Substitute a comma for the period and add "provided the institution is accredited by its appropriate regional accrediting association."

Amendment 11. Article III, Section 2. Strike the last sentence.

Amendment 12. Article III, Section 3, lines 6-7. Strike "upon favorable report" and substitute "after an affirmative $\frac{2}{3}$ vote of the active members of the local chapter."

Amendment 13. Article III, Section 3, line 15. Strike the word "then" and substitute "after completing the initiation ritual."

1. Active. Only college students and instructors in Public Speaking, Directors of Oratory and Debate, actively engaged as such, shall be eligible to admission as Active members.

2. Inactive. Only former Active members shall constitute the Inactive class of this organization. There shall be two divisions of Inactive members: (1) those Inactive because of non-attendance at the Institution of learning in which their chapter is established, and (2) those Inactive by order of the Local Chapter or National Council. An Inactive member of the first division may become active upon payment of all dues and assessments that are due and unpaid by him at the time of his transference to the Inactive list, together with an additional reinstatement fee of fifty cents.

3. Graduate. Only former Active members, or those who were elected to membership after graduation, who have graduated from a recognized educational institution of collegiate rank, shall constitute the Graduate Class of this organization. Graduates of successful petitioning colleges who were active in forensics during the period of petitioning may be admitted as graduate members. Graduate members who pay the regular subscription price of **The Forensic** shall retain the privileges of Active members.

4. Honorary. Only those persons who have shown prowess or interests in forensic activities and who have been elected to membership by a local chapter and approved by the National Council shall be eligible to admission as Honorary members. They may receive full credit for their college forensic activities toward their degrees in Pi Kappa Delta.

5. Transfer. All students, instructors, and directors who transfer from recognized institutions may receive full credit for their previous forensic work towards eligibility for Pi Kappa Delta. Any member of Pi Kappa Delta who transfers to an institution not maintaining a chapter of Pi Kappa Delta

Amendment 7. Article IV, Division A, Section 1, lines 2-4. Strike "instructors in Public Speaking, Directors of Oratory and Debate, actively engaged as such," and substitute "faculty members actively engaged in the instruction of one or more of the activities recognized by the Order of Oratory (Competitive Individual Speaking or the Order of Debate."

Amendment 14. Article IV, Division A, Section 2, last line. Strike "fifty cents" and substitute "two dollars."

Amendment 15. Article IV, Division A, Section 2, last line. Substitute a comma for the period and add "and agreement of reinstatement by a $\frac{3}{4}$ affirmative vote of the active members of the local chapter."

Amendment 16. Article IV, Division A, Section 3. Strike the last sentence.

Amendment 17. Article IV, Division A, Section 4, line 2. Strike the "s" on word "interests."

Amendment 18. Article IV, Division A, Section 4, lines 3-5. Strike "elected to membership by a local chapter and approved by the National council" and substitute "nominated by a local chapter, approved by the National Council or its delegated authority, and elected by the local chapter."

Amendment 19. Article IV, Division A, Section 5. Strike the last sentence.

may count subsequent debates or speech performances with recognized institutions toward a higher degree.

6. Other Forensic Organizations. Members of other forensic organizations may be admitted to Pi Kappa Delta or members of Pi Kappa Delta may be permitted to join other forensic organizations, only by arrangement of the National Council of the two organizations.

Division B—Orders

The Orders shall be (1) Oratory, (2) Debate, (3) Instruction. The members of this society are admitted because of achievement in public speaking. A member may be one, two, or three orders, depending upon his qualifications.

1. Order of Oratory. Achievement for membership in this Order shall be intercollegiate Oratory or Extemporaneous Speaking, or Individual Original Speaking before audiences when such speaking is approved by a Local Chapter or a Provincial or National Convention.

2. Order of Debate. Achievement for membership in this Order shall be in intercollegiate Debate, or Round-Tables, Panel Discussions, or Legislative Assemblies when approved by a Local Chapter or a Provincial or National Convention. A decision debate may be counted as a non-decision debate in fulfilling the requirements of any degree. Each session of round-table, panel discussion, or legislative assembly shall count as one debate.

3. Order of Instruction. Achievement for membership in this Order shall be in directing or instructing public speaking, oratory, or debate in a recognized college.

Division C—Degrees

The Degrees shall be (1) Degree of Fraternity, (2) Degree of Proficiency, (3) Degree of Honor, (4) Degree of Special Distinction, (5) Degree of Highest Distinction.

1. Degree of Fraternity. All members of this society shall be members of the Degree of Fraternity, and the requirements for eligibility to this degree shall

Amendment 20. Article IV, Division A, Section 6, line 6. Substitute a period for the comma and strike the remainder of the section.

Amendment 21. Article IV, Division B, line 5. Strike the word “be” and substitute the word “hold.”

Amendment 22. Article IV, Division B, Section 1, lines 2-7. Strike everything after the word “be” and substitute “in intercollegiate oratory, extemporaneous speaking, or other types of individual speaking recognized and approved by the the National Convention.”

Amendment 23. Article IV, Division B, Section 2, lines 5-7. Put a period after the word “Assemblies” and strike remainder of present sentence.

Amendment 8. Article IV, Division B, Section 3, lines 3-4. Strike “in directing or instructing public speaking, oratory, or debate” and substitute “for instruction of one or more of the activities recognized by the Order of Oratory (Competitive Individual Speaking) or the Order of Debate.”

Amendment 24. Article IV, Division C. Wherever the word “original” appears in the phrase “individual original speaking” in reference to requirements strike the word “original.”

Note: Amendments 25-28 refer to requirements for Order of Oratory (Competitive Individual Speaking).

be as follows: (a) Order of Oratory. The candidate shall have represented his college in a recognized intercollegiate contest in oratory or extemporaneous speaking, or in individual original speaking on two subjects. (b) Order of Debate. The candidate shall have participated in five decision debates, or in eight non-decision debates. (c) Order of Instruction. The candidate shall be an instructor in public speaking, or a director of oratory or debate in a recognized college.

2. Degree of Proficiency. Eligibility to the Degree of Proficiency shall be determined as follows: (a) Order of Oratory. The candidate shall have won first place in a recognized intercollegiate contest in which three or more recognized institutions participate, or second in a recognized contest in which six or more recognized institutions participate, or shall have represented his college in three recognized intercollegiate contests in oratory or extemporaneous speaking, using two different orations or speeches on two different subjects; or shall have participated for two years in individual original speaking, using four different subjects. (b) Order of Debate. The candidate shall have participated in debate for two years on two different subjects. He shall have engaged in ten decision debates with recognized institutions, or in a total of sixteen debates, (c) Order of Instruction. The candidate shall have instructed or directed at least five members of the Degree of Proficiency.

3. Degree of Honor. Eligibility to the Degree of Honor shall be determined as follows: (a) Order of Oratory. The candidate shall have participated for two college years and have won first place in a recognized intercollegiate contest with six or more recognized institutions participating, or second place in a recognized contest with nine or more institutions participating; or shall have represented his college in four recognized

Amendment 25. Article IV, Division C, Section 1, line 10. Strike "on two subjects" and substitute "on five occasions or rounds."

Note: Amendments 29-37 refer to requirements for Order of Debate.

Amendment 29. Article IV, Division C, Section 1, line 12. Strike "five" and substitute "eight."

Amendment 30. Article IV, Division C, Section 1, line 13. Strike "eight" and substitute "twelve."

Amendment 9. Article IV, Division C, Section 1, lines 15-16. Strike "in public speaking, or a director of oratory or debate" and substitute "of one or more of the activities recognized by the Order of Oratory (Competitive Individual Speaking) or the Order of Debate."

Amendment 26. Article IV, Division C, Section 2, line 6. Strike "three" and substitute "six."

Amendment 27. Article IV, Division C, Section 2, line 9. Strike "six" and substitute "ten."

Amendment 31. Article IV, Division C, Section 2, line 21. Strike "ten" and substitute "fifteen."

Amendment 32. Article IV, Division C, Section 2, line 23. Strike "sixteen" and substitute "twenty."

Amendment 28. Article IV, Division C, Section 3, line 9. Strike "nine" and substitute "ten."

intercollegiate contests in oratory or extemporaneous speaking, using three different orations or speeches on three different subjects; or shall have participated for three years in individual original speaking, using six different subjects; or shall have received a rating of excellent in the contests sponsored by the National Convention. (b) Order of Debate. The candidate shall have participated in debate for two years, on at least three subjects. He shall have engaged in fifteen decision debates with recognized institutions, or in a total of twenty-four debates; or shall have received a rating of excellent in the contest sponsored by the National Convention. (c) Order of Instruction. The candidate shall have instructor or directed at least five members of the Degree of Honor, or his teams shall have won at least sixty per cent of all debates participated in for three consecutive years.

4. Degree of Special Distinction. Eligibility to the Degree of Special Distinction shall be determined as follows: (a) Order of Oratory. The candidate shall have participated for at least three college years and in at least four intercollegiate speech contests, entered by not less than six recognized institutions, and shall have won first or second place in at least three such contests using three different orations or speeches on three different subjects. This degree may also be granted to candidates who participate for four years in individual original speaking, using eight different subjects, or to candidates who shall have received a rating of superior in a contest sponsored by the National Convention. Provincial speech contests shall be considered as intercollegiate contests. (b) Order of Debate. The candidate shall have participated in debate for at least three years, on at least three different subjects. He shall have engaged in twenty decision debates with recognized institutions, winning at least half of them; or shall have engaged in a total of thirty-five debates, of which at least ten shall have been decision debates, with the debater winning half of

Amendment 33. Article IV, Division C, Section 3, line 24. Strike "fifteen" and substitute "twenty-five."

Amendment 34. Article IV, Division C, Section 3, line 26. Strike "twenty-four" and substitute "thirty."

Amendment 35. Article IV, Division C, Section 4, line 25. Strike "twenty" and substitute "forty."

Amendment 36. Article IV, Division C, Section 4, line 28. Strike "thirty-five" and substitute "fifty."

Amendment 37. Article IV, Division C, Section 4, line 29. Strike "ten" and substitute "thirty."