

# 'Confessions'

Dear Editor,

Kim's "Confessions" is misnamed; unless he identifies himself with all that he deplores, he does little more than indict. I must agree that what he stated is all too clearly evident; the failures of the Church are monumental. So? What is Kim's plan for change? I once knew of a denominational leader who was strongly criticized for staying with a group admittedly in trouble. His answer was that the Church needed more brick layers than brick throwers. If the basic structure of the Church just cannot be mended, what is to replace it? Consigning it to Hell was witty of Kim, but solves nothing.

In a recent OU student discussion, the sins of Watergate were clearly aired. In the next breath, many in the group indignantly denied the right of anyone around OU to demand moral behavior on their part: they were going to do exactly whatever they wanted to do. Yet without question, Nixon did what he thought best for himself. How are we different?

**I'd like to see Kim write the article his title implied; it might be much more relevant for us to know if his dissatisfaction with himself is going to lead to his doing something about it.**

**Horton Presley**