sis of continuing fragmentation in the forensic community by referring to a similar observation from 1984 that was, at that time, "13 years in the past." In this way, Jensen argues that a problem of the past remained a problem of the present, using its history to underscore its importance. Clearly, history can be used to promote progress, and this can be contrasted with occurrences where history is used to impede development instead. Collective memory can involve both benchmarks and criteria.

End-of-Year Functions as Spaces for Collective Memory: What is Remembered, What is Commemorated

Commemoration events offer prime opportunities to observe concrete examples of collective memory (e.g., Bodnar, 1992, Edy, 2001). Commemoration events at the end of forensic seasons have become long-standing, important traditions for many forensic programs. These are opportunities to remember, to celebrate, and to look forward. These activities serve as concrete examples of NCA's 2004 convention theme, "Moving Forward, Looking Back." What are common characteristics of these events? How does collective memory influence the current year's program and/or the development of the banquet/event? Is the strength of these events the role of preserver of history, symbolic constructor of the year's achievements, motivator for the next year, or a combination of these purposes? Or, as Derryberry (1997) offers, do banquets function most effectively as a unifying function, bringing together a larger collective for the act of remembering? In describing the end-of-the-year banquet of the Southwest Baptist University program, Derryberry (1997) observes

The annual forensic banquet...has its roots in the program's beginning...From its roots as an event for the team of less than ten persons, the banquet-program now hosts approximately 150 persons each spring...The banquet serves as an important unifying force of the program as it preserves past traditions and solicits support for future educational goals. (p. 14)

As commemorative events, banquets and other special programs celebrate past accomplishments and look forward to new challenges. Forensic collective memory scholarship should take a closer look at these commemorative events.

National and regional forensic organizations often hold commemorative events as well. PKD, for example, hosts biennial national conventions, with the final event a banquet dinner for all competitors and coaches. In recent years, a photo-documentary of the tournament is presented here, commemorating the tournament experiences. What are the impacts of this banquet and of the photo-documentary?

Even the convention itself can be viewed through a collective memory lens as a space for collective memory. In an essay advocating attending professional meetings, Loren Reid recounts:

When our discipline speaks at conventions, it says something like this: ...I am an inventive principle. I live among ideas; I work with instances, examples, reasons. I practice the art of thinking. I judge the future in part by what has already happened; I review the past, I contemplate the future... (1986, pp. 311-312)

Reid's rationale for attending professional meetings serves as a precursor to the 2004 NCA Convention Theme, "Moving Forward, Looking Back," and also parallels the idea of collective memory.

Documenting the Past: Films and other Records

While many modes of communicating collective memory lack permanence and, instead, are passed on via word of mouth, other means of collective memory are concrete. For example, at the 2003 national tournament, the PKD forensic community witnessed the debut of a documentary of the history of Pi Kappa Delta. This video traced the history of the honorary, and featured voices of both previous coaches and competitors.

As historian Rabinowitz (1993) explains, "documentary is usually a reconstruction—a reenactment of another time or place for a different audience" (p. 120). As a reconstruction, documentary writers and producers engage in the creative process. Consequently, "documentary film, in more obvious ways than does history, straddles the categories of fact and fiction, art and document, entertainment and knowledge" (Godmilow & Shapiro, 1997, p. 80). These interpreted versions of the past often heavily influence viewers—creating a visual picture of the past, replete with details and imagery.

Another concrete form of documenting the past is a squad newsletter. In describing the newsletter of Southwest Baptist University's forensic program, Derryberry (1997) observes:

As a type of newsletter, bulletin board, and source of information about alumni and the changing world of forensics, the publication allows student team members to reach out to a wide range of supportive readers. While the journal depends upon faculty advice, it remains the student forensic voice seeking to preserve the program's traditions while also communicating with alumni, faculty, administration and community and goals and challenges of the program. (p. 13)

This record also documents current practices, team achievements, and current issues of forensics, serving as a concrete doc-

umentation of the present, and consequently, a program's past.

Clearly, such concrete means of documenting the past play pivotal roles in preserving collective memory. How do these methods compare to the more informal method of word of mouth narratives? Is one method superior to the other in terms of preserving specific detail? Are there different emotional responses to concrete documentations of the past when compared to narratives communicated orally? How do documentary writers and producers balance the need to preserve detail and objective information with the inescapable subjective nature of creative film? What are criteria for newsletter content, and how do newsletters frame forensic programs?

"Why Do They Call this Forensics?": Unexamined History of Speech and Debate

Forensics has a long history, but how much of this history do most competitors know? Are details important enough to include in an understanding of how forensics has developed, or do abstract themes have more impact? How do students learn of the activity's history? How do coaches?

Scholars have looked at forensics' past to draw parallels to forensics' present and future. Harte (1993) examined contemporary forensic practices for impact on the rhetorical canon of style, and Derryberry (1993) argued that one should not forget the lessons of the canon of invention, or developing ideas. Similarly, Tallmon (1996) offers a discussion on the topic and invites people to return to the ancient and modern roots of collegiate debate, heeding lessons offered by Aristotle, Richard Weaver and George Campbell, while Ryan (1996) suggests using lessons of epideictic discourse to teach persuasion. Foust (1999) begins her analysis of contemporary persuasive speech topics by comparing current practices to ancient Greece, and at one point argues, "It is time for the forensics community to return to its ancient roots..." (p. 14).

Others have focused on more recent intellectual roots, including Hamm's (1993) analysis of competitiveness in relation to "the educational goals that were originally set forth by the forefathers of speech and debate competition" (p. 2), and Freeley's (1989) essay traces the origination of the American Forensic Association (AFA). Bodenhamer (1991) begins her overview of forensics as a community-builder by referencing the origins and original objectives of the Speech Association of America and the North Central Contest Committee. Friedley (1991) offers an overview of persuasive speaking, tracing changes from the 1970s to the late 1980s and positing predictions about its future, while Willis (1956) took a similar approach looking back to the first Interstate Oratorical Association in 1874. He observes:

A comparison of the orations delivered during the last twentyfive years with those presented before the turn of the century reveals, as we might expect, that equally profound changes have taken place in oratorical fashions. (p. 18).

Forensic educators have often looked to both the ancient and recent past of the activity for the sake of comparison.

These scholarly pursuits offer historical detail, drawing parallels between forensics' past and present. Grounding future research in collective memory with the activity's theoretical history will further unify such scholarly work and provide a theoretical thread linking the scholarship of the past to the present.

Learning the Past: Impacts of Collective Memory on New Team Members

While teams often have recruitment materials, scrapbooks of years' pasts, and plaques on the walls of Communication Departments, there are generally no guidebooks for learning a team's history. Records and documents only go so far, so how do new team members learn of a team's tradition? How is the past communicated? What are the coaches' and competitors' roles in helping new members understand a team's past? How does a team negotiate present, practical needs (e.g., getting new members to begin working on events and attending meetings) with more abstract understandings of tradition?

Fuller and Huebner (1993) make the case that team members can serve as effective recruiters, and Compton (2000) argues that current team members "teach" new members about a program by telling stories. Whether through the larger picture of team members recruiting or the more specific acts of telling stories to new team members, team members' collective memories have substantial impacts on the initiation of new team members into a program.

Macro Effects: Effects of Forensics on Larger Issues of Collective Memory

To this point, this essay has proposed examinations of collective memory effects on the act of viewing and doing forensics, but forensics also impacts collective memory on a broader scale, beyond the bounds of forensic communities. For example, consider how students' and coaches' decisions regarding speech topics, literature for interpretation, and debate cases influence what is remembered about the past. Platform speeches often influence how audiences view specific moments of the past, examining precursors to societal problems, for example. Common themes are found in interpretation events, such as the Holocaust. Prieb (2003) recently argued that seemingly trivial decisions involved in cutting literature for performance often have important effects on how the topics and themes are understood. Debate cases frequently hinge on framing the past in specific ways, with many rounds won or lost based on who successfully argues for their version of collective memory. Competitive forensics provides a

concrete example of the adage, "The winners write the history."

How members of the forensic community recollect and use the past—in speeches, interpretation events, and debates—has implications beyond the forensic community. From speech topics to literature selections, debate cases to offered evidence, those who do forensics influences not only how the world of forensics is seen, but also how the world, in general, is seen.

Conclusion

The past, present and future are not foreign to forensic scholars. Some have looked back at the activity's ancient roots (e.g., Derryberry, 1993; Harte, 1993), others assess present trends, and still others ask those interested to peer into forensics' future (e.g., Garner, 1991; Hamm, 1993; Sellnow, 1991). By grounding these research topics in an overarching theoretical framework of collective memory, forensic scholarship shares a common thread and bolsters its legitimacy in the scholarly community. Forensics offers a fascinating, intricate laboratory for seeing communication theories in action. Research that explores this context not only benefits the forensic community, but also makes valuable contributions to the discipline of communication. Building on theoretical foundations like collective memory helps ensure that forensic scholarship will receive recognition beyond the activity—informing those interested about not only what is done in forensics, but also how the activity is understood as complex with many nuances, just as are all acts of communication.

REFERENCES

- Bartanen, K. M. (1996). A preliminary assessment of the professional climate of forensic education, part 2. The Forensic, 882, 1-15.
- Berquist, G. F. (1959). Isocrates of Athens: Foremost speech teacher of the ancient world. Speech Teacher, 8, 251-255.
- Bodenhamer, J. A. (1991). Forensic friends voice a helping hand in the community. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta.
- Bodnar, J. (1992). Remaking America: Public memory, commemoration, and patriotism in the twentieth century. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Boje, D. M. (1991). The storytelling organization: A study of story performance in an office-supply firm. Administrative Science Quarterly, 36, 106-126.
- Brand, H. W. (2003, September). Founders chic. Atlantic Monthly, 292(2), 101-107.
- Brown, K. L. (2002). From The Speech Teacher to Communication Education: Some reflections. Communication Education, 51, 364-371.
- Burnett, A., Brand, J., & Meister, M. (2001). Forensics education? How the structure and discourse of forensics promotes competition. Argumentation & Advocacy, 38, 106-114.
- A comparison of Cicero and Aristotle on style. (1918). Quarterly Journal of Speech Education, 4, 61-71.
- Compton, J. A. (2000). Communicating tradition: Exploring forensics narratives. Paper presented at the National Communication Association Convention, Seattle.

- Compton, J. A. (2002). A scarcity principle explanation of novelty in forensics performance. Paper presented at the National Communication Association Convention, New Orleans.
- Compton, J. A. (2003). Rising to the challenge or cracking under pressure: Time scarcity and effects on limited preparation events performance. Paper presented at the National Communication Association Convention, Miami Beach, FL.
- Compton, J. A., Daradanova, M., Edy, J., Mindt, T., & Moellinger. (2004). Ghosts of the future: Collective nostalgia and the Kennedys. Paper presented at the Midwestern Political Science Association Annual Convention, Chicago, IL.
- Daly, J. A. (2002). After 50: Reflecting on the future. Communication Education, 51, 376-382.
- The danger of historical amnesia. (2002, July/August). Humanities, 23(4), 4-11.
- Dean, K. W. (1992). Putting public back into speaking: A challenge for forensics. Argumentation & Advocacy, 28, 192-199.
- Derryberry, B. R. (1991). Toward a philosophy of coaching forensics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta, GA.
- Derryberry, B. R. (1993). Developing ideas through forensics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Derryberry, B. R. (1995). Forensic evolution: Influences upon local program development. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Antonio, TX.
- Derryberry, B. R. (1997). Forensics as a cooperative agent: Building a tradition within an academic community. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago.
- Dyer, S. S. (2002). Evaluating scarcity beyond the forensics experience: Alumni perspectives. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, New Orleans.
- Editorial: Our high school constituency. (1924) Quarterly Journal of Speech Education, 10, 166-167.
- Edy, J. (2001). The presence of the past in public discourse. In R. P. Hart, & B. H. Sparrow (Eds.), Politics, discourse, and American society (pp. 53-70). Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Embree, E. (2001). Creating a legacy: Using your program's past to help your students today. SpeakerPoints, 8.
- Foust, C. R. (1999). Uncontestable topic choice, persuasive speaking, and the education of citizens. Paper presented at the National Communication Association Conference, Chicago.
- Frederick, T. H., & Greenstreet, R. W. (1999). The sounds of silence: The gender-based experiences of women in intercollegiate forensics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Organization for Study of Communication Language and Gender.
- Freeley, A. J. (1989). Present at the creation: The 1950s. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Francisco, CA.
- Friedrich, G. W. (2002). The communication education research agenda. Communication Education, 51, 372-375.
- Friedley, S. A. (1991). Coaching trends in persuasive speaking: Past, present, and future. Paper presented at the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta.
- Fuller, C. T., & Huebner, T. M. (1993). The student's role in recruiting: Methods and benefits. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Garner, P. H. (1991). Taking forensics into the community: Prospects for the future.

- Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta, GA.
- Godmilow, J., & Shapiro, A. (1997). How real is the reality in documentary film? History and Theory, 36, 80-101.
- Hamm, D. D. (1993). Forensics in the year 2000: Competition versus educational values. Paper presented at the Joint Meeting of the Southern States Communication Association and the Central States Communication Association, Lexington, KY.
- Harte, T. B. (1993). Developing and utilizing style through forensics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Holm, T. T. (1995). A dialogue on monologues in dramatic interpretation. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Antonio, TX.
- Huebner, T. M. (2003). The effect of the ten-minute time limit on judges' expectations of communication analysis argumentation. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Jensen, S. (1997). Equal opportunity? The impact of specialized tournaments on forensics pedagogy, forensics professionals, and the forensics laboratory. Paper presented at the Third National Developmental Conference on Individual Events, Houston, TX.
- Jensen, S. (1999). The best teacher I never had: A tribute to my mentor, Dr. Bob. Paper presented at the Joint Meeting of the Central States Communication Association and the Southern States Communication Association, St. Louis, MO.
- Jensen, G., & Jensen, S. (2003). Pursuing forensics goals while protecting family time.

 Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication

 Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Kaylor, B. T. (2002). Scarce events: Competitor mythification of CA and ADS. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, New Orleans.
- Kaylor, B. T. (2003). It's all relative: Communicating how much time forensics requires.

 Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication

 Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Keefe, C. (1994). The Pi Kappa Delta alumni chapter: Organizing itself with a constitution. The Forensic, 80, 24-30.
- Keefe, C. (1995a). The Pi Kappa Delta alumni chapter: On its maintenance and development. The Forensic, 81, 10-16.
- Keefe, C. (1995b). The Pi Kappa Delta alumni chapter: An account of the West Chester alumni chapter. The Forensic, 81, 19-24.
- Littlefield, R. S. (1996). Pi kappa delta. Argumentation & Advocacy, 33, 94-95.
- Manzo, K. K. (2003, May 7). Forum invokes heroes to help students learn history. Education Week, 22(34), 26-27.
- Mulugetta, Y., Nash, S., & Murphy, S. H. (1999, Spring). What makes a difference: Evaluating the Cornell Tradition program. New Directions for Institutional Research, 101, 61-80.
- Nelson, C. M. (2003). Through a glass darkly: Reflections on our field and its future. Education and Treatment of Children, 26(4), 330-336.
- Nelson, M. (2002, Summer). The good, the bad, and the phony: Six famous historians and their critics. Virginia Quarterly Review, 78(3), 377-394.
- Prieb, M. (2003). Time and interpretation events: The value in maintaining literary integrity. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National

- Communication Association, Miami Beach, FL.
- Rabinowitz, P. (1993). Wreckage upon wreckage: History, documentary, and the ruins of memory. History and Theory, 32, 119-137.
- Reid, L. (1986). Convention magic. Communication Education, 35, 307-312.
- Reid, L. (2002). The Speech Teacher: Early years. Communication Education, 51, 333-336.
- Reynolds, C. L. (1991). Formula vs. fractured formula in contest persuasive speaking. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta, GA.
- Ryan, P. (1996). Epideictic research as a bridge to argumentation: A rhetorically based strategy for teaching the arts of persuasion. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication, Milwaukee.
- Schwartz, B. (1982). The social context of commemoration: A study in collective memory. Social Forces, 61, 374-402.
- Schwartz, B., Zerubavel, Y., & Barnett, B. M. (1986). The recovery of Masada: A study in collective memory. The Sociological Quarterly, 27, 147-164.
- Schudson, M. (1992). Watergate in American memory: How we remember, forget, and reconstruct the past. Basic Books.
- Schuman, H., & Scott, J. (1989). Generations and collective memories. American Sociological Review, 54, 359-381.
- Sellnow, T. S. (1991). Strategies for coaching and future directions of contest persuasion. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, Atlanta, GA.
- Sellnow, T. S., & Ziegelmueller, G. (1988). The persuasive speaking contest: An analysis of twenty years of change. National Forensic Journal, 6, 75-87.
- Sprague, J. (2002). Communication Education: The spiral continues. Communication Education, 51, 337-354.
- Stepp, P. L. (1996). A practical guide for building alumni support and funding a forensics program to ensure continuation of the program during your tenure and long after your departure. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Diego, CA.
- Stepp, P. L., & Gardner, B. (2001). Ten years of demographics: Who debates in America. Argumentation & Advocacy, 38, 69-82.
- Tallmon, J. M. (1996). The thrill is gone: Rediscovering pathos and style in debate. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Diego, CA.
- Thomas, D. A. (1974). Forensics shock: Making forensics relevant to tomorrow's higher education. Speech Teacher, 23, 235-241.
- VerLinden, J. (1996). A critique of source citations in forensic speeches. National Forensic Journal, 14, 23-36.
- VerLinden, J. (1997). The unwritten rules in public address. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago.
- Wayson, K. W. C. (1989). Dust in the wind: Ethics in informative speaking. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Francisco, CA.
- White, E. E. (1967). Supplementing theory and practice. Speech Teacher, 16, 24-27.
- Williams, D. (1997). Over-quantification of sources in public address events. The Southern Journal of Forensics, 2, 106-141.

- Willis, E. E. (1956). College orations old and new. The Central States Speech Journal, 7, 18-20.
- Worth, D. S. (2000). Exploring the forensics community: The need for stronger basic research. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, Seattle, WA.
- Zellizer, B. (1998). Remembering to forget: Holocaust memory through the camera's eye. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.



The Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta

LIBRARY RECOMMENDATION FORM

(Copy and forward to your library acquisition officer)

Name		
Ivaille		
Department		
Institution		
Address		
City	State	Zip Code
otherwice enecified vour subse	cription is for a complete seri	per rate of \$20.00 per year. Unless les, which begins July 1st of each us issues that have been published
	Two Years \$40	Three Years \$60 \$15 per year for foreign postage.
Price Make Checks and Order from	es are effective through June Il money orders payable to Pi In your subscriptions agent o Kappa Delta National Headq 125 Watson Street, P.O. Bo Ripon, WI 54971	30, 2006 Kappa Delta National r directly from: uarters
The Hi The Proceedings of the The Proceedings of t	olications available through istory of Pi Kappa Delta, by L copies @ \$7.50/eac 1998 Developmental Conference copies @ \$5.00/eac the 1995 Developmental Conference copies @ \$5.00/eac copies @ \$5.00/eac	h nce, Robert S. Littlefield, Ed. ch erence, Scott Jensen, Ed. h