

Since anybody's particular sexual situation illumines and distorts commentation in this area, here's my Sitz: I am a blissfully femaled male (viz., Loree).

1. Male eyeballs being connected to the penis and female to the nipples, male sexual aggressiveness will remain more direct and aboveboard (doglike) and female more subtle and covert (catlike). (For the two facts on which this proposition rests I have the most direct evidence: personal research and observation.)
2. Equality minus complementarity equals poverty. Therefore, social role-assignments to male and female are ambiguous, not essentially evil or good. But in equality rhetoric, and in equality as a value, sociosexual role-assignments are evil: to that extent, both the rhetoric and the valuation are unreal. But in a society that does not assign, along with sexual roles, sexual partners, sexual role-assigning proves out as cruel as well as as stabilizing. For freedom and stability, our society has been willing to pay this price of cruelty: alternatives?
3. Society should be so structured as to permit and encourage each person, throughout life, to give and receive love through the skin.
4. Women, in religions whose divine is dominantly male, should have an advantage over men, viz. sexual reinforcement of devotion. Theoretically, such a religion relegates males to the secular-female counterpoint of the sacred Male. The actuality, in history of religions, is more complex but does not blow either proposition.
5. "Penis-envy"--as a Freudian projection-compensation for the vast male orgasmic inferiority--has subtler parallels in males' nervous asymptotic behavior vis-a-vis the current feminist movement. Females need to meditate more on male inferiority as both active (single, vs. female multiple, orgasms) and passive (single-orgasm potential as orgasmic enabler, vs. female infinite male-orgasm potential enablement), as well as in procreation.
6. It's inequitable for women to be paid as much as men, in the following situation: female, no dependents; male, dependents. Because it's inequitable for employees with dependents to be paid no more than employees without dependents: "From each according to his/her abilities, to each according to her/his needs." Present legislative proposals are too individualistic to be equitable, but would be justifiable in the absence of hardware enabling equitability--but we can computerize to effect a much greater approximation of "equality" as justice than we now have or would have under any present proposed legislation.
7. Employment quotas based on sex, race, religion are too expensive for any society; but the preferences of those in power, when those preferences are permitted dominance, are even more damaging than quotas. PROJECT: Defeat the second without imposing the first.
8. In a socially radical male who, for the sake--among other things--of being slave to an economically free female, enslaves himself to a job in whose values he at most only partly believes, the feminist movement produces antipodal feelings. Such females are--economically, academically, culturally, and time-wise--freer to lead in the creation of an alternative society such as he yearns for. QUALIFIERS: (1) Are such females spiritually free for this? That is their problem more than it is their males'. (2) What about females without a male economic slave? That is their problem, but even moreso society's. On their side, they have a freedom the maled females do not, viz. freedom from having to attend to a male economic slave and from having to depend, economically, on him.
9. Female and Male, mutually transcending, are to each other analogs of God in mystery and meaning: the unknown and the other and....
10. A woman is a creature who has one kind of misery living with a man and another kind not. A man is.... No painless sex trips.
11. A man who marries a girl rather than a woman gets both more and less than he expected. A girl who marries a boy.... A woman who marries a boy.... A boy who marries a woman....
12. Which sex is more enemy of sociosexual role-assignments? If there's genetic assigning of female to social stabilization and of male to social destabilization--"if": nobody knows, but I think so--females, more than males, will resist social role-freedom. In that case, the more significant sex in opposing the equal-opportunity dimension of the feminist movement will be the female. Fact?

See also #17, #62, #83, #330, #413, #414, #416.