

Through my years at NYTS I've noticed how harried those clergy among us become who've made no time-allowance for their degree work, their investment of a day a week at NYTS. In consequence, (1) their schoolwork, which should be a joy and a lift, becomes a drag and a burden, and (2) their general increased uptightness makes them left effective in everything they do. This thinksheet is for prophylaxis, therapy, and education on time as an integration-factor....From time to time I've tried a factor-weighting system in my own time-disposal, and running in to Loren Mead's today (24 June 75) in ACTION INFORMATION [Alban Inst., Mt. Saint Alban, Wash. DC 20016] occasions this thinksheet, which is a conflate version with my own system.

Review periodically with a view to changing both activities and weightings.

WHAT I'D LIKE TO HAVE HAPPEN FOR...

HOW MUCH IT'LL HAPPEN IF I DON'T ACCEPT THIS OUTSIDE INVITATION  
(0-4)

HOW MUCH IT'LL HAPPEN IF I DO ACCEPT this OUTSIDE INVITATION  
(0-4)

Sub-Conclusions

WHAT I'D LIKE TO HAVE HAPPEN FOR...	HOW MUCH IT'LL HAPPEN IF I DON'T ACCEPT THIS OUTSIDE INVITATION (0-4)	HOW MUCH IT'LL HAPPEN IF I DO ACCEPT this OUTSIDE INVITATION (0-4)	Sub-Conclusions
<u>myself</u>			
1. fun			
2. growth			
3. \$			
4. contacts			
5. ministry satisfactions			
6.			
<u>my family</u>			
1. participation			
2. network-building			
3.			
4.			
<u>my employer (church, etc.) #1</u>			
1. institutional visibility			
2. effect on participation			
a. of present participants			
b. of others			
3. effect on \$			
4. institutional appreciative-and-critical self-awareness			
5.			
<u>my employer #2</u>			
1. i.v.			
2. e.o.p.			
a. o.p.p.			
b. o.o.			
3. e.			
4. i.a-a-c. s-a.			
5.			
<u>other institutions</u>			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
<u>movements</u>			
1.			
2.			
3.			
<u>the inviter(s)</u>			
1.			
2.			

Short/long-range spin-offs, networks, piggybacks, clumpings?

Say NO total

Say YES total

Conclusion