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  Theta—Coe College, Cedar Rapids.
  Iota—Western Union College, Lemon.
  Kappa—Buena Vista College, Storm Lake.

[Continued on Page 3 of Cover]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Chapter Name</th>
<th>College/University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Ottawa University, Ottawa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Washburn College, Topeka.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Southwestern College, Winfield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Fairview College, Wichita.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Kansas State Teachers’ College, Emporia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eta</td>
<td>Kansas Wesleyan University, Salina.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tau</td>
<td>Kansas State Teachers’ College, Pittsburg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iota</td>
<td>College of Emporia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>Baker University, Baldwin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>Sterling College, Sterling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Bethany College, Lindsborg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>Kansas State Teachers College, Kansas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Georgetown College, Georgetown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Center College, Danville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Kentucky Wesleyan College, Winchester.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Colby College, Waterville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Olivet College, Olivet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Hope College, Holland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Michigan Agricultural College, East Lansing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Michigan State Normal College, Ypsilanti.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>College of the City of Detroit, Detroit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Macalester College, St. Paul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>St. Olaf’s College, Northfield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Gustavus Adolphus College, St. Peter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Hamline University, St. Paul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Westminster College, Fulton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Park College, Parkville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Central College, Fayette.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>William Jewell College, Liberty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Missouri Wesleyan College, Cameron.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Culver-Stockton College, Canton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Montana State College, Helena.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Montana State College, Bozeman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Nebraska Wesleyan University, University Place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Cotner College, Bethany.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Doane College, Crete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Hastings College, Hastings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Grand Island College, Grand Island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Kearney State College, Kearney.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Jamestown College, Jamestown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Baldwin-Wallace College, Berea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Heidelberg University, Tiffin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Hiram College, Hiram.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>University of Akron, Akron.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Otterbein College, Westerville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Oklahoma A &amp; M College, Stillwater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>University of Tulsa, Tulsa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Baptist University, Shawnee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Northwest State Teachers’ College, Alva.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Oklahoma City College, Oklahoma City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Linfield College, McMinnville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Grove City College, Grove City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Wofford College, Spartanburg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Presbyterian College, North Carolina, Clinton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Newbury College, Newberry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Dakota Wesleyan College, Mitchell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Huron College, Huron.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Yankton College, Yankton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>South Dakota State College, Brookings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>Bishop’s College, Rapid City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Northern Normal &amp; Industrial School, Aberdeen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Maryville College, Maryville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Tusculum College, Tusculum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Southwestern University, Georgetown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>College of Puget Sound, Tacoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Ripon College, Ripon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Carroll College, Waukesha.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pi Kappa Delta
announces

PRICE LIST, JANUARY 1, 1922

LARGE SIZED KEYS

With two pearls, two rubies, or pearl and ruby .................... $4.50
For other jewels add the following amounts to the above price:

Sapphires, each .................................. .75
Turquoise, each .................................. .75
Emeralds, each .................................. 1.50
Diamonds, each .................................. 4.00

Price for changing jewels .................................... .75
For smaller lavaliere keys deduct 75c from the price of larger keys.

In addition to above prices add 5 per cent war tax plus 50c which is a fixed charge made by the society to cover the cost of handling orders and mailing keys.

Address all orders to Professor Alfred Westfall, Ft. Collins, Colorado.

Explanation of Key jewelings:

DEGREES
Fraternity, ruby eye.
Proficiency, pearl eye.
Honour, emerald eye.
Special Distinction, diamond eye.

ORDERS
Oratory, ruby circle.
Debate, pearl circle.
Instruction, emerald circle.
Honorary, sapphire circle.
Orat. and Deb., turquoise circle.
Orat., Deb., and Inst., diamond circle.

PI KAPPA DELTA STATIONERY
EMBOSSED STATIONERY FOR ALL OCCASIONS
Letters to the folks at home
Formal notes of every description
Business letters
Social correspondence
Cards

With your Fraternity embossing or a personal monogram
Adds 100 per cent to the Distinction of the message

Price list, samples and special information mailed on request

FOR PARTY FAVORS—Look over the 1924 BALFOUR BLUE BOOK or write for special suggestions. The specialty you have in mind can doubtless be worked out to advantage.

BANQUET MENUS—A large and complete line is now under the supervision of a separate department, and requests for information, samples or prices, will receive careful attention.


All orders will be shipped C. O. D. for carriage charges and for balance due, if any. Orders should be accompanied by a 50 per cent cash deposit.
FIFTH BIENNIAL CONVENTION PI KAPPA DELTA
NATIONAL FORENSIC SOCIETY

Opening Session 11:00 A. M., Tuesday, April 1, 1924, at Bradley Hall, Bradley Polytechnic Institute, Peoria, Illinois.

Address of Welcome—Dr. T. C. Burgess.

This is a bad day on which to make an address of welcome—April 1st—as one is apt to be rather skeptical of anything he hears said to him; but regardless of that fact, I will try to assure you that on April 1st, 2nd and 3rd we extend a most cordial welcome to every member here present at this gathering. I don’t know of any organization we would more gladly welcome than the one which is gathered here now.

We have had a healthy interest in debates and oratory since the foundation of the institution. This city to which you have come is one of the largest ones in the state of Illinois—in fact, it is second in size. It extends for a number of miles up and down the river. Its earlier reputation was for the manufacture of a certain article which is now no longer represented in this city. Happily, we have started on a new career.

The chief industry of this city is the manufacture of farm machinery. You will find many large plants engaged in that business, and across the river you will find the manufacture of many other lines. It is a manufacturing city, and yet it is a city of beautiful homes. Nature is not very kind to us this year for the display of beauty, but I hope before you leave you will be able to drive around our city and see one of the finest drives in the country, as you follow the river toward the north. That is regarded as the equal of any drive anywhere in the United States.

The city has about 100,000 population. Bradley is not officially a part of the city school system. Let me briefly sketch its history. Bradley Polytechnic Institute was founded about twenty-seven years ago by Mrs. Lydia Bradley, who left her entire fortune as an endowment for this institution. It was a junior college—it is vocational—a horological school. I refer to the building next to the south of this, which is a trade school teaching watchmaking, engraving, and so on. The students come here from every state in the Union and from foreign countries. We have had graduates from Constantinople—even from Turkey. It is a pioneer school of its kind—the work is entirely separate from the college, with about 550 regular college students. We have this horological school and various vocational lines. Those are housed in the buildings next to ours, and across the street are automobile machine shops, architect shops and many others. We have a conservatory of music. In addition to our day classes we have evening classes of about 600, and have also a summer session for those who wish to hasten their work, or come here for training in the Manual Arts.

This is just a brief outline of the scope of the institution. Perhaps some of you may have a few moments between times—of course, I realize
how busy a session you will have here—but if you have time to see our different buildings and the work of the classes, we will be glad to have you do so, and will see to it that you are conducted about the buildings.

I shall not enlarge upon my function here, but upon our pleasure in the fact that you have deemed it wise to have your meeting with us, and we will do our best to make your stay pleasant. Call upon us for assistance in any way.

Response—Prof. H. B. Summers.

I am sure that I am speaking for all of the delegates when I express our appreciation for the very courteous manner in which Dr. Burgess has extended to us a welcome to Bradley; and I am sure that you will all agree with me that it is not simply the words of welcome that we appreciate, but also the fine spirit shown to us, not only by the officers, but by the members of the Chapter and all connected with the school. I know that upon my arrival in Peoria I was very much pleased to find a representative of the local Chapter in the hotel lobby, waiting for unsuspecting delegates—on hand for the express purpose of acting as a guide! And incidentally through him I am able to get what I wished to get and go where I wished to go. From the very time that we reached Peoria that was the attitude of the local organization and of the administration of Bradley.

I am sure I am speaking for every member when I say that we appreciate most sincerely the very courteous treatment extended to us upon our coming to Peoria, and that we express our thanks to Dr. Burgess for his kind welcome—to the convention officer, Mr. Siebert, and to all the members of the local Chapter. I don't think that along this line anything more need be said. Time is limited, and I think in saying what I have said I have been speaking for all of us—for the 200 or 250 delegates. I am sure that everyone will second my expression of our appreciation of Bradley and of Bradley people.

President Marsh—I want to say just a few words here. I arrived in Peoria a little earlier than I thought possibly might be necessary in order to check up many details that are always important in a convention; but when I came out here yesterday morning I found there was not one item on my list but what had already received attention. And I want to express my own appreciation of the manner in which all details have been taken care of, under the leadership of Prof. Siebert.

Reading of Minutes of Fourth Biennial Convention—Secretary Westfall.

Roll Call of Chapters—Total number of delegates responding, 164.

Various Announcements—Fred S. Siebert.

Moved and seconded to adjourn—Carried.

9:30 A. M. Wednesday, April 2, 1924.

Roll Call of Chapters, showing increase of 17 Chapters over Tuesday.

Reports of National Officers.

President's Address—Charles A. Marsh.

President's Address

Since we have a very full program for this morning, I shall endeavor to be brief. One of the outstanding events of recent months has been the publication of the history of the first ten years of our organization. A year ago last January we attained the age of ten years, and shortly after that date there was published in THE FORENSIC an account of the
genesis of this organization and its development for this ten year period. You have all read that history, and so I shall take no time to speak of anything which may have happened prior to the beginning of the present administration.

May I at the very beginning express my great appreciation for the splendid cooperation which the other members of the Council have extended to the president during the past two years? One of our ambitions has been realized, in that we have had a working Council. The members have undertaken the tasks assigned to them by the Constitution, and have carried forward their work in a way that we feel has accomplished very definite results. Pi Kappa Delta has always prided itself on being a democratic organization. Not only has each member of the Council an equal responsibility in promoting the interests of the society, but the membership at large is invited and expected to have a voice in shaping the policies of the organization. It has been our desire to keep in close touch with every chapter, and to consider the wishes of the members in shaping the policies of the organization.

We have constantly kept in mind during the past two years this ideal: That Pi Kappa Delta is something vastly more than an honor society—that it exists for a higher purpose than simply conferring upon a student honor for past achievements. Conferring upon an individual the right to wear the Pi Kappa Delta key is not the real reason for our existence. The Pi Kappa Delta key is a badge signifying that the individual who wears it has been chosen for a particular service. Rather than congratulating ourselves upon honors won, we have been looking into the future and asking ourselves this question: Now that I have attained this key, now that I have gained some proficiency in public speaking, in what way may I be of service to my age and generation? What may I do in a constructive way toward shaping the policies of forensics and placing them on a higher plane?

Pi Kappa Delta, as you know, has always stood for very high ideals. It has had to do with raising the standard of forensics in the colleges of the country. I can realize perhaps more fully than can some of you who have not so many years to your credit, the marked change, the transformation, that has taken place in the forensic activities in our colleges within the last decade. There was a time when every college looked upon every other college as its enemy. There was a time when colleges met for the single purpose of humbling their opponents, of defeating them, of being able to glory in victory that had been won. It was considered legitimate to win at any cost. There used to be a great deal of jockeying over the matter of judges—men were subjected to the most careful scrutiny and were objected to on the slightest ground. These conditions have almost entirely changed. Pi Kappa Delta has not only stood for absolute fair play in its relationships with opponents, but it has always recognized the fact that we are not in these activities primarily to win a victory, but rather to offer opportunities for the training of students. So in this way Pi Kappa Delta has already done a great deal to establish higher ideals among the colleges of the country.

May I speak now of one or two matters of administration—one particularly that we feel has made a marked advance in the workings of our organization? Two years ago you will recall an amendment was made to the constitution, which merged the offices of secretary and treasurer. The office of secretary had become a very arduous task. An immense amount of work was required to conduct the extensive correspondence necessary to keep in close touch with all our chapters. It might be well, perhaps, to remind you that the officers of Pi Kappa Delta are voluntary officers—no officer receives compensation. The work is done for the love of doing it. But we felt that it was asking too much of a national
secretary to expect that he should conduct the very extensive correspondence necessary to keep in touch with each Chapter—and do this simply on his own time without any assistance—so at the last convention provision was made for the merging of the offices of secretary and treasurer, and this officer was empowered to employ such assistance as he might need, and the work of the organization was centered largely in this office. It seems to me that this experiment has very fully justified itself in the result of the past two years. Professor Westfall has given very generously of his time in working out matters of procedure and in systematizing the work until he has placed his office on a high plane. We are confident that we made no mistake two years ago when we took this step.

In this connection I might suggest that perhaps the time is going to come in the near future when we shall need to take a further step in this direction. I would recommend that we keep this in our thoughts and look forward, perhaps two or four years from now, to employing a man who will devote his entire time to this work. The office of secretary-treasurer will soon require the full time of one man, and he should be placed upon a regular salary. May I add right here at this point, that I have felt for a long time that Pi Kappa Delta, so far as matters of administration are concerned, has not been giving quite due recognition to that part of our membership which gives a great deal of tone to our organization—the young women of our society. We must in some way or other provide for a larger recognition of the work that is being done in forensics by our young women. Pi Kappa Delta has always been an institution that throws its doors wide open to the young women as well as to the men. So possibly in two years or in four years, when we may have to employ an officer who will devote his entire time to the work, we may select a young woman for this important office. And when that day comes I feel that we should give to this office a degree of permanency. The secretary-treasurer should, if possible, be continued through a long period of years. I am simply suggesting this as something to think about for the future. We are not quite ready for it at this time.

At the convention two years ago impetus was given to an idea which has been in our constitution from the beginning, namely, the forming of Province Organizations. Since the last convention three such Province Organizations have been formed and very successful meetings were held last year. I shall take occasion later to speak more at length concerning these conventions, and in that connection shall call for brief reports from the presidents of these three provinces.

A resolution was passed at the last convention suggesting that we investigate the possibility of establishing a junior forensic honor society in the high schools of the country. After carefully considering that subject and after considerable correspondence among ourselves and investigation of the whole situation, the Council came to the conclusion that the idea did not seem quite feasible. The chief difficulty was the magnitude of the task. The number of high schools is too great and it would be difficult to draw a clear line saying that we should admit high schools of a certain size, because that has been contrary to the policy of Pi Kappa Delta. It would result, if the idea was popular, in an organization so large that it does not seem quite possible that it could be undertaken at the present time. Our chapters should, however, extend in every possible way a helping hand to the high schools in their immediate vicinity. There is one way in particular in which we may be of service, and that is in the matter of furnishing judges for high school debates. I may seem to be a little presumptuous in asserting that perhaps there is no class of people better qualified to serve as judges of high school debates than are the young men and women who are engaged in debating.
activities in their own colleges. Those who have had two or three years of this experience are just as well qualified to serve as judges as are the average business and professional men of the community. Here is a very fine field for service. May our local chapters let it be known to the high school in their vicinity that they are ready to assist them in every possible way in promoting their forensic activities.

We are always very glad when individual members of Pi Kappa Delta have undertaken to achieve something worth while. Two such instances have recently come to our attention, which I feel I should bring to you and recommend as worthy of your earnest consideration. A member of our organization, Prof. H. B. Summers, began a few weeks ago what I believe is going to prove to be one of the most helpful pieces of work in the line of solving a most difficult problem, namely, the selection of competent, qualified judges. Professor Summers, on his own initiative, undertook the preparation of a list of judges which shall be national in its scope. When this list is completed it will be of great service, not only to our membership at large, but especially to chapters who may want to schedule debates in widely separate areas. It has been his idea that this list should be national, containing names of men in all states of the Union. It has not been his plan simply to tabulate a list of names, but by vary careful investigation and correspondence, finally to place within this list only the names of men who had the confidence of schools who had used these men for judging of contests in the past—men who by their experience had shown themselves to be very ably qualified to serve as judges.

When I learned about this work I communicated with Professor Summers and after some correspondence we reached the following conclusion which we submit for your consideration. We felt that this list should be greatly extended beyond what Professor Summers felt that he, himself, could undertake; that if some assistance from this organization could be given, we might ultimately issue a list of judges that would be of very great service to every college and university throughout the length and breadth of our land. Therefore, I recommend that Pi Kappa Delta assume the financial responsibility of presenting to the American colleges this list of judges, and that Professor Summers, should be delegated and intrusted by this convention to proceed to carry out the plans which he has already made, and that this list shall be presented without cost to the colleges of our country. I shall refer to this again a little later and invite your consideration of the matter.

There is another good piece of work being done by one of our members which affords an opportunity for Pi Kappa Delta to become identified with something worth while. Professor Ray E. Untereiner, of Huron College, formerly a member of the California Alpha Chapter of the University of Redlands, has undertaken the preparation of a volume of debate speeches. Work similar to this has been acceptably done by individuals in past years, but there is now afforded an opportunity for Pi Kappa Delta to have a part in a work of this kind. Mr. Untereiner's idea, as I understand it, is this: That there shall be included in this volume the cream of the debates presented this present season by Pi Kappa Delta schools; that there shall also be included the winning orations in the contests held at this convention. Although he is assuming the whole financial responsibility, Mr. Untereiner would be very glad to issue this volume as an official Pi Kappa Delta publication. The very fact that he is willing to do this has afforded Pi Kappa Delta, I think, the opportunity to present to the colleges of the country the work its members are doing. The very fact that Pi Kappa Delta has ample material to issue such a publication is going to do a great deal in giving us even a larger recognition than we have already received. May I
submit this matter for your consideration?

The National Council has adopted the following plan of procedure: Each officer as he appears before you this morning will have some very definite recommendation to make to you. We shall stop at the conclusion of each report for such consideration and action on the recommendations as you may care to take. At this time may I call for a brief statement from Professor Summers concerning the work he has been doing?

Mr. Summers—I think the ground has been very thoroughly covered by Mr. Marsh. Last September I got the idea of compiling this list, and consequently I set out in the Fall to write different colleges and schools for recommendations of men who would be capable of serving. One school would write back and say they had none to recommend, and another would send in fifteen names, but from their own institution! I changed the basis a little bit and asked other people to pass on them. I had a list of names from three to five hundred men from all parts of the country. I selected at least five schools that were in a reasonable vicinity of the location of each one of the men, and sent letters out, asking three questions about the men:

1. Had they ever used him as a judge?
2. Were they willing to use him as one of three judges?
3. Were they willing to use him as a single expert judge?

I received a number of replies—some were discouraging. I had replies from 250 to 350 on the matter of recommendation. I have simply listed what these recommendations have been. I have listed on mimeograph paper which I have, several copies, as to what the rating given each man was by the school who replied. There is a great deal to this, but there is no historic information about them. I hope next year to put out a more permanent list. I would like to include only men who were vouched for as single expert judges by at least three schools, and I would like also to give a little information concerning each person.

I don't know to what extent this list is going to be of assistance, but I think it is valuable. It will meet a big demand coming from all sections of the country, as they have no means of knowing whether the men are good judges or not. In addition, it is an opportunity to know something about the opinions of other colleges as to the ability of judges. The thing has expanded so that it is just about as easy to make a national list.

Just one other thing—I have, as I say, enough mimeograph copies of this list in its skeleton form to supply every school represented here with one copy. If you will see me in the back of this room at the close of the session I will be very glad to see that you get a copy. Thank you very much.

President Marsh—The chair is ready to entertain a motion to the effect that Pi Kappa Delta assume the financial responsibility—you can put a maximum amount that you think should be appropriated for the purpose of publishing this list; and that Prof. Summers be delegated by this convention to serve in the capacity of preparing and issuing this list as an official Pi Kappa Delta publication.

Mr. Pelsma—I move that such resolution be adopted.

Mr. Marsh—Would it be desirable to incorporate a maximum amount that should be appropriated? Mr. Summers has been meeting the expenses of the work that has been done so far and he told me he had sent out between 700 and 1000 letters. Considerable mimeograph work has been done. It is asking too much of any individual or any Chapter to issue the rather extensive publication that it is now becoming. I suppose it will be issued in the form of a booklet, but I believe that should be left to his discretion. (Motion is seconded.)

Mr. Marsh—Any discussion?
Floor—I wonder if it is not important enough to call for a committee, or make another Vice-President or standing committee.

Mr. Marsh—I am not sure that the creation of another Vice-President is the best way, but there might be a committee. We could leave that to Mr. Summers; as he has originated this idea, he is without question the man to continue the task.

Mr. Nichols—I would like to suggest that this be made as far as possible a “Who’s Who,” and I would like in addition to the list of judges a little information in the shape of a paragraph about each one and his education, and that this be the sort of thing that this will gradually work toward. This will become not only a directory of names, but a real catalog of information about the judges. It will cost more to do that, but it does not cost any more for postage. I think it is what we should work towards, and I believe Pi Kappa Delta can afford to pay for the publication of such a “Who’s Who.” It could be put into the Forensic as a special number—we could print it, a section at a time, and then put it out separately as a book. I believe there would be a sale for that book outside of Pi Kappa Delta, but I think it should be furnished all chapters free. Since we have already suggested an annual Year Book—undoubtedly that will also have a sale far beyond the chapters.

Mr. Summers—Mr. Nichols has suggested that there may be a demand outside of the chapter, and I would like to take exception to this. I should appreciate seeing this list put out in such form that it might be circulated without any charge being made. It is along that line that I originally intended this. As a commercial proposition, I don’t know whether it would be a success in the first place; and in the next place, I don’t feel personally that I should care to put in the time to put out a commercial publication for nothing. If the thing is going to be put out for service to Pi Kappa Delta, that is different.

Mr. Nichols—Of course, I meant if there is anything to be made out of it, I certainly think Prof. Summers should have it. Of course, I was quite willing that it be furnished to all schools who cooperate without charge.

President Marsh—The motion is before you that this Convention approve the idea along which Prof. Summers is proceeding, and that he be authorized to issue this publication as an official Pi Kappa Delta publication, and that it be presented to the schools free of charge. All those in favor say “Aye.” (Motion is carried.)

It is, of course, understood that in voting, each chapter has one vote. May we pass to the second question—giving official recognition to the book of Prof. Untereiner?

Prof. Untereiner—As you all know, there is one hand book put out, but the debates contained therein are picked at random. They just send a stenographer to take them down, and then they publish them, and consequently, some of them are perhaps not the best they can get. I have an impression that the debates are not the best held—that there is no effort to try to compile anything of this sort. If we could get the hundred schools of Pi Kappa Delta each to present its best debate, and then weed out down to the best five or six, we could get a book of outstanding merit. I wrote to all the Chapters and asked them to submit material. I hoped that we could go over the material here, but many of the debates were not held until this convention. I have about half a dozen debates, and about twenty-five letters altogether from schools that intend to submit material. If they all carry out their plans, we will have a good many to choose from, and it will all be of a high order. I suppose all
Chapters have a copy of the letter I sent out. What is wanted is a complete debate—rebuttal, etc.—I should like to have two or three winning orations that are given here. I took this matter up just because I thought it should be started. I don’t want to decide for myself, and I don’t want simply the official sanction of Pi Kappa Delta—I think it should be published as a Pi Kappa Delta book. I don’t mind this first expense that I have been put to, but I don’t want to publish the book as an individual. I will go over the debates and call in the assistance of the Pi Kappa Delta faculty at Huron, to pick out twelve or fifteen of the highest order—unless you decide to appoint somebody else to look them over. I want Pi Kappa Delta as an organization to own the book, and for the first year I am glad to do the work and take the financial responsibility. It should be an annual book and it should be of a high order. But I want Pi Kappa Delta to look at the thing as an annual affair. I don’t even care whether or not Pi Kappa Delta appoints me to carry on this work, but I am willing to do it. But Pi Kappa Delta wants the book. If you prefer to choose some older man, I would be glad to help all I can to cooperate in the sale of it and make it a real official publication. I think in a very few years it will have a very high place in our order and in debate circles outside of our order.

Mr. Marsh—Perhaps we may continue with an informal discussion, without a motion before us, if there is no objection.

Mr. Finley—I like the idea very much, and since Mr. Untereiner is anxious to have it so, perhaps that would be the best way to handle the matter. I move that the chair appoint a committee headed by Mr. Untereiner, which shall take charge of the publication of the book. It seems to me we should be unanimous in favor of a proposition of this kind.

Mr. Veatch—I think we need such a book as this—I am in favor of it and second the motion.

Mr. Marsh—It has been moved and seconded that a committee be appointed, headed by Prof. Untereiner, to undertake the publication of the book. (Motion carried.)

Mr. Marsh—We feel that we have been greatly honored to have two college presidents present at this convention. One is President Montgomery of Center College, who was with us yesterday, and I now recognize in the audience the president of one of our finest colleges in the northwest—I wish to introduce to you Dr. Kohstead of Dakota Wesleyan.

Dr. Kohstead (Dakota Wesleyan)—I will give you a very fine example of ministerial self-control by not taking advantage of your generosity, and refraining from any remarks. It is a privilege to be here. I thank you.

Report of First Vice-President

At the close of the previous biennial period Pi Kappa Delta numbered 76 chapters, the last chapter to be taken in during that period being Centre College, Danville, Kentucky. During the present biennial period we have granted 18 charters, bringing our total roll up to 94.

The new chapters granted are as follows:

Kansas Lambda, Sterling College, Sterling.
South Carolina Gamma, Newberry College, Newberry.
Iowa Theta, Coe College, Cedar Rapids.
Minnesota Gamma, Gustavus Adolphus College, St. Peter.
Oklahoma Epsilon, Oklahoma City College, Oklahoma City.
Minnesota Delta, Hamline University, St. Paul.
Illinois Eta, Illinois State Normal University, Normal.
Arkansas Alpha, Henderson Brown College, Arkadelphia.
North Dakota Alpha, Jamestown College, Jamestown.
California Epsilon, University of California, Southern Branch, Los Angeles.
Kansas Mu, Bethany College, Lindsborg.
Nebraska Zeta, Kearney State Normal, Kearney.
Michigan Zeta, College of City of Detroit, Detroit.
Ohio Epsilon, Otterbein College, Westerville.
Oregon Alpha, Linfield College, MacMinnville.
Kentucky Gamma, Kentucky Wesleyan College, Winchester.
Arkansas Beta, Ouachita College, Arkadelphia.

At the beginning of this period the national council felt that the time had arrived when the requirements for the granting of a chapter of Pi Kappa Delta could be materially stiffened. It is the purpose of the society to encourage forensics in all the colleges, but at the same time the standing of the organization must not be lowered by granting chapters to very small colleges or to those that do not have high standards of forensic work. In pursuance of this policy charters have been denied to a number of colleges. Had these charters been granted our chapter roll would now number 103. We feel sure, however, that quality should count more than quantity.

During this biennium, then, we have established 18 chapters in strong colleges. We have entered four new states: Arkansas, Connecticut, North Dakota and Oregon. We are now represented in 26 states.

Kansas holds first rank as to the number of chapters with an even dozen, Iowa comes second with eight, Illinois third with seven; then follow Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska and South Dakota with six each; California, Ohio, Oklahoma with five; Minnesota with four; Kentucky, South Carolina with three; Arkansas, Colorado, Montana, Tennessee with two, and Connecticut, Indiana, Maine, North Dakota, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, Washington and Wisconsin with one.

Pi Kappa Delta is now by far the strongest of the honorary forensic fraternities.

Zeta Kappa Psi has six chapters.
Tau Kappa Alpha has fifty-one chapters.
Delta Sigma Rho has sixty chapters.
Pi Kappa Delta has ninety-four chapters.

The future of our organization looks exceedingly bright. What we need now is: First, real life on the part of every chapter, and, second, the right kind of missionary spirit. Keep your own chapter booming and help to establish new chapters of Pi Kappa Delta in worth while colleges in your section.

Five Colleges recommended for charters:
McKendree College, Lebanon, Ill.
Carroll College, Waukesha, Wis.
Western Union College, Lemars, Ia.
Kansas State Teachers College, Hayes, Kans.
Northwestern College, Naperville, Ill.

G. W. FINLEY,
First Vice-President.

Mr. Marsh—The first is McKendree College of Lebanon, Ill. What is your pleasure concerning the admission of this college?

Mr. Pelsma—I move that we grant charters to these five colleges as recommended by the committee. (Seconded from floor.)

Mr. Nichols—Is the application from Northwestern the original petition, or is it a new one?
Mr. Finley—it is a new petition on the basis of general membership.

Mr. Nichols—Does it contain members from both sexes?

Mr. Finley—It does.

Mr. Nichols—Students and instructors?

Mr. Finley—Yes.

Mr. Westfall—This is quite a serious business and I would suggest that we go very carefully; and while I have no desire to question the recommendation of the committee, I believe it would be wise if we act on them one at a time. We can't very well discuss a motion which has five parts to it. I understand that several of these institutions have representatives here who might wish to say a few words in behalf of their colleges.

Mr. Marsh—The motion is before you that we grant membership to the five colleges named. Shall we vote on one at a time, or how? Very well, one at a time, then. The first is McKendree College.

Culver (Stockton, Mo.)—Personally, I am acquainted with the president of this institution and I know he would do all he could to promote Forensics. They would be glad to have a Chapter in their institution and we would feel safe and secure in granting them one.

Geo. T. Carr (Carthage)—Being somewhat in touch with McKendree College, I wish to represent them. Knowing the standing they have in Forensic circles—we have had contact with them—I feel from every standpoint that they would make a splendid addition to Pi Kappa Delta, and I am very much in favor of seeing them granted a Chapter.

Mr. Marsh—Are you ready for the question of admission of McKendree College? We will vote by one representative of each Chapter standing. Thirty-five votes in favor—none opposed.

Mr. Marsh—The next is Carroll College of Wisconsin.

Representative from Floor—I represent them and it gives me great pleasure to say a few words in their behalf. I am sure they would be delighted to be a member of Pi Kappa Delta. If there are any questions I will be glad to answer them.

Mr. Marsh—We would be glad to hear from Ripon College. They have had Forensic relations with Carroll for several years.

Mr. Boody—We consider them as very worthwhile opponents. I know the standard there is as high as possible. (Forty-three votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—Before we proceed I would like to appoint two committees. It has been our custom to have a nominating committee to expedite the business. First of all, not only are the members of this convention welcome, but they are invited, to appear before this committee and express to the committee their desires concerning the officers. Second, when the committee presents its report, any member can present any nomination from the floor that he desires. The purpose of the committee is to expedite matters. The following are appointed:

Chairman, J. D. Coon, Sioux Falls, S. D.; Prof. F. G. Bale, Parsons College, Iowa; H. R. Beck, California Institute of Technology.

Committee on Resolutions—Chairman, Dean A. C. Lemon, Intermountain College, Montana; Gifford Alt, Morningside College, Iowa; Paul M. Watson, Centre College, Kentucky.

I also desire to call a business session for 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. We will now have a discussion on the recommendation of Western Union College.
Prof. Roy Smith (Western Union College)—I am glad to represent Western Union College. I have known the school for a number of years, and while we have had Forensic relations with a number of colleges, we have never had the interest there is there in the last three or four years. We have lost but one debate unanimously and have won at least five or six that way. The interest in Forensics is beginning to compete with athletics. I have had more out for the debates this year than we have had out for athletics all four years. I assure you that Western Union is interested, president and all, and we will make it interesting if we get into this organization, which I hope we will. If there are any questions, I will be glad to answer them.

Prof. Veatch (Dakota Wesleyan)—We have had Forensic relations with the Western Union during the past three years and have enjoyed them. They have given us just as strenuous opposition as we have had anywhere. Mr. Smith represents matters truly when he says their enthusiasm would advocate the admission of Western Union College. (Thirty-nine votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—The next is the Kansas State Teachers of Hayes, Kan.

Prof. Summers—The application from Hayes came in through me this last time. They put in an application something like a year ago and it was never received. Consequently they have been under the impression that their application was still under consideration until I wrote to Mr. Stark and asked him to put in a new application. I am myself new in Kansas and have never had any definite connection with Hayes. My knowledge of the school is had from the fact that I have talked with representatives of three of the Kansas Chapters who have had connection with it, and they have expressed themselves as being favorable to their admission. They are Kansas Wesleyan, Bethany and Sterling. (Thirty-eight votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—The next to consider is Northwestern College of Naper-ville, Ill.

Illinois Wesleyan—We have always found them worthy opponents in debates and contests.

Ripon—We have had debates and I wish to say we regard Northwestern College as one of our most worthy opponents, and would very much like to see them in Pi Kappa Delta.

Monmouth—We have had debates with them and always found them worthy opponents, and our women are anxious that they be received in Pi Kappa Delta. (Forty-one votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—We will now continue with Mr. Finley’s report.

Mr. Finley—We have more applications for charters from colleges on our list which we offer, without recommendations:

- Buena Vista, Storm Lake, Ia.
- Milligan, Tenn.
- Lombard, Galesburg, Ill.

Many of you know these colleges. Also Dubuque and Augustana.

Prof. Veatch—I make a motion for the admission of Augustana College—that it be granted a charter. It has the largest constituency in the State of South Dakota, and consequently we can see that they have a large financial responsibility in the state. It has a very good record, and if we stand for anything, they rank higher against us than any of the schools having Chapters; and we are very glad to make the motion that this charter be granted. (Seconded from floor.)
Mr. Marsh—May we hear from Prof. Kaiser, of Augustana College?

Prof. Kaiser—We have been trying hard to work up the Forensic work, especially during the last three years, and have been successful. It would encourage us very much if we could get a Chapter.

J. D. Coon—Dr. Kaiser is a little modest. It is one of the oldest colleges in the state, belonging to the Lutherans, with possibly the most loyal constituents in the state, and one of the richest. All Norwegian farmers are rich, you know. The Forensic record of this college has been very good; out of five debates last year they lost one. I believe the future is much brighter, both as to colleges and Forensic record of colleges. (Forty votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—Members of the Convention, I wonder if you realize the full significance of what you have just done. Not only have you admitted Augustana College to membership in our society, but you have conferred upon that institution the honor of being the 100th Chapter to which a charter has been granted by Pi Kappa Delta. We have now started on our second hundred.

Adjournment at 12:00.

2:00 P. M.

Banquèt Committee appointed by chair, consisting of Messrs. Veatch, Summers and Beintors.

Report of Charter Committee continued.

Prof. Lindsay (Buena Vista)—I have the honor of presenting Buena Vista’s application for a Chapter in Pi Kappa Delta. We have two debating societies—Star and Franklin. In addition Buena Vista carries on each year a contest with representative schools in Iowa. Buena Vista is a member of the Iowa State Women’s Association and State Oratorical Association for Men. I might say that Forensic interest is at a higher pitch at this particular time than in the thirty-three years of her history. I respectfully submit our application for membership.

Iowa Wesleyan—I represent Iowa Wesleyan and I wish to substantiate all this representative has said. All reports brought back in regard to Forensic activities were of the highest, and we would like to have a Chapter in Buena Vista.

Parsons (Iowa)—As a member of Parsons College, Iowa, for four years I have watched the activities of the various colleges. I have watched the activities of Buena Vista and I know that the interest was certainly evident; so I am quite certain, if we would allow Buena Vista to have a Chapter, she would be worthy of that honor.

Morningside (Iowa)—I want to substantiate the facts so far. I was at the State Oratorical contest, and I might say that the spirit shown in that contest from the Buena Vista membership was of the very finest and highest class. I think they are all interested in Forensic work. Their debate was one of the very best in the whole contest. They rank high and I should like to suggest that they be given this Chapter.

Mr. Nichols—I would like to ask one or two questions—what the annual budget for Forensics is at this institution—the amount of money devoted each year to Forensics. It indicates immediately what value a school places on Forensics, and it also indicates the proper ability of that Chapter to have representatives at National Conventions.

Prof. Lindsay—We charge $3.00 per semester for Forensic activities, which gives a fee of $6.00 from each student, which includes dramatics.
In addition, most all the performances held by the club contribute the proceeds to the Forensic organization, so we can carry on a liberal program. I would say that the budget would run to approximately $750.00 per year.

Mr. Nichols—How much is used especially for Forensics?

Prof. Lindsay—The total fund is turned over to the Buena Vista Oratorical Association, which has for its principal purpose the scheduling of debates. The Dramatic fund does not participate in this portion. The Dramatic Club is not sponsored by the Oratorical Association, but it helps the Forensic interest by donating. (Thirty-four votes in favor—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—The next is Milligan College, Tennessee.

Floor—I will speak for Milligan, for I was a member of that college for two years, and will gladly recommend it. Milligan is a small college, but they mean business. I don’t know anything about their finances; they have not gone far out of Tennessee in their debate work. It was the intention of Tennessee Alpha to back up this application of Milligan for a Chapter.

Mr. Veatch—I think in cases where the committee has not seen fit to make recommendation that it takes showing of considerable enthusiasm, such as sending a representative and strenuous assistance from other Chapters. I am a little bit opposed to this proposition. There are a couple of applicants who have seen fit to send representatives—why not all? If not, is it not right to postpone such matters?

Floor—I would like to call your attention to the fact that Milligan is the farthest from the city of the convention. It is in a state where we have only two Chapters; only one of those Chapters is represented here, and that Chapter is unanimously behind Milligan.

Mr. Westfall—The reason that their application was held up is that Milligan is not an endowed institution. We hesitate to establish a Chapter in an institution unless we have a good guarantee that that institution will continue. One of the best guarantees is that it has good financial backing. We don’t want our Chapters to go under. As a financial enterprise Milligan is still in a precarious condition.

Prof. Summers—I move that under the circumstances the petition be referred back to the Charter Committee for such action as they may see fit to take. (Seconded.)

Mr. Finley—They have a budget of $100 to $200 for Forensic activities.

Mr. Nichols—We should have more details to act on. We should know the average amount devoted to Forensics in the various colleges. It depends on the extent of the program, and it would seem that they would need more than $100 or $200.

Mr. Finley—Report says: “Non-sectarian school—students 135—faculty 18—Endowment, blank.” This is in the College Blue Book. (Thirty-three voted to refer back to committee.)

Mr. Marsh—The next is Lombard College of Illinois.

Dr. Erickson (Lombard)—I would like to say that while they are not as large as some institutions, there is not a small college that has made more progress than Lombard. One recognition of this fact was given last Friday when national honors were bestowed upon them. Another recognition came on Saturday of last week, when there was bestowed a National Social Fraternity. They investigated Lombard very thoroughly,
looked them over and investigated their records. We have three of the leading Women's Sororities there. In regard to Forensics, we have not as pretentious a record as some, but we are making progress. For the two years that I have been there we have been working for enthusiasm in Forensics, and now we have reached the point where we can develop Forensics into a place where it will mean something very much. We have held our own in debates. Normal gave us the following letter of recommendation. (Reads.) I have also an endorsement from Prof. Siebert of Bradley. (Reads.) The president of this organization very ably expressed the purpose of Pi Kappa Delta when he said it was not merely for the purpose of conveying the honor of the key, but to promote service. We desire to get this organization because we realize what it means in the development of our relations with other institutions.

A Member—I represent the Illinois Eta Chapter, whose recommendation Mr. Erickson has just read. You have heard our attitude. Some members of our Chapter live in the community near Galesburg, and their recommendation corresponds in every respect with what he has told you. We have met Lombard in the past two years in Forensic relations.

A Member—As representative of Illinois, Carthage, I would endorse the petition for this Chapter. We have had relations with the college and they have been very pleasant. I feel sure the prospects of the development of Forensics at Lombard warrants the granting of the charter. (Thirty-five votes in favor of—none opposed.)

Mr. Marsh—Next is Dubuque University. Is there a representative of Dubuque present? (No response.)

Mr. Finley (reads)—“Non-sectarian—160 students—faculty 28—Endorsement handed committee from Coe,” Upper Iowa.

A Member—Representing Coe College, we very strongly recommend this college. Dubuque this year has debates with other colleges. They won four and lost three out of seven debates, and that signifies that they have a good sized budget for Forensics. They have seven men competing for membership in the organization. They have competed on equal grounds with Coe and Cornell.

Mr. Veatch—Personally I feel the same as I did toward Milligan, only a little bit stronger, as this location is closer to the city of the convention. I think their enthusiasm should be strong enough to send a representative.

Prof. Summers—I agree with Mr. Veatch and move that this petition be disposed of the same as the other—referred back to the committee for their further action. (Seconded. Twenty-two votes in favor—two opposed.)

Mr. Pelsma—I would like to know the status of Kansas City University. They still think they are under consideration. Last year we recommended them unanimously and that is the reason I wonder what has become of their petition.

Mr. Westfall—I was directed by the National Convention to tell them, and I did tell them, that their petition was denied because their financial condition was not a sufficient guarantee of their permanency. They are listed with 31 men and 42 women.

Adjourned at 3:30.
Thursday, April 3rd—9:30 A. M.

Meeting called to order by Vice-President Finley.

Report of Secretary-Treasurer

There are a number of things I am going to report, not because they are particularly important, but because Mr. Marsh or members of the Counsel have asked that I present them at this time. The financial report I am not going to read in detail, unless there is a request for it. You may see it at any time—the report itself will be published in the next Forensic. It will be audited by the Auditing Committee and published in detail there. I have here the report of amount of dues, amount of keys, amount of fines and refunds to each Chapter. (Reads.) This report in this shape is not really final, because there are two days' business that came to my office after I left, and will be added when I get home.

Mr. Pelsma—Why is it that we can't put this money that we have on interest?

A. I don't see why we should not. I perhaps have been a little neglectful in that respect. Of course, the amount varies. I shall be most happy to turn it over, as I am not going to be treasurer any more. In connection with that, you might be interested in knowing that a year ago at the convention we had ordered Key No. 1452, and have now ordered Key No. 2066, making a total of 614 Keys ordered last year. This is just for last year. Also, membership card 2659, April 1, 1923. Shortly before I came to the convention we had Card 3559, making 900 membership cards issued in the past year. Do you want to ask anything about this?

You will be interested to know that we have adopted a new membership card which shows your order and degree. Say, for instance, that you are a member of the Order of Debating, or whatever it is. And in case you petition for a higher degree, your new membership card will not be like your old one.

One matter that concerns us is the matter of dues. We have a great deal of trouble collecting dues. You understand the Constitution provides that each member shall pay an initiation fee, and in addition annual dues. We are wondering if we could not get around that and save a lot of trouble by amending the constitution to omit all reference to dues and say merely: “Every one who joins shall pay initiation fee,” and then make that fee sufficient to take care of our expenses. I figure if we charge an initiation fee of say $4.00 we could cover it, and then we would be through paying National dues. A great many organizations handle their business in that manner. I have talked this over with some of the members, and am therefore proposing that the constitution be amended, Article 5, Division A, Section 6, by changing it to omit the first part, which says . . . . (Reads) and rewrite it to read: “The initiation fee of members of all classes shall be $4.00.” It is Mr. Marsh's desire to act on all reports as they come up, and I therefore made this proposal and recommend it for your adoption.

Mr. Pelsma—I wonder if you know how much is taken in outside of the $2.00 initiation fee? How much do we get a year from collecting these extra fees?

A. I don't know, but here is one way we can get at it. We issued 900 membership cards last year. About 150 of them, I should judge, were issued for advance standing; suppose we issue close to 800 new membership cards, if we charge an initiation fee of $4.00 that would give us $3,200.00, which I believe would be sufficient to carry on the work in the Fraternity, so far as it must be carried on by membership dues.
Mr. Pelsma—I was wondering if $1.00 extra per member would not give us the same amount of money we are getting now.

A. We talked that over, and as nearly as we could estimate the average member pays besides the initiation at least one year’s dues. We have difficulty in collecting, and this would be the way out. Two dollars would represent about the average.

Mr. Nichols—I would like to suggest that we make it $5.00. That undoubtedly will come as years go by. I think $4.00 is a little low. I know in my own Chapter there are a large number who pay from $6.00 to $8.00. I would be much inclined to favor that, as it gives an income for additional service in the secretary’s office, and we are going to need it.

Mr. Nichols—I would move that we recommend to the convention a constitutional amendment providing for a $5.00 initiation fee and dues to cover the student’s entire application.

Mr. Westfall—You will notice our report shows a balance of $3,153.19. When I get home that will be increased some; also there remains unpaid dues that should be paid, amounting to over $400, so we are in fairly good financial shape. It seems to me we have the funds to do it, and I believe we could run a chance on $4.00 to the next convention, and then we could raise it. Our society should maintain a balance of $1,000 or $1,500 always as a reserve, but above that I don’t believe we have any need for the money.

Mr. Nichols—I would suggest that the extra money might be used in putting out a larger Forensic, or putting it out oftener, thus strengthening our organization.

Mr. Pelsma—I am not so sure that would encourage students to join. It is a question of whether you would get more money. As to increasing the Forensic, I hand these out to my students and they don’t even look into them.

Mr. Westfall—I call you all to witness that the treasurer who has been scolded for always demanding more money is on record as hoping you will decrease rather than increase the fees.

Floor—I wonder whether there will be a possibility of decreasing the number of keys bought if we raise the initiation fee to this figure.

Mr. Westfall—I don’t see that it will. All students will pay to get in—it is after they get in and you come back, year after year, that they kick. We have reduced the price of the key and the present officers have been working to reduce it still more.

Floor—As long as there is no definite suggestion as to what we use this money for, and the treasury has sufficient to carry us for another year, I personally don’t see the object of raising the fee to $5.00. The thing is right now I don’t think we should try to expand unduly. We might regret it later. As the other motion was not seconded, I make a motion from the floor that we adopt the $4.00 initiation fee as recommended by the secretary. (Seconded.)

Mr. Finley—Any further remarks?

Floor—What will be the status of active members under this amendment?

Mr. Westfall—The motion I made implies that dues be abolished. It would have to be worked out this way: that we have this go into effect say September 1st of next year, with the $4.00 initiation fee, and that anyone who has paid $4.00 be excused from further payment; but those
who have joined for $2.00 should pay until they have paid $4.00 to put them on an equality with the man who pays the $4.00 fee.

**Mr. Nichols**—Was this motion seconded? I want you to remember that the $10.00 charter fee in this period of expansion is included in this reserve fund, and that there is a limit to the collection of charter fees. Sooner or later we are going to reach the maximum growth. I don't feel that a $5.00 fee is too much. I think $4.00 is going to be too small. I know by what methods of petty economy we have built up that reserve; I know we have gone for ten years without a cover page for the Forensic. We have done this by a lot of economy, and I believe that the added service that we are going to be able to give is going to be justified. I am sorry to take issue with the report in a way because I sympathize with it—I know exactly what Mr. Westfall is trying to do. He is trying to keep this just as low as he possibly can. Perhaps it is wiser, and I think I shall support the motion of $4.00, but I predict that we are going to have to increase it to $5.00 at the end of the next two years.

**Mr. Westfall**—We may change later. Suppose we do run behind at the rate of a dollar a member, say $800 per year during the next two years, we should still have a balance of over $1,500. Personally it is my opinion that we are going to have better than $2,000 two years from now.

**Mr. Veatch**—In another organization similar to this, we made a change of this type and fifty per cent of the people objected on account of the $5.00 initiation fee. I think it might make a material difference.

**Mr. Finley**—We will now vote on motion to discontinue the payment of dues, but increase the initiation fee to $4.00. *(Carried.)*

6. Fees—The initiation fee of members of all classes shall be four dollars. The payment of the initiation fee shall entitle the member to receive the Forensic as long as he is an active member. The Charter fee, payable upon the establishment of the chapter, shall be ten dollars. Article V, Division C, add new sections, after C.

**Mr. Westfall**—The next thing is the question of attendance at the National Convention. At the present time there are 33 Chapters reported as not present. A number of those are new Chapters. Perhaps there is something to be said in their favor, but among the first 15 which had charters before the last convention, there are nine which never attended a National Convention. I have had a good deal of correspondence with them and they seem to feel that they are under no obligation whatever to attend. That is holding down our work in a number of ways. Some of them are dissatisfied. They think they belong to an institution which issues a membership card and confers the right to wear a key, but we hope we don't stand for that and that alone! We notice this, that the Chapters which attend the conventions are enthusiastic and develop their Forensic work. I was talking to a delegate the other day who said: "I didn't realize what Pi Kappa Delta stood for—I am going to put Forensics on the map for our institution." For that reason the national officers have asked me to present some resolutions, and this is what I have drafted: *(Reads.)*

Whereas, All chapters share alike in the benefits of Pi Kappa Delta, and

Whereas, The work of the society is carried on at its national conventions, and

Whereas, Some chapters have not taken seriously their obligation to attend the national conventions,

Therefore be it resolved by this society, in convention assembled, that the national president be directed to inquire of the absent chapters why
they were absent, and to impress upon them the absolute necessity for attendance at the conventions.

Whereas, The national contests of the society are planned to afford a well rounded forensic program for our institutions, and

Whereas, Participation in these contests increases attendance at the national conventions, develops interest and enthusiasm, and disseminates the spirit and teachings of the society,

Therefore, be it resolved, That the society in convention assembled recommend that each chapter, in so far as its membership permits, enter a contestant in each of the convention contests, and that participation in all of the convention contests be held up as the ideal chapter program.

Be it further

Resolved, That the national officers are instructed to urge this program on the chapters in every way possible.

Mr. Finley—Any remarks on that?

Moved and seconded from floor that resolution be adopted. (Carried.)

Secretary reads second part of resolution.

Chair—Any discussion?

Floor—Just what would be the result accomplished by having this reprimand? I think the stress should come prior to the convention.

Secretary—We used all the means we could to get people here. This is aimed directly at some Chapters who have written back insulting letters to the secretary's office, saying: "You have exceeded your authority." I am going to offer next an amendment to the constitution which goes a little farther. This has to deal with the Chapters that are not coming to this convention. This is merely to put this convention on record.

Mr. Finley—We may do more damage by reprimanding these people, than good. I should like to suggest an amendment to the last part, I would suggest that the last part might be made to read more mildly, and to impress upon them the necessity for attendance at the conventions, instead of reprimanding them. I will offer that as an amendment—that we make it read: "And to impress upon them the absolute necessity for attendance at the conventions." (Moved and seconded—carried as amended.)

Mr. Westfall—The motion as amended is, that these Chapters be shown the necessity of attendance, so far as it is possible. The officers have been doing that. I don't see what more we can do by this letter.

Mr. Finley—It seems to me, to inquire into the reason why they were not here—to make them feel that their absence was noted and commented upon at the convention, would help them to feel that it really means something. They'll say, "This puts our Chapter in disrepute." We should keep all of the Chapters enthusiastic and with the right sort of spirit towards Pi Kappa Delta. I believe this method will accomplish it. (Resolution as amended is adopted.)

Mr. Westfall—I now offer the proposed amendment to the constitution.

(Reads.)

Floor—This amendment to the constitution virtually compels a Chapter to be at the National Convention at some time or other. I know in our own case we put on a little stunt and sold tickets. Attendance was not compulsory, and so they said it must be good, and they all came. That is the same way with this method of compelling Chapters to attend the convention. The proposition is to make the convention so good that they will all want to come.
Mr. Westfall—That is the purpose of this amendment. As far as I can check up, there are only six Chapters in that 33 that have ever attended. If we get them here they will come back and attend every other convention at least.

Mr. Nichols—One of the most popular luncheon clubs, the International Rotary, has such a requirement. They are now discussing whether they shall abandon that ruling. I move to adopt this for the reason you have just stated. I believe you will have to take some kind of action to get the Chapters here once. Then you will be able to abandon that rule. I think it worth trying.

Mr. Mortvedt—I know that there are many schools that have Chapters that could not afford to send a delegate here every four years. I would personally very much dislike to see a Chapter compelled to give up its charter because it did not have funds to send a delegate. I was wondering if we could not get the same results by abandoning the second part of the resolution, and leave out the one requirement that it give up its charter. Then if in two years or four years, it seems we are not accomplishing the results, it would be sufficient time to add it. I simply offer that as a suggestion. We want to safeguard those good Chapters that have not the funds.

Floor—How would you propose to get those Chapters who refuse to come?

Mr. Grant—We have not been represented in National Conventions many times. But I think if a Chapter were once present you would have no further cause for complaint. The problem is one of lack of attendance. They don’t know what we have here. It is simply a lack of interest along these lines. Once in four years surely most Chapters would be able to send one representative to the National Convention.

Floor—It seems to me there is no injustice in this recommendation. If they can’t put on two plays in four years to raise enough money to send a representative to the convention, it is a dead Chapter!

Mr. Veatch—We had two banks close this year and we had our Forensic funds in one. I rather sympathize with these people regarding getting their Chapters into the conventions. On the floor I opposed the introduction of two new Chapters because they did not have a representative here. That lack of interest results in dead Chapters. I would like, however, to see the word “suspended” instead of the word “forfeited.” If there is no objection, I will make that as an amendment.

Mr. Nichols—I believe there is something else involved in the last part of this. There are institutions which have plenty of money for all of their activities except Forensics; and I believe there is one in which the Forensics had their money all raised to attend and then for some reason the president took that money away and gave it to athletics. That is a disappointment to all of us. The thing involved in the last part of this resolution is this: colleges and presidents and faculties have a certain amount of pride. They don’t like to have an organization take a Charter out of their college—just as nobody likes to be expelled. It is going to wake up some of these faculties to the fact that Forensics are getting a standing and that colleges are going to be judged by that standing. They are going to give college Forensics a decent chance. You know there are not many colleges going to be classed as third rate. The reason I am in favor of this, they are going to see right away that they must appropriate money for forensics and for the premium that will enable them to do what other colleges are doing.
Mr. Mortvedt—With the change that has been made, I would be willing to support the motion. Does this cover those Chapters which have not sent representatives to this convention? What are you going to do with the Chapter that was not represented at any convention?

A. That goes in effect to imply that any Chapter that is not present at this convention, does not attend the next convention. That is the interpretation.

Mr. Finley—That way we can have a four-year period. That law is not in force until this convention. I just question it as a matter of parliamentary law.

Floor—They would have four years longer then, and Pi Kappa Delta would be held up in progress that much longer. A Chapter could raise enough funds to send one delegate. I am in favor of the amendment as read, with the understanding that by the next convention they should have a delegate in attendance.

Mr. Finley—I think we have our roll called on this convention. While it might be desirable, I don’t think it would be advisable from a matter of law.

Mr. Nichols—I believe we should rule that each Chapter attend the first convention after it is admitted. Most of these 33 Chapters have been admitted within the last two years, and they would have just as much warning as the eight who were admitted yesterday.

Mr. Finley—The question is, that this amendment to the constitution requires each Chapter to attend the first convention after petition for charter is granted, and that we use the word “suspend” instead of “forfeit.” (Carried.)

1. Any institution petitioning for a chapter of Pi Kappa Delta shall obligate itself, if the petition is granted, to have a delegate at the first national convention following the granting of its charter.

Any chapter missing two consecutive conventions shall have its charter suspended. Any charter thus suspended can be regranted only by action of a national convention, before which some delegate of the institution appears in person.

Mr. Veatch—I would like to move that a copy of this amendment be sent to the president of the 33 colleges whose Chapters did not attend this convention. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Westfall—Another suggestion is this: Quite a few go out to coach debates, a piece of service that Pi Kappa Delta might back up. It has been suggested that we issue a diploma or certificate in this way, specifying, “Whereas, ………… has taken part in inter-collegiate Forensics for …………. years, and Whereas, he has taken certain courses in Public Speaking which trained him in his work, and Whereas, he has the recommendation of his coach in Forensics, and the president of his institution, Pi Kappa Delta puts upon him its stamp of approval to anyone who seeks to employ him.” He has had these three requirements and we aid him by sending that diploma. It may help considerably. I therefore move that a committee appointed by the president be authorized to prepare the requirements of this. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Westfall—Mr. Nichols said that Pi Kappa Delta should seek to increase its service to its members. Another suggestion is, that through our secretary’s office we inaugurate an employment bureau. Three members of this Society asked me if I knew where they could get a job, and it seems to me that we might place our members. I therefore recommend, in the form of a motion, that this work be undertaken under
the secretary, and in such a way that the secretary and council shall direct. (Seconded—carried.)

Floor—Another suggestion in regard to our National Convention. At the present time we have two contests for men and two for women. They urge that we arrange a debating tournament, and I therefore offer as a motion that at our next convention we arrange for a women’s debating tournament and a men’s debating tournament. (Seconded from floor.)

Mr. Pelsma—There is a question if we have time enough. It would be fine, but have we that time?

Mr. Nichols—Where would we get the judges? It would be fine, but we would have to extend the time of this convention. I question whether or not we are going to have a surfeit of contests and miss many other things. The contests are just one of the important factors—the business must be conducted. There are the special addresses which we ought to get from prominent speakers of the country—the social times we ought to have. One of the chief assets are that we can get together and talk. We must place a limit on the contest part.

Floor—Take my own case for consideration—I have been busy with three contests and have not had time to hear one complete session. I do want to attend the business sessions, or how can I go back and tell them what has been done? I think we can overdo the contest feature of a convention.

Floor—Would it not be possible to work out some plan whereby the champions of the various teams be selected?

Mr. Westfall—There are other reports to come—may I suggest that we lay this on the table, and if we get time we can take it up? I therefore move that this suggestion be laid on the table until such time as we have time to take it up. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Westfall—I want to speak about the key. There are several young ladies who are wearing their keys as pins. The constitution says you shall not have your key made up into stick pins, but it does not prohibit you from having a pin on the back of it, just so you don’t change the key. I ordered those keys with the pin attached. Another thing, there has been a suggestion about having the key made up in white gold. I am wearing a white gold key without any authority. Some one requested it and the company asked permission to make one up for inspection. It costs $2.00 more. Do we want to authorize the sale of that? I move that we permit people to order their keys in white gold if they so desire. (Seconded.)

Mr. Veatch—It seems to me that there are several different keys, and I would like to see our keys uniform, unless there is a meaning to the different kinds.

Prof. Summers—I second what is said by Mr. Veatch. Pi Kappa Delta has two sizes and any number of types of jeweling. The jeweling is justified upon the ground of the various degrees and orders. I don’t believe that two sizes and two colors is the very best proposition, unless the difference in coloring of key has some other significance.

Chair—Are you ready for the question? On voting the “No’s” have it. Suggestion is lost.

Mr. Westfall—May I speak on the question of fines? The National Council has authorized me to impose fines in certain cases. In the past year Chapters have been fined over $100. Some Chapters have been fined as
much as $5.00. One man wrote back and said, "Your favorite expression is, 'I fine you $1.00.'" It seems to me something ought to be done there. They pay the fines, but we want their cooperation, not the money. I hope that all of us here will make our local officers feel their responsibility. I don't think any chapter should pay a fine because the president neglects his duty. We fined a chapter $3.00 because the president failed to reply to tell us if his chapter was going to send any delegate to this convention. He writes in, saying "I have been so busy I could not answer,"—so the result is, he brings trouble between the local and the National. Undoubtedly he will turn this in for his Chapter to pay, but he is the one who should pay it, for neglecting to discharge his duties. I thank you all who have cooperated with me. I have carried on a great deal of correspondence, and sometimes I have had to be rather—well, as one man said, I should use asbestos paper to write my letters on. But I never write a severe letter unless a courteous letter fails. One young man was so busy getting engaged, that he said he didn't have time to answer. We have no desire to interfere with such a laudable enterprise, but nevertheless, if your engagement was the cause, then you should pay the fine cheerfully. The obligation to cooperate with the National officers of course, rests with the local chapter. The relations with most of you have been very pleasant and I have enjoyed them. It has been a pleasure to meet, face to face, those with whom I have had correspondence, and I thank you.

Mr. Pelsma—I wonder how about selecting the debate question in the spring? I should think in September would be better than in April or May. I move that this question be settled this spring. (Seconded—rising vote of 25 in favor, 31 opposed. Motion is lost.)

Mr. Nichols—I would like to move that the secretary send out circular letters and get submission of possible questions this spring to be considered from the Chapters, and that he return as soon as possible that combination of suggested questions to every Chapter. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Pelsma—I move that this question be settled by October 1st.

Mr. Davidson—Our school doesn't begin until October 1st or 2nd.

Mr. Pelsma—Withdraw the motion and make it October 15th. (Seconded—carried.)

Report of Historian

I think the report of the Historian has been before you for some time, and I need take no time for that. It was in the February number of the Forensic last year.

As business manager of the Forensic, I ask that we might have a contest for the front cover page for the Forensic. When you get home, if you have any pen artists there, you will bring this to their attention. I have asked the National Council to authorize a prize of $10.00 for the first and $5.00 for the second prize. I do not think we should confine this to members of Pi Kappa Delta, but of course that may be left to your discretion; but I would like to recommend that you authorize such prize contest and encourage competitors from Pi Kappa Delta institutions.

I have one or two other suggestions—one about publicity. I feel, as chairman of the publicity committee, we should have a great deal more publicity, not only for our National Conventions, but each Chapter should appoint an officer whose job it will be to look after the publicity of Forensics in your local community and city. Pi Kappa Delta is falling down on this subject. In order to accomplish the thing we are trying to do publicity is absolutely desirable. Is it true in your community that you can get pages and pages for athletics, and just a little corner behind the ads for Forensics? We must get publicity and get things over to the
place where they are willing to give you space. Why not work publicity for all it is worth? We are falling down on this matter because we do not have a publicity officer in every Chapter. I hope that by the next convention we will have a strong publicity organization made up of one man from every Chapter, with one man on the counsel who is devoting his time to that job. Mr. Siebert has done a magnificent job of getting publicity for this convention.

I have no further report to make except that the publicity committee is going to stay on the job—so is the historian. Undoubtedly somebody will take charge of the Forensic.

J. D. Coon (National Counsel)—The nature of my speech compels me to make this introduction: A man may do one of two things—remain seated and be thought a fool, or speak and remove all doubt.

I am going to make a few remarks about judging debates. You know that I have not had enough experience to tell you anything about it. Two years ago they appointed me chairman. It is often stated that the purpose of a debate is to arrive at the truth—also to convince the hearers. This is not the purpose of a high school debate—it is to develop skill in debates. I am not certain that everyone would agree with me. The next thing I would state, I believe the students should have something done to them to see the philosophical side of how to lose a debate. You know it is more blessed to lose than to win, so the Bible says. We all ought to be able to learn that. How can we teach them to lose? The ones I lost did me more good than the ones I won. I heard a girl's debate not very long ago—it was against the home team, and instead of congratulating the winner they went upstairs and cried! That is what I call vicious. I am so interested in that that I have said to myself and to others, the students ought to welcome a raw decision and use it for their own welfare. Take the ordinary political activities of our country—why not take defeat and make it a stepping-stone to success? I have been defeated in lots of things, but what are you going to do about it? If you are in the Senate for twelve years and some young Bolshevik comes up and attacks you, are you going to commit suicide?

Now I would like to tell you what I do in judging debates as a single judge! A coach writes as follows under date of a year ago: (Reads) I have another letter on the other side. (Reads) From O'Neil of Wisconsin. What are we going to do with this one-judge proposition? I have several books and papers that give us a statement upon which one judge may base his decision. Mr. Summers hands out two cards with a marking system, and an instruction which states to the judge: “Please do not fill out card until you have made your decision and retain them until they are called for.” I have criticized the blank and ballot for debate. Are there any points we can write down? Let me read from this blank. (Reads) That is faulty. I have another one here by a local authority in our locality. (Reads) It also is complicated. When I am going to judge a debate the two teams line up and I ask myself these five questions:

1. Analysis and structure. It was mentioned that we did not need so much outline thrown at the judge. Under this analysis and structure I demand that the first speaker set forth the case—define the terms—state the issue; that is—unity, coherence and emphasis that I may get, that goes. The outline should be in words, so that I may know he is giving the outline. Once I put down four propositions that I thought was the outline, on scratch paper, and I didn't know which was the outline. He should state it. This gentleman stated—Mr. O'Neil said—I have his book, and I find the most glaring demand for outline. I open Chapter 2 on “Persuasion”—there is the word “outline.” That is very clear. I am going to subscribe to a copy of that book.
2. The second proposition I would use—Selection and Use of Evidence.
3. Delivery. We must consider delivery on all these matters.
4. Refutation. The closing statements should be more than merely a wrangle.
5. Adaptation.

The first speaker makes an impression on the audience—sets forth the case and makes some progress in going forward into it. In the refutation, or rebuttal speech, you have to consider all the issues. It must be short and simple, and what I would like to do is to have all of you people work on this kind of thing and come together on something we could publish—put them out as the Pi Kappa Delta test of good debates. That is the purpose of this report, and it should be the purpose of our organization within the next two years. I am sure there are many men in this organization more qualified to work on this than I am. I thank you.

Mr. Marsh now being present, took the chair in place of Mr. Finley.

Prof. Summers—I suggest as long as Mr. Coon has carried it this far, I should like to see this sort of thing done by Pi Kappa Delta. I wish we would write to men all over the country who are considered good judges in their respective sections, and ask them to give a 100-word discussion, and ask them also if there are many points which do not fall under one of these five, they should be added, and from the replies that we get compile the best five or ten and have them published. I would like to find out what the attitude of people who do a great deal of judging is. Mr. Coon told me about a team which he had heard, which did not give the exact source of the evidence used. The same team, after the debate, said that another judge had taken them very severely to task for using it. I would like to find the concensus of opinion on things of this sort.

Students' Committee Report—Miss Todd.

The students want to be represented in the Council. Our committee has drawn up the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Constitution of Pi Kappa Delta be amended to read as follows:

Art. V, Div. C, Sec. 2. Officers and Duties. The elective officers of Pi Kappa Delta shall be President, First Vice-President, Second Vice-President, Secretary, who shall also act as Treasurer, Historian, and two Student Representatives, one man and one woman. They shall be elected for a term of two years and shall hold office until their successors are duly elected. Officers shall assume their duties on the first day of July following their election.

(f) Student Representatives. The woman Student Representatives shall be Chairman of a Committee of Arrangement for all Women’s contests at the National Pi Kappa Delta Convention. The Man Student Representative shall be Chairman of a Committee of Arrangement for all Men's contests at the National Pi Kappa Delta Convention. In addition they shall carry out whatever duties the Council shall see fit to assign them.

Signed: STUDENT COMMITTEE,
Editha Todd, Chairman.
Herman N. Beimfohr.

Miss Todd—I move that this amendment be adopted. (Seconded.)

Mr. Marsh—The National Council of Pi Kappa Delta has had under consideration some method of showing appreciation of the large coopera-
tion of the student portion of our organization, and we welcome this movement on the part of the students. The matter is open for your discussion.

Mr. Summers—The first part having to do with the representation is all right; but the second part, having to do with the duties of the student representatives—that the woman student shall be chairman of a committee of arrangement for all women’s contests at the National Convention, and that the man student have charge of the men’s contests at the convention—seems to be open to some question. I would like to have the opinion of one of the gentlemen in charge of the contest at this convention, and see whether or not the same experience is a desirable thing for a student to handle.

Mr. Finley—It happened to fall to my lot to be chairman at this convention, and this is about the only business session that I have been able to attend. With all the judges to be secured, I haven’t had time to eat. I am heartily in favor of giving this job to someone who can stand the racket, but I am not so sure that we can find students who have had enough experience to handle the job.

Floor—I don’t believe it would be advisable to put such a great power in the hands of the student. A person would have to be a sophomore now; and if we elect representatives now who are juniors or sophomores, I think that person is not qualified to fill such a responsibility, in order to insure the progressive steps already taken. Therefore, I think myself that this should be left to the faculty members of the National officers of the Council.

Mr. Taylor—There is a little policy in that argument, because if you elect a sophomore at the present time, at the next convention that sophomore ought to be at least a senior. And the argument that a senior would be more able to carry out that work would lend itself very readily to this.

Floor—I don’t know how many sophomores are here—I do not think we know the ability of sophomores at the present time. No doubt they will be seniors in two years; but if we elect a sophomore now, that person may not be the right sort of a person to be on this committee.

Floor—We discussed this proposition up and down last night, and we came to the conclusion that we would favor student representation on the council to cooperate with the council, but that we would not favor their responsibility for any great enterprise such as these contests involve. Therefore, I move that the resolution be divided into two parts. (Seconded.)

Floor—I also move the adoption of the first part of the resolution. (Seconded.)

Girl from Floor—We feel that the students should be represented, not only to render service; I wish to make a motion that it be amended to read that these student representatives be assistant chairman at all these contests at the convention.

Mr. Marsh—I shall have to rule your motion out of order, since we are now considering the first part of the amendment. It might be well to hear the Council’s attitude on this.

Mr. Westfall—The Council met at the invitation of this committee and threshed the matter out. It was the agreement of the Council that there is work these students could do, and we suggested they take over these contests. There is much to be done in the next two years. There is
work to be promoted, and I don’t see why a student should not do that. If we give them that authority I doubt if they would take a wrong attitude. They would consult the Council and all work together. I believe personally it would be a decided advantage. We would encourage the student to take a more active part and train people to get into the instruction end of the work and become members of the faculty group, rather than the students’ group.

Mr. Marsh—The vote is upon the first part of the amendment—namely, that two members, a man and woman student representative, shall be placed upon the Council as elective members, to be elected by the convention. Those in favor of this motion will stand. (Forty-eight in favor—carried unanimously.)

Adjournment at 12:00.

1:30 P. M.

Report of Committee on Interforensic Relations. (Read by Mr. Westfall.)

To this committee nothing has been referred during the past biennium, hence there is nothing to report.

JOHN R. MACARTHUR, Chairman.

Report of Editor of The Forensic. (Read by Mr. Westfall.)

Moved by Mr. Westfall that report be incorporated in the minutes of the session. (Seconded.)

Report of Editor of The Forensic

The four issues a year of The Forensic have appeared fairly regularly during the past biennium. The size of each issue, however, has been materially increased. With the growth of the Order sufficient revenue is now obtained to make it unnecessary to reduce the size of the publication in the way that it had to be done when things were in their beginnings. The features which have marked the magazine for the past eight years have been continued. Articles—when available—have been printed, also clippings from the exchanges, Chapter letters, general news items and editorials. The outstanding feature of the biennium has been the Decennial Historical Number, which brought together an amount of valuable material, especially regarding the origin of Pi Kappa Delta. Every Chapter should preserve a copy of this number among its archives or see that a bound copy is placed in the library of its institution.

It is now time to consider definitely the future of the magazine. There is an undoubted opportunity for a forensic magazine which shall contain material of help and interest to the large number of people who are engaging in debate, oratory and extemporaneous speaking contests. Not only those occupied with such work in colleges and universities, but also those in high schools, would welcome such a publication. Articles, briefs for subjects of vital interest, suggestions as to the best treatment of the different kinds of forensic material—all these would be read eagerly. There might also be added a column which would suggest features whereby literary societies—and there are more of them left than people imagine—could vitalize and render attractive their programs.

The question arises as to whether four issues in the year would be sufficient. The number might be easily increased to six. Eight would probably be too many.

It is the opinion of the editor that the magazine should, if possible, be printed where the editor himself is, and that he should have the immediate supervision of it. The condition which has existed for the past three or four years might easily produce results far from satisfactory.
We have been criticized for sending bundles of magazines to the Chapter president or secretary or to the debate coach instead of sending a magazine directly to each member. We should be glad to do the latter if in any possible way we could secure the address of each member. As it is, in many cases the returns from the Chapters are so slow in coming in in the fall of the year that for the first issue we simply have to estimate the probable number of members in the Chapter and send to an officer—if we have her or his name—or, failing that, to the debate coach, a bundle containing this estimated number of copies. We should welcome suggestions whereby the other method might be made practicable.

Mr. Marsh—Let us return to the consideration of the second part of the amendment. I will ask Miss Todd to please read the second part again.

Moved and seconded from floor that it be adopted as read.

Mr. Veatch—I rather feel this way about it: I was National Secretary-Treasurer for a year in an association where we have a student president elected every year, generally by the delegates who are present at the National Convention. Several times this situation arose and I really feel that they need a little special attention under the direct supervision of one of the others. At the head of this committee we should have someone who would remain there.

Floor—I agree with Mr. Veatch there. I feel that the task of managing contests is a real task. It happened to be my duty to be in charge at one convention and I had to ask several people to help me. I think a contest of national nature takes a great deal of ability as well as responsibility. Experience always counts. I feel that a faculty member should be in charge and let the student work as assistant. Then the student can do all the work possible, but the responsibility will fall on the faculty member. It should read that the "young woman representative should assist in the management of the contests for women, and the men's representative should assist in the management of the contests for men."

Mr. Nichols—The purpose of this resolution is to enable us to have the most cooperation—that is the ultimate aim. The counsel had in mind when they said "in charge of contests" that they could act as sort of secretary, working with the faculty; and if assistant means that, I make the motion that we amend the original to read to that effect—that they act as assistants. (Seconded.)

Mr. Marsh—The vote is now upon the amendment. (Carried.)

Mr. Marsh—The second part of the resolution as amended is now before you. (Carried.)

Moved from the floor that the resolution of the committee as amended be adopted. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Marsh—I purposely omitted extended remarks yesterday morning in regard to another of the forward steps we have taken. The constitution of Pi Kappa Delta, from the beginning, made provision for provincial conventions. It was not until the last National Convention that we really made progress to that end. The idea is a very splendid one; the experience of the past year has demonstrated the wonderful possibility before us. The idea is this—the constitution provides that any group of Chapters may unite and form a provincial convention, meeting at such times as they may desire—a sort of a state or inter-state convention, but using the word "province" instead of state. We report that three such provinces were formed last year. They held their conventions and Forensic tournaments, and they were successful beyond our highest
expectations. I will not give you definite details, but shall call upon the presidents of provinces for brief reports. Kansas was the one first held, including Chapters in Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas. Prof. T. B. Ross, of the State Normal School of Emporia, was president. He wrote me that he was unable to be present in this convention, but he thought he would send a report with someone.

Mr. Ritchie—I think Mr. Leach, of Baker, would have information.

Mr. Leach—It was under Prof. Ross’ guidance that this became a very thorough organization, with men and women debating tournaments, which were very successful. It occupied about a two days session.

Mr. Marsh—The thought of the National Counsel was that they would meet in the intervening year between the National Conventions, but some are planning to meet every year. That is delightful. Prof. Veatch originated and was the first president of the Dakota Province. May we hear from him?

Mr. Veatch—we began last year with seven Chapters—we had six Chapters from South Dakota and one from Iowa. We had men and women debating tournaments for two days, with two expert judges from outside, and we are planning another convention for next year, with two new Chapters to be brought in. We are planning a bigger proposition for next year.

Mr. Marsh—Prof. Summers, who was last year at Park College, Missouri, was instrumental, with others, in originating and promoting the Central-Western Province, which included Missouri and Southern Iowa. He has now left the State of Missouri for Kansas, and Mr. Reeves is now president of that province, so I think we should ask Mr. Reeves to say something to us.

(Mr. Reeves was not in the room at the time and his report was deferred.)

Mr. Summers—I think everybody thought it a very satisfactory session. The plans were to hold another convention this year, but having the National Convention caused them to think that two conventions the one year was somewhat heavy, so it has been abandoned until next year.

Mr. Marsh—I hope we will have not only three, but a dozen provinces next year. I am very enthusiastic about this matter and I am delighted with the results of these first gatherings.

Mr. Westfall—One question that has been raised a number of times is in regard to the advanced degrees. A man’s ability to get degrees in debating depends upon the number of years he has put in. That is not true in oratory. To get it before you, I propose to you in form of a motion, the exact wording to be arranged later, that a man who participates in oratory be able to add his work together as the man who participates in debates; so that if he wins the right to represent his institution two or three times, he can combine his work and win a higher degree. You see, it would be a little difficult to get the exact wording. I offer that in the form of a motion. (Seconded.)

Rewrite Sections 2, 3 and 4 of Article IV, Div. C, to enable one who participates in oratory to add together the work of different degrees to obtain a higher degree, as is done in debating.

Article V, Division A, Section 6.

Mr. Veatch—In regard to debates, a man must debate at least three questions? Can he appear with the same oration and then add them up?

Mr. Westfall—Let us instruct the committee about what we want in that
matter. Let us instruct them to carry out the same principle in oratory as in debating.

Mr. Summers—May I suggest that a stipulation be included also—to get credit for the different orations, the second must be delivered a different year than the first?

Floor—Could you safeguard that with a statement that it is an entirely new oration?

Mr. Westfall—That is what it amounts to in debates, and if we so instruct the committee, it will be so worded. (Motion carried.)

Mr. Westfall—As the constitution now reads, it says a man may substitute a winning debate for oratory; but if you substitute your key should show but one degree—otherwise we should combine them and your key should show two degrees, but a higher rank. Those to whom I have talked feel that the desire of those who wrote it was not that a man should lose his rank in debating, but instead of the word “substitute” use the word “combine.”

I move that this constitution committee be instructed to rewrite those sections of the constitution to carry out that idea. (Seconded.)

Floor—It seems to me this means one may attain a degree in two orders, where he could not win in either one separately. I move to amend the motion to cut all substitution and combination. (Seconded.)

Chair—It is really a substitution for the other motion. The “ayes” have it. (Carried.)

Chair—We will now have the original motion as amended. All in favor of striking out from those sections all reference to substitution. (Carried.)

Mr. Westfall reads the following resolution and moves its adoption. (Seconded.)

To the National Council:
Whereas, Initiation under the national ritual of Pi Kappa Delta provides for the use of symbols representing our key, triangle and mallet, and
Whereas, The creation of these symbols is left to the discretion of individual local chapters, and
Whereas, This creates a wide variance in uniformity and the recognized possibility of inferior representation of our order,

Now, therefore, in order to supply this necessity, we, the Iowa Gamma, the Montana Alpha, the Illinois Zeta and the Illinois Delta recommend that uniform symbols of metal be adopted and placed for sale at least by next October (1924) at a reasonable cost.

IOWA GAMMA,
MONTANA ALPHA,
ILLINOIS ZETA,
ILLINOIS DELTA.

April 3, 1924.

(Recommendation carried.)

Mr. Veatch—I should like to make a motion that there be added to the section on degrees, that in order to secure the degree of special distinction an individual must have participated in inter-collegiate debates for at least three years, and at least two years, to obtain the degree of honor. (Seconded.)

Prof. Summers—May I suggest that with regard to those people who
are sophomores or freshmen, that this apply at once? (Motion carried.)
Report of Committee on Resolutions by Prof. Lemon.

Report of the Resolutions Committee

The delegates of the various Chapters of the National Forensic Society of Pi Kappa Delta, assembled in the Fifth Biennial Convention, at Peoria, Illinois, April 1, 2, 3, 1924, hereby voice the following resolutions:

Having spent three days in Peoria as the guests of Bradley Polytechnic Institute, and having enjoyed to the full the splendid hospitality and excellent entertainment of that institution, we wish to go on record as voicing our most sincere thanks and gratitude to Bradley, to its President, Dr. Burgess, to the Illinois Delta Chapter of Pi Kappa Delta, and to the Faculty and Student Body of Bradley, for their courtesy and thoughtfulness in making our stay most pleasant and profitable.

We wish especially to thank and commend Professor Fred S. Siebert, of Bradley Polytechnic, the convention officer of this gathering, for his fine courtesy, his thoughtfulness for the welfare of each delegate, and for the efficiency with which he has carried out all details in connection with the convention.

Inasmuch as Pi Kappa Delta, now a large, powerful, extensive order, representing only the highest standards of honor and excellence, lives not in individuals but in the quality and effectiveness of its organization; and inasmuch as the effectiveness of that organization depends upon the sterling, devoted services of its officers; and inasmuch as the past two years have witnessed only the most ideal progress for the order under the leadership and through the services of our officers;

Be it resolved, That to our national officers, especially to our President Charles A. Marsh and to our Secretary-Treasurer Alfred Westfall we express our appreciation for their leadership and guidanse, and ever hope that Pi Kappa Delta shall have as true and devoted men for its officers.

This convention has brought to our attention the great progress of Pi Kappa Delta for the past ten years. Your committee recognizes the fact that today Pi Kappa Delta is the largest of its kind in the United States. The best speakers in the Chapters have met here and participated in national contests of all kinds. This convention has made such opportunities possible and has stimulated a greater interest in forensics than ever before. It has afforded a closer association of the different Chapters of the society and has helped to develop a finer spirit of good will and fairness among the different sections of the country.

Furthermore, this convention has created a greater desire on the part of both men and women to participate in all forms of forensic endeavor, since it makes it possible to meet the best speakers in the country in its forensic contests.

Therefore, be it further resolved, That we shall take back to our Chapters the spirit of this convention with the purpose of stimulating more interest in forensics in each of our colleges. We who have seen the light in Pi Kappa Delta pledge ourselves that we shall take the lead in developing and encouraging others in the three great lights of the society.

Your committee is glad to recognize and commend the procedure set by Redlands and earnestly recommend to the California Chapter that it continue this policy at future conventions. We would suggest that samples of California grape-juice be added at the next convention.

We wish to call attention to and commend the liberal policy and democratic spirit of this organization and to voice our belief that this democratic spirit and open air policy is one of the most important things
in assuring the continuance and enthusiastic support of the various Chapters and individuals connected with the society.

Respectfully submitted,

GIFFORD ALT,
PAUL M. WATSON,
A. C. LEMON, Chairman.

Moved and seconded that the report be adopted. (Carried.)

Report of the Nominating Committee

Mr. Marsh—I will call first for the nominations for the student representatives from the floor.

Nominations from the floor:
Miss Thomas of California
Miss Todd of Colorado
Miss Phillips of Kansas

Mr. Marsh—I think it very desirable that we hear about the qualifications of these young people. Let the ones who nominated them speak for them.

Floor—I nominated Miss Phillips; she is a junior in Southwestern College and has actively participated in forensics during her course. She is secretary-treasurer of the Western State Oratory Association in Kansas and is very fine in forensic work.

Floor—I have known Miss Todd for several years and know her ability in forensic work. I believe she is very capable.

Floor—Miss Thomas is what we call a “low” sophomore, beginning her sophomore year, having completed her freshman work in February. We heartily endorse Miss Thomas, as she is a very capable young woman.

Roll call of Chapters.

Miss Phillips 19
Miss Todd 12
Miss Thomas 13

Moved and seconded that plurality elects. (Carried.)

Miss Phillips elected.

Nominations for man student representative.

Floor—I nominate Leland Tollman, a sophomore of Parsons, who has had considerable debate experience.

Floor—I nominate Duane Orton, sophomore of Redlands, Cal. I believe he would make a fine representative.

Floor—I nominate Paul M. Watson of Center College, as one entirely fit for this office.

Floor—I nominate Roy Steinbauer, sophomore, a two-year debater, one who has also had considerable executive experience—from Kalamazoo.

Floor—I nominate Mr. McDonald, president of Bradley Chapter. Everybody knows Mr. McDonald. Nominations closed.

Roll call of Chapters.

Tollman 4
Orton 2
Watson 24
Steinbauer 4
McDonald 11

Moved, seconded and carried that Mr. Watson be unanimously elected, be determined by the National Council, but you may express your senti-

Mr. Marsh—The constitution provides that the place of meeting shallments in favor of any particular invitation or location,
 Moved, seconded and carried that the next convention be held in Colorado.

Report of Nominating Committee—By J. D. Coon.

President ............................................ Alfred Westfall
First Vice-President ............................... W. H. Veatch
Second Vice-President ......................... W. C. Dennis
Secretary-Treasurer ............................... F. W. Finley
Historian ............................................ E. R. Nichols

Respectfully submitted,

NOMINATING COMMITTEE:
J. D. Coon, Chairman,
H. R. Beck,
Fred G. Bale.

Mr. Coon—As chairman, I move the adoption of this report. (Seconded—carried.)

Mr. Marsh—I declare the officers named by the committee, as well as the students elected from the floor, duly elected. It is now my pleasure to install the officers. The active term does not begin until the 1st of July. We will observe the custom of two years ago and install the officers at this time.

Installation of Officers

Mr. Nichols—I move to withdraw from the table the motion regarding the debate tournament, given by Mr. Westfall. I would like, and move that this matter be referred to the National Council to act upon, together with the presidents of the various provinces. (Seconded—carried.)

Adjournment.

ROLL OF DELEGATES ATTENDING THE CONVENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas—</td>
<td>Henderson C Arkansas Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B. Workman, Arkadelphia</td>
<td>Henderson C Arkansas Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. R. Griswold, Arkadelphia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California—</td>
<td>U. of C California Epsilon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chas. A. Marsh, Los Angeles</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger R. Walch, Redlands</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwayne Orton, Redlands</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Andrus, Redlands</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. R. Nichols, Redlands</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. H. Eckermann, Pasadena</td>
<td>C. I. T California Gamma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold R. Beck, Pasadena</td>
<td>C. I. T California Gamma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Hutchinson, Los Angeles</td>
<td>U. of C California Epsilon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Thomas</td>
<td>U. of C California Epsilon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James W. Brougher, Jr., Redlands</td>
<td>U. of R California Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado—</td>
<td>Col. Teach. C Colorado Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. W. Finley, Greeley</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Westfall, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen J. Temple, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editha Todd, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iza M. White, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. H. Parker, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Colorado Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. R. Rothermel, Fort Collins</td>
<td>Col. Ag. C Pi Kappa Delta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>INSTITUTION</td>
<td>CHAPTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Gene Stilfield, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence Gimbel, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman N. Beimfohr, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy P. Landers, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Yoder, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome D. Ullman, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. A. Hitchcock, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. B. Curtiss, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberta Poos, Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Graff, Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. R. Pringle, Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Normal</td>
<td>Illinois Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. C. Hodge, Bloomington</td>
<td>Illinois Wesleyan</td>
<td>Illinois Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. E. Shelton, Eureka</td>
<td>Eureka C</td>
<td>Illinois Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. McDonald, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Seibert, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. J. Galowich, Peoria</td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Illinois Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. R. Crater, Franklin</td>
<td>Franklin C</td>
<td>Indiana Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. V. Coles, Mt. Pleasant</td>
<td>Iowa Wesleyan</td>
<td>Iowa Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. F. G. Bale, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen E. Goan, Mt. Pleasant</td>
<td>Iowa Wesleyan</td>
<td>Iowa Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Harrold, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. C. Dennis, Faculty, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Dillon, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velta May, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Ledlie, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer Woods, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson C</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lilian M. Baker, Fayette</td>
<td>Upper Iowa U</td>
<td>Iowa Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred M. Smith, Fayette</td>
<td>Upper Iowa U</td>
<td>Iowa Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy M. Smith, Le Mars</td>
<td>Western Union</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert Armstrong, Indianola</td>
<td>Simpson</td>
<td>Iowa Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. C. Tallman, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean McKeel, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. M. Fulton, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. M. Randall, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henrietta Roelofs, Pella</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia H. Watson, Pella</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christina Scholten, Pella</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Moffett, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene Mason, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Fulton, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude Duke, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. N. Brockway, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don C. Lewis, Fairfield</td>
<td>Parsons C</td>
<td>Iowa Zeta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifford Alt, Sioux City</td>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>Iowa Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. E. Eerkes, Sioux City</td>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>Iowa Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. B. Haakinson, Sioux City</td>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>Iowa Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. L. Wickens, Sioux City</td>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>Iowa Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. C. Buehler, Topeka</td>
<td>Washburn</td>
<td>Kansas Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette Rowe, Pittsburg</td>
<td>Kansas Teach</td>
<td>Kansas Theta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Blackmore, Pittsburg</td>
<td>Kansas Teach</td>
<td>Kansas Theta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. R. Pelsma, Pittsburg</td>
<td>Kansas Teach</td>
<td>Kansas Theta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>INSTITUTION</td>
<td>CHAPTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Holmberg, Lindsborg</td>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>Kansas Mu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. J. Holcomb Lindsborg</td>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>Kansas Mu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delmer Harris, Salina</td>
<td>Kansas Wesleyan</td>
<td>Kansas Eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford Swenson, Lindsborg</td>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>Kansas Mu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. M. Ostenberg, Lindsborg</td>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>Kansas Mu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. B. Cudworth, Wichita</td>
<td>Fairmount</td>
<td>Kansas Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Haugh, Wichita</td>
<td>Fairmount</td>
<td>Kansas Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. D. Wilner, Wichita</td>
<td>Fairmount</td>
<td>Kansas Epsilon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecil Headrick, Winfield</td>
<td>Southwestern</td>
<td>Kansas Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraldine Phillips, Winfield</td>
<td>Southwestern</td>
<td>Kansas Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Ringer, Baldwin</td>
<td>Baker U</td>
<td>Kansas Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. R. Stauffacher, Baldwin</td>
<td>Baker U</td>
<td>Kansas Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. E. Leach, Baldwin</td>
<td>Baker U</td>
<td>Kansas Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. A. Shin, Manhattan</td>
<td>Kansas St. Ag. C</td>
<td>Kansas Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. W. Sherman, Manhattan</td>
<td>Kansas St. Ag. C</td>
<td>Kansas Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. B. Summers, Manhattan</td>
<td>Kansas St. Ag. C</td>
<td>Kansas Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecil Walt, Manhattan</td>
<td>Kansas St. Ag. C</td>
<td>Kansas Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Elliott, Manhattan</td>
<td>Kansas St. Ag. C</td>
<td>Kansas Gamma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kentucky—

Paul M. Watson, Danville | Centre | Kentucky Beta |
A. C. Newlin, Danville | Centre | Kentucky Beta |
President R. A. Montgomery, Danville | Centre | Kentucky Beta |

Michigan—

Otto Nickel, Ypsilanti | Mich. S. Nor | Michigan Epsilon |
K. H. Sausaman, Kalamazoo | Kalamazoo | Michigan Alpha |
V. W. Bunnell, Kalamazoo | Kalamazoo | Michigan Alpha |
J. B. Stanley, Kalamazoo | Kalamazoo | Michigan Alpha |
H. D. Beadle, Kalamazoo | Kalamazoo | Michigan Alpha |
Simon Heemstra, Holland | Hope | Michigan Gamma |
J. B. Nykerk, Holland | Hope | Michigan Gamma |
Harvey DeWeerd, Holland | Hope | Michigan Gamma |
Nelle Kole, Holland | Hope | Michigan Gamma |
Kenneth G. Hance, Olivet | Olivet | Michigan Beta |

Minnesota—

Marion Daeley, St. Paul | Macalester | Minnesota Alpha |
Grace M. Guilford, St. Paul | Macalester | Minnesota Alpha |
W. E. Clark, St. Paul | Macalester | Minnesota Alpha |

Missouri—

J. B. Reeves, Fulton | Westminster | Missouri Alpha |
W. E. Moore, Cameron | Mo. Wesleyan | Missouri Epsilon |
E. W. Ziegler, Parkville | Park | Missouri Beta |
J. M. Moore, Parkville | Park | Missouri Beta |
Marshall Burns, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
L. J. Graham, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
F. Mabel Hayes, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Louis Chamberlain, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
M. J. Hudson, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
R. S. Wood, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
R. G. Mudd, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
C. M. Stamper, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Wm. D. Mudd, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Lawrence Cousins, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Mary M. Travis, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Georgia Robison, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
Lois Boulware, Canton | Culver-Stockton | Missouri Zeta |
STATE
Montana—
C. Lemon, Helena.......................... Intermountain Union. Mont. Alpha
B. V. Ludington, Helena..................... Intermountain Union. Mont. Alpha
G. H. Rader, Helena........................ Intermountain Union. Mont. Alpha
R. E. Miller, Helena........................ Intermountain Union. Mont. Alpha
A. F. Taylor, Helena........................ Intermountain Union. Mont. Alpha

Nebraska—
L. R. Smith, Bethany........................ Cotner........................ Nebraska Beta
Grace Wead, Kearney......................... Neb. St. Teach................ Petitioner

Ohio—
G. W. Morris, Hiram........................ Hiram........................ Ohio Gamma

Oklahoma—
G. S. Benson, Stillwater..................... Okla. A. and M.. Oklahoma Alpha
J. H. Murray, Stillwater..................... Okla. A. and M.. Oklahoma Alpha

South Carolina—
H. J. McLaurin, Clinton..................... Presbyterian C. S. Carolina Beta

South Dakota—
J. H. McBurney, Yankton..................... Yankton........................ S. Dakota Gamma
J. D. Coon, Sioux Falls..................... Sioux Falls................ S. Dakota Epsilon
P. A. Krueger, Sioux Falls.................. Sioux Falls................ S. Dakota Epsilon
Agnes Hyde, Mitchell......................... Dakota Wesleyan................ S. D. Alpha
Rose Hopfner, Mitchell....................... Dakota Wesleyan................ S. D. Alpha
Vernon Kron, Mitchell....................... Dakota Wesleyan................ S. D. Alpha
Harvey Pinney, Mitchell..................... Dakota Wesleyan................ S. D. Alpha
W. H. Veatch, Mitchell...................... Dakota Wesleyan................ S. D. Alpha
R. E. Unterreiner, Huron.................... Huron........................ South Dakota Beta
D. M. Easton, Huron........................ Huron........................ South Dakota Beta
J. Paul Vincent, Huron...................... Huron........................ South Dakota Beta

Tennessee—
David King, Maryville....................... Maryville................ Tennessee Alpha
Edward Cornelius, Maryville................ Maryville................ Tennessee Alpha
V. M. Queener, Maryville.................... Maryville................ Tennessee Alpha
E. R. Hunter, Maryville..................... Maryville................ Tennessee Alpha

Number of Chapters present.................. 59
Number of Chapters absent................... 33
Total number of delegates present........... 183

PROGRAM AT THE BANQUET, BRADLEY CAFETERIA,
APRIL 3, 1924
Toastmaster, President Marsh
Toast, "Down With California, Up With Colorado," Alfred Westfall.
Toast, Mary Jean Stillfield, of Bradley (no subject given).
Violin Solo, Joseph Devoida, Bradley Conservatory.
Speech, "Some Lessons for the Orators of the Past," C. H. Woolbert,
Illinois University.
Vocal Solo, Mr. Williams.
Toast, "A Pi Kappa Delta Song," Miss Editha Todd, Colorado Agricultural College.
Vocal Solo, Miss Grace Godfrey.
Address, "The Civic Responsibility of the College Graduate," J. D. Coon, Sioux Falls, S. D.
ORATORICAL CONTESTS

WOMEN'S ORATORICAL CONTEST

First Preliminary

Upper Iowa—“Behind the Masks” ........................................... Lillian Baker
Colorado Agricultural College—“The Modern Girl” .................. Editha Todd
University of California, Southern Branch—“The Power of Youth” .... Dorothy Thomas
Parsons—“Womanhood and War” ........................................... Susan Fulton
Central, Iowa—“The Silent Force” ...................................... Christina Scholten
Sterling—(Did not arrive in time) “Summum Bonum” .............. Mabel W. Reid

Second Preliminary

Hope—“The Cannon and the Cross” ...................................... Nelle Kole
Dakota Wesleyan—“A Plea for the Northwest” ....................... Rose Hopfer
Macalester—“World Peace: A Dream or a Reality” ................ Marion Dailey
Southwestern, Kansas—“The Challenge” ............................... Geraldine Phillips
Illinois State N. U.—“The New Way Out” ............................. Dorothy Graff
Bradley—“International Suicide or International Peace” .......... Mary Gene Stilfield

Finals in Order of Speaking

Parsons .......................................................... Ranking Second
Colorado Agricultural College ........................................ Ranking Third
Hope ............................................................. Ranking Fourth
Bradley .......................................................... Ranking Fifth
Illinois State Normal University .................................... Ranking Sixth
University of California, Southern Branch ......................... Ranking First

WOMEN'S EXTENMOORE CONTEST

In Order of Speaking

Rank
Fifth—Baker—“Immigration and Labor” ................................. Louise Ringer
Fourth—Dakota Wesleyan—“Immigration from Asiatic Countries” ... Agnes Hyde
Second—Colorado A. C.—“Immigration from South America,” Helen Temple
Seventh—Parsons—“Three Per Cent Restriction” ....................... Gertrude Duke
First—Bradley—“The Literary Test” ..................................... Miss Yoder
Sixth—Iowa Wesleyan—“Immigration and Unemployment” .......... Helen Goan
Third—Macalaster—“Restricting Immigration to Three Per Cent June 30th, 1924” ...................................................... Marion Dailey

MEN'S ORATORICAL CONTEST

Preliminaries

Rank
Fourth—Kansas Wesleyan—“Lest America Fail” ....................... Delmar Harris
Sixth—Oklahoma Aggies—“The Rise and Fall of Civilization” .... Geo. Benson
Second (tied)—Centre, Kentucky—“Astraea Returning” .............. A. C. Newlin
First—Montana Wesleyan (Now Intermountain Union)—“The Enemy Within” ......................................................... Alvin F. Taylor
Second (tied)—University of California, Southern Branch—“The Foundations of Peace” ........................................ Paul Hutchinson

Second Preliminary

First—Cotner—“A Right-About Face” ................................. Leslie R. Smith
Second—Kansas Aggies—“Educational Lockstep”........Ralph W. Sherman
Fourth—Redlands—“The International Paradox”.............Roger Walch
Third—Morningside—“The First Step Forward”..............Cornie Eerkens
Fifth—Yankton—“The Octopus”............................J. H. McBurney
Sixth—Colorado A. C.—“Honest Men in Politics”...........John R. Rothermel

Third Preliminary

Third—Simpson—“One Hundred Per Cent Americanism”..Walter E. Dillon
Sixth—Carthage—“Ramsey McDonald, the Moses of the English Labor
Movement”..................................................George T. Carl
Fourth—Maryville—“America: A Nation of Destiny”.....Edward Cornelius
Fifth—Nebraska Wesleyan—“The Challenge of Peace”.......Earl Raitt
Second—Bradley Polytechnic—“Citizenship and Public Trust”......Louis R. McDonald
First—St. Olaf—“Grappling With the Iron Man”...........Robert Mortvedt

Fourth Preliminary

Fourth—Michigan State Normal—“The Reign of Law”.......Otto Nickel
Second—Hope—“Our Uncrowned Kings”.........................Simon Heemstra
Sixth—Calif, Institute of Technology—“On Trial”.........Carlton H. Eckerman
Third—Parsons—“The Sword or the Cross”..................Don C. Lewis
First—Fairmount—“The Spirit of Caesar”....................D. B. Cudworth
Fifth—Kalamazoo—“Unto One of the Least of These”.......Harold D. Beadle

I have copies of the following orations, but I don’t think they were given
at the contests. I have no records showing them in any of the pre-
liminaries.

Macalester—“World Peace a Dream or a Reality.”
Dakota Wesleyan—“Youth and Renaissance”...........Richard A. Schemerhorn
Culver, Stockton—“The Power of Peace”...............Marshall S. Burns

SEMI-FINALS

First Preliminary

Rank
Fourth—Simpson.
Second—Kansas Aggies.
Sixth—Intermountain Union.
First—Hope.
Fourth—Bradley.
Second—Parsons.
Tied—Simpson and Bradley.
Tied—Kansas Aggies and Par-
sons.

Second Preliminary

Fourth—Fairmount.
Fifth—Centre.
Second—Cotner.
Fourth—Univ. of Calif., S. B.
First—St. Olaf.
Sixth—Morningside.

Finals in Order Given

Fourth—University of California, Southern Branch—“The Foundations
of Peace”..................................................Paul Hutchinson
Third—Parsons—“The Sword or the Cross”..................Don C. Lewis
Fourth—Cotner—“A Right-About Face”......................Leslie R. Smith
First—Hope—“Our Uncrowned Kings”.........................Simon Heemstra
Second—St. Olaf—“Grappling With the Iron Man”...........Robert Mortvedt
Sixth—Fairmount—“The Spirit of Caesar”...................Daniel B. Cudworth
Seventh—Kansas Aggies—“Educational Lockstep”............R. W. Sherman
Tied—U. of C. S. B. and Cotner.
MEN'S EXTEMPORILE PRELIMINARIES

Rank Institution Subject Speaker
Second—Redlands—"The History of Agriculture in the United States" J. Russell Andrus
Fourth—Dakota Wesleyan—"War Prices and the Farmer" Vernon Kron
First—Morningside—"Federal Agriculture Credit" Alt Gifford
Third—Centre of Kentucky—"The Effect of the Mellon Tax Plan On Agriculture" Paul Watson
Sixth—Culver-Stockton—"The Present Status of Farm Loans" W. D. Mudd
Fifth—Hope—"The Status of Farm Loans" Harvey DeWeerd

Second Preliminary
Sioux Falls—"War Prices and the Farmer" Krueger
Fairmount—"Magnus Johnson and the Agriculture Situation" Ted Haugh
Oklahoma Aggies—"The Effect of the Soldier Bonus on the Farmer" John Murray
Park—Not Present
Southwestern, Kansas—"The Present Status of Farm Loans" Wm. C. Headrick
Macalester—"The Coming Presidential Election and the Agricultural Situation" Wm. Clark
Baker—"The Agricultural Outlook of the Future" C. R. Stauffacher

Third Preliminary
First—California Institute of Technology H. R. Beck
Second—Upper Iowa Fred M. Smith
Second—Parsons Harold Randall
Fourth—University of California, S. B. Paul Hutchinson
Fifth—Kansas Aggies R. W. Sherman
Sixth—Montana Wesleyan, now Intermountain Union Col. Robert E. Miller
Seventh—Bethany Walter M. Ostenberg

MEN'S EXTEMPORILE FINALS

In Order of Speaking

H. R. Beck, California Institute of Technology—"History of Agriculture in the United States."
Second (tie)—Russell Andrus, Redlands—"War Prices and the Farmer."
Fred M. Smith, Upper Iowa—"Magnus Johnson and the Agricultural Situation."
Second (tie)—Gifford Alt, Morningside—"The Farmer-Labor Party and the Agricultural Situation."
First—W. E. Clark, Macalester—"Federal Farm Credits."
Ted Haugh, Fairmount (Disqualified)—"The Effect of the Soldiers' Bonus on the Farmer."
C. R. Stauffacher, Baker—"The Present Status of Farm Loans."
H. M. Randall, Parsons—"The Coming Presidential Election and the Agricultural Situation."
Paul M. Watson, Centre, Ky.—"The Agricultural Outlook for the Future."

The following telegram was received at the convention:

Waterville, Me., April 3, 1924.

Alfred Westfall, Secretary P. K. D. Convention:

Keenly regret inability to attend the convention. Hoped to take in last day. Please do not re-elect me unless location will help society. Con-
gratulations and good wishes to all.

HERBERT C. LIBBY.
A WORKSHOP IN PUBLIC DISCUSSION

By F. R. Root, Director, Department of Speech, Northern Normal and Industrial School, Aberdeen, South Dakota

While the colleges and coaches of debate have been discussing the merits and demerits of the various systems of judging, the State Teachers College of Aberdeen, South Dakota, has instituted a course found in its catalog under "A Workshop in Public Discussion."

The aim of the course is to develop particular proficiency in argumentative discussions before popular audiences upon some question of popular interest. The psychology of public speaking, together with a thorough analysis of the problem as presented by the subject and audience are studied as a means to an effective presentation and support of a particular question or program. The aim in this type of debating is to convince the audience. The group is sent out into rural communities of the northern section of the state to present both sides of the question studied.

During the present year cooperative marketing was studied. Material was collected from the states of this country, from Germany, Italy, India, Norway, Canada and other countries where cooperation has been tried in the solution of certain agricultural difficulties. The organization of the Wheat Growers' Association was thoroughly investigated, together with the other agencies of cooperation like the Raisin Growers and Fruit Growers of California, the Burley Tobacco Growers of the South, the Farmers' Equity—in fact every cooperative organization and organization movement in the United States.

The three members of this group, Mr. Donovan Felton, Mr. P. D. Peterson, Mr. Wilbur D. Crosley, all of them reared in rural sections, have been called upon to present both sides of the cooperative marketing question, question of increased tariff, and the advisability of joining the Wheat Growers' Association. At each program both sides are presented as in a regular debate. One of the men defends the affirmative side of the proposition, followed by the negative speaker, who, at the same time, is given an additional period of time as a rejoinder to the affirmative speaker. The affirmative man then has a brief opportunity for a rejoinder against the negative. The open forum style is then allowed, viz., the audience is permitted to ask various questions concerning the subject presented. In all the program takes about an hour and a half.

The particular merits of this plan are almost obvious. For the students (who are mature men of experience) it permits of practical training for life. They learn how to study their audience; how to move them to action or attain conviction. There is no conventionality of style, no cut and dried debate. Briefs are used as a basis for the discussion proper, but individuality and originality are permitted throughout. The program is entirely extemporaneous, permitting the speakers to adapt themselves to the audience and situation. Of course thorough and painstaking preparation is made weeks before hand in order that the audience may receive something worth while.

It presents a real laboratory for students in public speaking. Thus far a great criticism of the teaching of public speaking had been that there was not offered a laboratory where students might put into actual practice the principles studied in the classroom. Here we find a real audience situation, not artificially created, but rather in the kind of a setting that they would ordinarily be found. What can be more practical?

For the audience there is a distinct contribution. These students make a most thorough study of the particular problem and as a consequence can give information upon the subject of cooperative marketing or whatever problem may be considered in the future. The farmers receive the
results of the research and thought of the students. It is a veritable extension course.

One might philosophize at length upon the merits and demerits of this plan. The writer could present a very scholarly analysis of this method of debating or public discussion, but he believes this to be wholly unnecessary, since the readers of this article can deduce these advantages from their own experience and the mere suggestions made here.

There are just a few suggestions which could be made at this point. In adopting such a program one must remember continually that a question of popular interest, of direct concern to the local audiences is going to create a desire to listen to the discussion. Men, particularly in our rural sections, are most anxious to hear an intelligent discussion of problems which face them. Again, whoever directs such an activity as this must bear in mind that the speaker must put into actual practice such principles as the analysis of subject and audience, the adaptation of subject matter to the particular audience, the factors of interestingness, the motives which will make the greatest appeals, et cetera.

It would seem that this "workshop in public discussion" is the kind of work that students (advanced students particularly) most need. It meets the suggestions outlined by Professor Baird, of Bates. It is a sort of intermediary between our so-called American style of debate and the so-called British style. It eliminates conventionality and stereotyped speeches. It accomplishes a double purpose—to the speaker and audience. It permits of originality and is not rigid. Is this not a practical and worthwhile enterprise? Let's hear your criticisms.

THE ONE JUDGE SYSTEM IN DEBATING

John A. Hadaller, of the San Bernardino County, California, Bar

The one judge system in academic debating may be a new and untried thing in such academic work, but the one judge system is not new in debates of many different kinds.

It will doubtless not be altogether perfect in all respects, as no system is; but it may have some advantages which the old system of quiet pronouncement of judgment without comment did not have. If competent judges are secured who are equipped with the healthy mind in the healthy body, the youthful Demostheneses and Websters are likely to get an array of arguments not found in the usual textbooks or treatises bearing on their particular subjects. Moreover, comment by an elderly student of public questions is likely to give less mature minds the truer perspective of value of certain propositions. This latter, I think, is true, for the reason that youth has little experience and it is experience which teaches us to lay stress on those things which deserve stress.

But I shall add a viewpoint concerning this new system which so far no one has suggested to me, and which I reason will strengthen the one judge system. It is this: A judge who comes to the debate not knowing what the subject will be, and without any previous chance to inform himself about the major premises and their chief supports, will be unable to register during the debate all of the arguments that are put forward on either side. He will listen too intently as the argument progresses, become curious, inquisitive, amazed and even inclined to run off on a tangent of his own while the young orators are trying to build their argument structure. He is likely to miss several good points, perhaps the real connecting link, and thus be ultimately in the frame of mind to favor those who most excited his own thinking faculties.

If he judged a half dozen debates on the same question, debated each time by different teams, he would be "hardboiled," as it were, and his
previous experiences would enable him to sum up quickly the weak spots, and the strong spots in each argument. He would rather instinctively form a judgment about the skill, the cogency of reasoning, grasp of fundamentals, deftness of treatment, and the quickness with which either side took advantage of the weaknesses of the other. He would not be apt to be surprised by any argument that came along, and the essentials to good debating would in time so impress themselves upon his mind that his mental judgment about each point as it arose would be made right on the spot and dot.

I had this in mind when called upon, on short notice, to judge one of these intercollegiate debates. As a member of the legal profession, I was generally familiar with the gist of the whole question, but these industrious thinkers and searchers had amassed such a wealth of information and quotations on this particular question of allowing Congress to re-enact laws declared by the Supreme Court to be unconstitutional, that at the end I had to confess my inability to get everything that was said. This prevented me from summing up at the end as I would like to have done. Two weeks after serving as a judge, I was an interested member of the audience listening to the debating of this same question. One of the teams had argued before.

On this latter occasion I heard much of the same material used, could weigh it better, with much less strain of thinking and could follow the deft maneuvers of the opposing teams to outwit each other. Nor did I linger long on any particular new phase. I had heard the story before and now I was watching the fine points of the game.

We do not choose umpires for baseball and football and basketball who know little of the game. We select men skilled in the rules and who have athletic judgment. We do not make law judges out of cow punchers, nor art critics out of blacksmiths, but we select men who are mature in the processes of reasoning about their particular fields. Why not, then, circuit judges of intercollegiate debating, who go from school to school and hear many teams debate the same question?

But my first endeavor had a measure of system in it. I had to judge everything and then comment. I devised a little chart with the names of the debaters in blue and red pencil, and gave each one a grade on the basis of 100. I graded them on Grasp of Fundamentals, Cogency of Reasoning, Clearness of Presentation, Adherence to Subject, Argument Structure, Delivery, Poise, and General Effectiveness. On rebuttal I used the foregoing and added: Meeting the Main Argument, and Tangents.

I made the grading of each speaker as he went along. When he had finished, his row of red or blue gradings were complete. Sometimes I raised or lowered the grade as the debater fell or rose in my judgment on any particular phase. During the grading I was not aware of the ultimate average grading. I left the chips to fly where they would. It aided me to be impartial. Furthermore, it aided me to recall, in my comment upon the general debate, what features stood out or fell, and who made the hit or miss.

I offer this little device not as a model. I had to make it hastily. No doubt it may be greatly improved, but it seemed to me, and still appears to me that, essentially, the chart contains the major points upon which a debate should be properly judged, and I offer the scheme as an inciter to work out that which will finally be proven the best scheme under the one judge system of debating.

Note Well—Those institutions not represented at the Peoria convention must attend the 1926 convention or have their charters suspended.
THE FIFTH BIENNIAL

We have to record another successful Convention of Pi Kappa Delta. The Bradley Polytechnic Institute opened its halls to delegates coming from the four corners of the country to clash in forensic contests, to learn directly of the state of the Order, to meet and talk, and to choose those who are to guide the destinies of the organization for another biennium. It was a great gathering. It surpassed, in some respects, the highest expectations of those who were in charge of it. The Bradley Chapter, the Institute, and the city of Peoria were royal hosts. The greatest credit is due Professor Siebert for his management of the arrangements. The proceedings of the convention occupy the greatest part of this number. We hope that many of our members will read them carefully. Those who do will realize what they missed, if they were not there. Especially will they realize what a powerful organization we have grown to be. At the convention the hundred mark in chapters was passed. This growth was predicted at Indianola two years ago. We were good prophets. But the numerical growth is of little moment if with it there has not come a growth in ideals of service. The question is not, are we a power, but are we a power for good? A reading of the deliberations of the body in Peoria assembled will, we believe, answer the latter question in the affirmative.

CONVENTION LEGISLATION

Several significant points were raised in the discussions of the Convention. The charging of one fee to cover both initiation and subsequent dues will undoubtedly prove a simplification of the bookkeeping of both the national and the local officers. Probably it will give the National Council as much of a revenue as before. The matter of student representation on the Council is very interesting and we shall watch its results closely. There are some who have felt that the student membership is more transient than that of the order of instruction. The next two years will demonstrate in part whether this is the case or not.
many chapters the requirement of attendance of a delegate from each chapter at least every second convention will arouse a good deal of discussion. We feel that this requirement is really justified. The chapter that cannot send a delegate to the convention at least once in four years has something radically wrong with it. Either the institution to which the chapter belongs is weak—too weak to have Pi Kappa Delta in it; or the officers of the chapter or the faculty members of the chapter have not an adequate realization of the meaning of the organization to which they belong, nor an appreciation of the value of attendance at such a gathering in the stimulating of interest in forensics. In many cases we feel that the explanation is just plain and simple laziness on the part of chapter officers or faculty members. We grant that once in a while a chapter may be disappointed in its plans for the raising of money to send a delegate—when the chapter is a long way from the Convention—but the most of the excuses which are given for the failure to be represented are weak and would not be given by chapter officers or faculty members who are thoroughly interested and alive. A chapter that is a long way from the Convention should take time by the forelock and prepare a year beforehand to raise the money to insure its representation. Look at the list of chapters that were represented at this and other conventions and you will feel that there are very few reasons which can be urged for non-attendance. We believe that the legislation passed at the Convention will have a wholesome and stimulating effect upon certain chapters which are not taking Pi Kappa Delta any too seriously.

THE NEW OFFICERS

The present National Officers will relinquish their duties on June 30. As is the custom, however, some of the old group will continue to serve the Order for the next two years in another office. We feel that Pi Kappa Delta is most fortunate in having its two major offices filled by Professor Alfred Westfall and Professor G. W. Finley. To the National Presidency Professor Westfall brings a ripe experience in the details of administration. He will enter upon his seventh year of service on the National Council. In 1918 he was elected National Historian, in 1920 National Treasurer, in 1922 National Secretary-Treasurer. For the past four years the financial stability of the Order has been building itself up. A reading of this number will reveal just how satisfactory the condition is in this respect. Professor Westfall has been insisting that chapters do business in a businesslike way. He has insisted vigorously at times—too vigorously, some of the officers of some of the chapters have thought; but he is right in his attitude. The group of students who do not care enough about Pi Kappa Delta to attend to its business in the right way does not deserve to have a chapter. After all, the slight discipline that Pi Kappa Delta imposes is nothing compared to some of the social fraternities which impose a twenty-five dollar fine upon chapters failing to send reports to their national councils. This tightening up of the discipline which has been instituted by Professor Westfall has been a good thing for us, even if some of us haven't liked it. In Professor Finley, the office to be vacated by Professor Westfall will find an excellent occupant. His interest in the organization has shown itself in many ways. It would seem as though California, for three or four years holding the balance of power in the National Council, is going to resign the sceptre to Colorado. In Professor Veatch and Professor Dennis the Council has two men who have demonstrated in many ways their effective interest in all that Pi Kappa Delta has stood for. The retention of Professor Nichols as National Historian is a fitting recognition of his services to the Order and keeps within the Council the valuable advice that he is always able to give.
THE RETIRING PRESIDENT

We cannot let Professor Marsh go without a word of appreciation for all his services to Pi Kappa Delta. For six years he has given of his best to the upbuilding of the society, four years as National Secretary and two as National President. At many times, on account of ill health, it has been uphill work for him, but he has never faltered nor allowed anything to interfere with the welfare of Pi Kappa Delta. The spirit that Professor Marsh has evinced has made us the power we are. Not many men will be found with greater willingness to sacrifice themselves for the good of the Order. His example has been and long will be an inspiration to the officers of the National Council.

GOOD-BYE

With this number, the Editor lays down the pen which he has wielded for the past six years. He does this feeling that it is high time for him to do so. THE FORENSIC is ready now to enter into another stage of development. There is room, we believe, for a well-developed forensic magazine which shall make a feature of articles dealing with matters pertaining to debate, extemporeous speaking, oratory, which shall contain stimulating suggestions regarding these subjects, which shall contain briefs for debates, and which might also give suggestions for programs for literary societies. If such a magazine were planned not only for the membership of Pi Kappa Delta, but for all colleges and high schools which are interested in the various forms of forensic endeavor, it would find a large reading public awaiting it. That the humble beginnings of THE FORENSIC may lead to much larger accomplishments in the service of the speech arts is the earnest wish of yours sincerely, the Editor.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Positions in Public Speaking—Anyone knowing of a position in public speaking which is vacant or likely to become vacant is requested to send word regarding it to Professor Alfred Westfall, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Position Wanted—An experienced and successful Pi Kappa Delta Coach wishes to change his location. Any coach planning to leave a good college position is asked to communicate with Professor Westfall.

Position Vacant—Professor Parker, for two years Coach of Debate at the Colorado Agricultural College, has resigned to take up the study of medicine. Professor Westfall wishes to secure the services of a man, preferably a member of Pi Kappa Delta. The position calls for coaching debate, instruction in argumentation and public speaking, with perhaps some classes in English composition.

We have received a correction from Professor C. B. Swaney, of Upper Iowa College, who informs us that Mr. Jacob H. Trefz, of Morningside College, did not receive first place in the Iowa State Collegiate contest with this oration, "The Demand of the Hour," in 1920, as stated in our January issue. Mr. Trefz received first place in the National Contest but not in the State. Mr. Burdett E. Brown, of Upper Iowa, gained the first place in the Iowa State Contest in 1920.

The following is an extract from a letter from Iowa Beta at Central College: "Formerly there was a question whether we could get debaters enough for two triangles. Now the question is how to get debates enough to give those wishing to enter an opportunity to do so. Our contests this year have made sixteen of our number eligible to Pi Kappa Delta. We are getting results in Pi Kappa Delta."
# REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-TREASURER

**Fiscal year, April 1, 1923—March 31, 1924**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Dues</th>
<th>Keys</th>
<th>Fines</th>
<th>Refund</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$29.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$61.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa Wesleyan</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>20.92</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washburn</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska Wesleyan</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>52.62</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$1.39</td>
<td>95.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripon</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Wesleyan</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>52.71</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>86.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Aggies</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>61.06</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>146.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central, Iowa</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>41.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>105.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redlands</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>69.37</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>159.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Agricultural College</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern (Kansas)</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>67.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>117.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota Wesleyan Univ.</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>67.57</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>128.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Moines Univ.</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>53.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Polytechnic Institute (inactive).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmount</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>67.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Agricultural Col.</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas State Teachers College of Emporia</td>
<td>43.50</td>
<td>50.48</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>95.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>49.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>125.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huron</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>63.49</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>127.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Teachers College</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>58.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>115.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamazoo</td>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>101.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>178.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yankton</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>48.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>63.26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>104.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>83.61</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>147.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Falls</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota State College</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>21.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotner</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>56.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doane</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>17.26</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>46.05</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carthage</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>65.09</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>110.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macalester</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Wesleyan</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>67.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wofford</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>19.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermountain Union</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>52.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin-Wallace</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central, Missouri</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>29.88</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>62.76</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>102.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>63.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana State</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas State Teachers of Pittsburg</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>93.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>113.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivet</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>77.57</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>127.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Agricultural College</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>40.94</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Dues</th>
<th>Keys</th>
<th>Fines</th>
<th>Refund</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>48.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southernmost, Texas</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedding (inactive)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State Normal</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>49.68</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>88.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Island</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>31.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>52.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Normal and Industrial School</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>67.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>130.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Jewell</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>64.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre, Kentucky</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>26.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>48.63</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>87.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiram</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>143.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grove City</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Emporia</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>124.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>172.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Iowa</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>114.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>165.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>48.66</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Baptist</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Wesleyan</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>55.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Olaf</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>109.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>167.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>41.87</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>81.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tusculum</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>51.39</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>115.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akron</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>38.15</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culver-Stockton</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>47.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre, Kentucky</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Teachers College of Oklahoma</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>66.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>22.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustavus Adolphus</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>40.18</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coe</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newberry</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>60.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>85.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamline</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>76.96</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>93.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City College</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>26.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois State Normal University</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>126.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>210.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Brown</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Agricultural College</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>39.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>76.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Southern Branch</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otterbein</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>48.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linfield</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska State Normal, of Kearney</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouachita</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of the City of Detroit</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>23.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Wesleyan</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total receipts</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3529.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3698.32</strong></td>
<td><strong>$96.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>$175.47</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7323.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Receipts
Balance on hand April 1, 1923 ........................................ $1,689.10
Received from dues ...................................................... 3,529.00
Received from keys ..................................................... 3,698.32
Received from fines ................................................... 96.50
Received from L. G. Balfour Co. ..................................... 193.42

Total ................................................................. $9,206.34

Expenditures
L. G. Balfour Co. ...................................................... $2,495.45
J. F. Newman ........................................................ 482.31
Redlands Daily Facts .................................................. 1,394.17
National President .................................................... 270.00
Editor of Forensic ..................................................... 106.80
National Secretary-Treasurer ......................................... 745.91
National Historian ..................................................... 25.00
First Vice-President ................................................... 10.00
Refunds to chapters ................................................... 175.47
Lettering charters .................................................... 19.00
Cups ................................................................ 55.60
Printing ................................................................. 96.07
Auditing committee .................................................... 4.16
College Blue Books ...................................................... 4.80

Total expenditures ................................................... $5,884.74

Total cash on hand April 1, 1924 ................................. $3,321.60

Respectfully submitted,
ALFRED WESTFALL,
National Secretary-Treasurer.

COLORADO INVITES NEXT CONVENTION

The three letters here printed from Governor Sweet of Colorado, President Lory of the Colorado Agricultural College, and from President Frasier of the Colorado Teachers' College will be of interest to our readers.

March 19, 1924.
Mr. Charles A. Marsh, President Pi kappa Delta, Peoria, Illinois:
My Dear Mr. Marsh—I wish to extend the invitation of the people of Colorado for the Pi Kappa Delta to hold its 1926 national convention in this state. I am sure the delegates will never regret the visit to Colorado.
Very truly yours,
WM. E. SWEET.

March 24, 1924.
Mr. Charles A. Marsh, National President Pi Kappa Delta, Peoria, Illinois:
Dear President Marsh—In behalf of the Colorado Agricultural College I extend a cordial invitation to Pi Kappa Delta to meet in Estes Park for its annual convention in 1926.
You are assured ample hotel and convention facilities, and our local chapter and the institution as a whole will count it a privilege to cooperate with the people of Estes Park and the other institutions of our state in an effort to make your visit to Colorado a very pleasant and happy one.
In cooperation with our Chamber of Commerce we will assist in taking
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you from the railway station to the Park should you route your trip
through Fort Collins, and we will be pleased indeed if you can hold part
of your exercises on our campus. 

Respectfully yours,

CHARLES A. LORY,
President.

Greeley, Colo., March 28, 1924.

Charles A. Marsh, President Pi Kappa Delta, Peoria, Illinois:

Dear Sir—Colorado Teachers College is heartily in accord with the
ideals and aims of Pi Kappa Delta. On behalf of this institution I extend
a most hearty invitation to the national organization to hold its 1926
convention in Estes Park Colorado. All of the resources of the institu-
tion, backed by the Greeley Chamber of Commerce, are at your command.

The college itself would be glad to entertain the convention or to help
in every way possible if you desire to meet in Estes Park.

Respectfully yours,

G. W. FRASIER,
President C. T. C.

Governor Grosbeck of Michigan was one of the charter members of
the chapter installed at the College of the City of Detroit.

STATEMENT

The Federal Act of August 24, 1912 requires the following statement to be
made and published twice a year:

THE FORENSIC OF PI KAPPA DELTA, published four times a year at
Redlands, California.

Editor: J. R. MacArthur, Pasadena, California.
Managing Board: National Council of Pi Kappa Delta.
Owners: The Pi Kappa Delta Honorary Forensic Society (Incorporated, not for
pecuniary profit).
Business Manager: Egbert Roy Nichols, Professor, Redlands, California.

Known bond holders, mortgagees, and other security holders holding 1 per-
cent or more of the total amount of indebtedness: None.
Information as to the number of copies printed in each issue is not required of
THE FORENSIC, but may be had upon application to the Editor.

(Signed) JOHN R. MACARTHUR, Editor.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1924.

(Signed) INGA HOWARD,
Notary Public in and for the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My
Commission expires March 24, 1925.
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PROF. F. S. SIEBERT
Convention Officer, Fifth Biennial Convention
SIMON HEEMSTRA
Winner of First Place in Men’s National Oratorical Contest