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FAREWELL PERSONAL REMARKS

When I was elected president of Pi Kappa Delta two years ago, one of my first concerns was whether I would be able to find enough to write about to fill four president’s columns each year. Now I find myself with only one message left and still so much to say. I hope the reader will forgive me if this message is a little longer than usual.

Since I have never pretended that this column is anything more than my own opinion, it seems only proper to begin the last in this series with some personal remarks. When I took the oath of office in Seattle in 1977, I said that being elected president of Pi Kappa Delta was the highest honor that could be granted someone in the field of forensics. Nothing in the last two years has altered my belief in that statement. As my term comes to a close, I want to publicly thank all of those who have helped and supported me in this office: the members of my forensic squad and staff, the administration of Southeast Missouri State University, my colleagues in the Province of the Missouri, and my associates on the National Council. I particularly wish to thank the more than 500 delegates who attended the National Convention and Tournament in St. Louis. You overcame the inevitable difficulties associated with such a large event (including occasional bad weather) and made the convention a success. Once again I was impressed, as I always am at PKD Nationals, by the vitality, good sense, and character of our members. Those who constantly criticize today’s generation of college students have obviously not been to a Pi Kappa Delta National Convention and Tournament lately. To those who were not at the Thirty-First Biennial Meeting, we missed you. We hope to see (Continued on page 9)
Having just finished the official minutes of the business meetings at the St. Louis Convention, I must admit that really no time has been allowed for real reflection on the convention itself. It would be an advantage if the deadlines for this page were not so pressing. Although the time for reflection is not allowed, at least a few comments might be in order from this office as we see it.

It was quite obvious that most of the delegates did take my remarks seriously and availed themselves of the "services" offered by the ladies who were "in command" of "the store." It seemed to me that the reports from that facility would indicate that most everyone at the convention at one time or another did at least look in and see the many supplies on display. I wish to thank those who came by and picked up supplies, and also thank the ladies, Betsy Karl and Jean Lawrence, for the long hours they spent at that location. The storekeepers performed many other duties which were their responsibilities.

My report to the convention indicated, at least indirectly, that attendance at the St. Louis Convention was somewhat larger than at the Seattle meeting. This was true in relation to numbers in all three of the categories mentioned in the report. Approximately ten additional chapters, fifty more people, and two more states were represented.

My personal main negative reaction to the time spent there is that I was permitted time to see and talk to fewer students and longtime friends than ever before. Certainly we were not busier, but, whatever the reason, I did miss the opportunities which seemed to be present at other conventions.

From the standpoint of this office, the convention was a successful one — time (Continued on page 10)
INHERENCY, LARGELY A BOGUS ISSUE

John R. E. Bliese

All of the current attempts to reform intercollegiate debate practice seem to have a common focus: they want to make debates more like real world policy disputes. Thus the concern over topicality, since no one in the real world would ever think that bail reform is a land use issue or that chiropractors are consumer products. Thus, also, the desire to make debate style "communicative" or "persuasive," since no one in the outside world would advocate a policy position at 300 words per minute.

With this same general focus, I would like to add another concern: inerency. Inerency, as it is often argued, is in large part an evasion of the real issues. Yet it is a rare debate, indeed, in which most of the first negative constructive is not spent reading inerency blocks. Negatives, in fact, often make it the critical issue in a debate. Inerency is so much a part of debate today that we take it for granted.

We should realize, however, that inerency as it is often argued is neither a substantive issue nor a realistic argument. We hear negatives claim, for example, that some existing agency has the power, should it but choose to use it, to do exactly what the affirmative wants done. But by that argument the negative avoids the substantive question of whether it should be done at all. We also hear negatives contend that merely expanding appropriations for an existing program would solve the affirmative's problem or obtain their advantages. Yet in the real world, controversy frequently focuses on purely quantitative changes in the level of appropriations. Moreover, surely no one in the real world who advocated unlimited expansion of appropriations for some existing program, as negatives so casually do, could present himself as a defender of the present system!

At this point we need to analyze further two distinctly different ways, suggested above, in which inerency is raised as a common but spurious issue in debates today. One is identified by John Schunk and is labeled "pseudo-inerency." In this approach the negative calls for rejection of the affirmative plan because some executive agency currently has the power, should it ever choose to use it, to promulgate a regulation that would be identical to the affirmative plan. It is normally conceded that such a regulation has not yet been issued. As an example, recall the 1977 National Debate Tournament final round where the affirmative case proposed requiring air bags in automobiles. First negative inerency arguments included the claim that the Secretary of Transportation had the power to require these air bags. Although it was clear at the time that he had not in fact done so, and that, therefore, the status quo did not require them, the fact that someone could require air bags was used as an argument against the proposal to require them! That the Secretary of Transportation may in the future accept the affirmative plan was given as grounds for rejecting the very same plan!

Such "pseudo-inerency" arguments stretch the notion of the status quo far beyond reason. They fail to understand the nature of a modern regulatory agency, confusing structure with the procedures and mechanisms for establishing and changing structures. That some executive agency has the power to legislate or regulate something is really irrelevant to a debate if the agency has in fact not issued the regulation in question. A regulatory agency is like Congress. Both are simply mechanisms which can make and change legal structures. The agency has an established procedure by which it issues regulations. Its regulations have the same force as a statute passed by Congress.

John Bliese is a member of the order of instruction. He has taught at several PKD schools.
Therefore, arguing that the affirmative plan should be rejected because some agency now has the power to enact it, is the precise equivalent of asking the judge to reject the plan because Congress now has the power to pass it. We have long recognized the latter as a silly argument used only by bad high school teams. Yet for some strange reason, the former argument is accorded respectability.

Consider the situation a few years ago when Congress had given President Nixon power to control wages and prices. For some time Nixon refused to use this power. During that period it would surely have been ludicrous to argue that the status quo structure controlled wages and prices or that they could be controlled without a major change. The policy and structure were precisely the opposite; wages and prices were not controlled, regardless of discretionary powers available to the President. It was surely a major change in the structure of our economy when Nixon finally instituted controls. Until he did it would have made complete sense for someone to argue that controls should be implemented as a major, structural change. This case is logically no different from the air bags one. In the latter case, one need only look at the reaction of the car manufacturers to know that to require air bags would be a major change from the present system within which they build their cars. Nevertheless, the fact that one of the best teams in the nation thought this approach to inerency was a viable strategy and that one of the judges accepted it as sufficient strategy shows how far we have strayed from substantive issues in favor of “pseudo-inherence” arguments.

In the second common spurious inerency claim, the negative advocates virtually unlimited expansion of appropriations for a current program and calls that a “minor repair.” This argument is especially critical in those areas where a purely quantitative expansion of a status quo program would in effect be resolution. Consider, for example, the resolution to guarantee opportunity for employment to all U.S. citizens in the labor force. Since present policy is designed to provide a limited number of public service jobs, the negative could conceivably propose expansion of this program so that it would be extensive enough to guarantee an opportunity for employment. Although the present policy is precisely not to guarantee everyone a job and the present structure certainly does not guarantee jobs, if one were simply to expand that limited number of jobs to, say, ten times its present level, the effect would be resolutional. We would be guaranteeing jobs for all, using a “current program.” Traditionally we have allowed negatives to expand existing programs as “minor repairs.”

But at what point does a repair cease to be “minor” and therefore cease to be a negative option? Where might we draw the line? Since minor repairs have been so much abused, should we disallow entirely the negative option of expanding appropriations? That does not seem reasonable, for then presumably the affirmative could use a small increase in appropriations as a plan, thereby avoiding serious plan attacks. It would also seem to restrict the negative unreasonably to either a complete defense of the status quo or to a counterplan. But should we allow the negative to expand appropriations up to the “resolution-minus-one”? (Allow the negative to guarantee jobs to all but one person — presumably one of the affirmative team members? Then, of course, the affirmative would lose the significance issue.) Wherever the line might be drawn, it would be arbitrary. And the current sorry status of our topics parameters shows the futility of drawing any line at all. The unlimited “minor repair” cannot be minimized by an arbitrary line but only by a change in debate topics.

The substantive issues in a policy debate should focus on whether something should be done at all. The debate ought to deal with the merits of the policy in question. Debaters ought to be concerned with the pragmatic and philosophical justifications for a policy. Those are the issues that are important in a real world context. However, with these two common approaches to inerency, the substantive issues are not debated. In fact, arguing inerency in these ways often precludes argument over the real issues. If
the negative stand is that the status quo can do or is doing what the affirmative plan proposes, normally the negative cannot consistently argue that it should not be done at all. Against an air bags case, if the first negative defends the status quo because it does or will require air bags, for the second negative to raise disadvantages to requiring them is inconsistent. The negative can, logically, argue that the status quo could do what the affirmative proposes but has chosen not to because of the disadvantages. Cases that argue for new powers to expel illegal aliens can be attacked reasonably enough by claiming that the government already has ample power to expel them, but because of the disastrous consequences to our economy and foreign relations that would result, has wisely chosen not to use its power. But that is not the way the position is usually presented. Normally the only realistic and consistent disadvantage the negative has left is that the affirmative plan would be a wasteful bureaucratic duplication of efforts. Therefore, the second negative has shifted to emphasis on solvency arguments ("to the extent that the status quo does not produce the advantages neither will the plan") or outrageous disadvantages that are prima facie absurd. And even the solvency arguments can easily become inconsistent with the inherency attacks, for the first negative usually claims that the present system can produce the affirmative's advantages.

What, then, is inherency, if it is so often neither substantive nor realistic, and if it so often diverts debates away from real issues? Our literature is full of esoteric attempts to grasp the ontological essence of inherency; to me, however, the whole point seems much simpler. If we consider the grammar of a resolution, we find that inherency arguments need not be involved at all. In a value proposition, such as CEDA³ debaters use, inherency has no role. Last year's CEDA topic stated that a U.S. foreign policy significantly directed toward the furtherance of human rights is desirable. It makes absolutely no difference what the status quo policy is. Therefore, inherency cannot become an issue. Even a policy resolution simply asks one side to affirm that something in particular ought to be our policy. The resolution need not be worded to propose a change in our policy. It makes perfect grammatical sense to resolve that a present policy should be maintained. It would then be up to the negative to attack the status quo. The informal "debate" between an incumbent politician and a challenger often takes this form. So does the "debate" when one seeks a court order preventing someone from doing something, such as building a nuclear plant. The "affirmative" defends the status quo policy, yet a real "debate" occurs.

However, presumably by analogy with a court trial, where the plaintiff or prosecutor argues for a change in the status quo and the defendant has presumption, American academic debate traditionally has required the affirmative to indict present policy and propose a change. Within that tradition (one that is by no means God-given) inherency is a concept that once had a very clear function: to assure that there could be a debate at all. It was an integral part of the affirmative's burden of proof to establish either that a problem inheres in the existing structure so that current mechanisms cannot solve it, or that the affirmative proposal would produce advantages that the existing structure cannot produce. Within the general burden of proof, the function of inherency was to force the affirmative to propose a major, structural change. It prevented the affirmative from supporting the status quo or from proposing relatively insignificant changes about which there could be little debate. Thus, for example, on the resolution that the Federal Government should guarantee an opportunity for higher education, the affirmative could not support the status quo, saying that such an opportunity is now guaranteed, for that would not be any "inherent" indictment of the present system. (Although, once again, such a stand would make perfect logical and grammatical sense. It only violates the tradition.) Nor could they advocate adding a mere $2000 to the current appropriations for scholarships, for that would be only a very minor extension of a present program. Thus, inherency was
functional; it made the affirmative advocate a major change.

I have used the past tense in discussing the function of inherency, for its traditional role has been largely eroded. In the 1950's and early 1960's, the activities of government were far fewer than they are today. Unfortunately for substantive debate, over the past decade and a half, the Federal and state governments have enacted an ever-expanding number of programs in almost every conceivable area of policy dispute. They have also established numerous agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency and the Consumer Product Safety Commission, with broad mandates to exercise regulatory control over nearly every aspect of our society. It is therefore increasingly difficult to find debatable issues where there are few or no status quo programs. The affirmative is left to deal with an exigence that many existing programs cover, more or less adequately and completely, making it much more difficult to find "inherent" problems to solve. Consequently, the negative increasingly has shifted to inherency attacks, arguing that what the affirmative proposes can be done under the aegis of an existing agency or program, rather than that it should not be done at all. Thus the concept of inherency which originally provided for substantive debate has become a way to avoid substantive arguments.

Ironically, inherency arguments have assumed increasingly greater importance in debate at the very time when their theoretical base, the judicial analog, seems to have been largely rejected by the forensic community. Time and again these days we are asked to be policy makers or hypothesis testers, very different analogs from that of the trial judge. The real world equivalents of inherency and presumption are much less important in public policy disputes than in courtroom trials. At the extreme, zero base budgeting and sunset laws are attempts to remove presumption of the status quo entirely. Nothing "inherent" would have to be established to change the status quo. The present system would have a burden of proof, and present policies would have to be justi-
fied anew to maintain their existence.

It is time to focus academic debates once again on substantive arguments. Schunk recommends that we "abandon the concept of structural change altogether" and test inherency by asking whether we can "solve the problem without adopting the resolution?" If universally accepted, this recommendation would help reduce what he calls "pseudo-inherency" arguments. However, two major barriers confront it. First, debaters and judges would have to agree to give up the very well established concept of structural change. Too many times we hear the frustrated claim that some vice of debaters would cease if judges did not accept it. The claim is, of course, true but not very helpful. Judges do accept it, and the futility of attempting to change all debaters' and judges' attitudes on so important a concept as structural change should be clear. Second, to succeed the negative must accept the topicality of the affirmative proposal. If topicality is an issue, then the recommendation does not help, because there would be no agreement on what "adopting the resolution" means. Therefore, in a large percentage of debates, Schunk's recommendation would not help. Furthermore, his solution would seem less likely to prevent the "unlimited expansion" minority repair. Again, the notion that the affirmative must propose a structural change is well ingrained, leaving the negative with the option of increasing appropriations for some existing program. The negative repair could always stop just short of adopting the resolution, and it would then presumably still be a legitimate negative strategy by his standards.

However, it would be extremely easy to minimize both kinds of bogus inherency arguments and still debate policy propositions. Since the problem stems from the vast number of things the Federal and state governments now do or can do, the status quo has become more and more like the resolutions affirmatives are asked to propose. Thus, the grounds for debate are being reduced. Therefore, I propose that we simply word debate topics negatively, and the problem will be solved. If a resolution proposed that the Federal
Government stop doing something it now does, the spurious inherency arguments would not apply and the debate would center on real issues. The resolution that the power of the presidency should be significantly curtailed was a first step in that direction. Affirmatives were generally able to write cases that were inherent. It was very easy to discover powers the presidency undeniably possessed. The debates tended to focus more on the real issues: should the presidency have a particular power? The inherency arguments that were raised by negatives focused on the checks and balances within our government. "Pseudo-inherency" issues were seldom heard, nor were incredible "minor" repairs. The proposed resolution that Federal regulatory agency control over our economy should be substantially reduced is another good example of a negatively worded proposition. It takes a status quo policy and proposes its elimination, thereby minimizing illegitimate inherency arguments.

My proposal to reword debate topics in one respect reverses the traditional affirmative position, for the affirmative would be proposing the elimination of some program rather than the addition of one. Instead of arguing that the absence of some policy or structure creates problems, the affirmative would argue that the continued presence of some policy or structure is bad or that the original need for the policy or structure no longer exists. Consistent with tradition, however, the affirmative would still be proposing a structural change from the present system. With this new approach to policy topics, the substantive issues, the important arguments over whether our government should do some particular thing, are not only fully retained, they are emphasized once again. Debaters would be forced to confront the desirability of a particular policy, and debates would no longer bog down in the largely irrelevant issues of "pseudo-inherency" and the unlimited "minor" repair.

NOTES
1John F. Schunk, "A Farewell to 'Structural Change': The Cure for Pseudo-Inherency," Jafa, 14, No. 3 (Winter 1978), 144-49.
3CEDA is an independent debate association that chooses its own topics and selects its own national champions. Most tournaments in the West have a separate division to debate the CEDA topic. It is frequently the largest division in the tournament.
4Schunk, p. 147. Italics in the original.
5Ibid., pp. 147 ff.

The President's Message
(Continued from page 3)

you in two years at our Thirty-Second Convention in the Southeast Province.

To the membership at large I say thank you for your support in the form of encouragement, advice, or criticism. All have been appreciated. This organization, after all, is like many other democratic ones. Though the national office holders are among the more visible members, the real source of power and strength resides at the local level. So, communicate with your province and national leaders. Let them know what you want this organization to be. That is not only your right but your obligation. What Pi Kappa Delta is and what it will become rests in your hands.

Finally, as I prepare to turn the gavel over to a new president, I cannot help but observe — as I did when the gavel was first turned over to me — that trying times may be ahead for the forensic community. Inflation, the energy shortage, and dwindling college enrollments may well conspire to force some schools to weaken or abandon entirely their financial commitment to quality forensics. The debate budget will become an inviting target for those administrators charged with making ends meet. Therefore, it is crucial that we in forensics examine what we do and make sure that we can justify our activities, for we may well be called upon by outsiders to defend them. That is why I think the so-called "debate about debate" is so important. The issues are not really new; they are just more urgent
The debate about debate, like most controversies, has more than one side, and I am not sure that the “truth” resides entirely on one side, not even my own. But I am sure of this. We are better off debating this crucial issue than ignoring it. Though the debate may at times become heated, the organization is strong enough to survive it. PKD has survived similar arguments in the past, and it will be better off for our airing of the matter.

I do not mean that there is no room for diversity in Pi Kappa Delta. There is. But I happen to be one of those who believe that our motto — “The art of persuasion, beautiful and just” — is not a meaningless phrase but an educational ideal we have always stood for and one we must continue to practice. All of us should voice our views on what we think is the best way to achieve that ideal. It is through such discussion that needed changes in Pi Kappa Delta will be made, while what is worth preserving will be preserved. As we look ahead to potentially lean years in higher education, Pi Kappa Delta can and, in my judgment, should take the lead in articulating what is educationally sound forensic training. Let’s not shrink from that challenge.

Best wishes and good luck to you all!

The Secretary’s Page
(Continued from page 4)

was given for everyone to be heard, and all had the opportunity to express their opinions. I am very sure that the National Council will take very seriously the recommendations which were made in the reports, as well as the motions made from the floor. One of the apparent requests was to attempt to hold down costs. This office spends much of its time in devising ways to institute economies. Some have been successful; others have not necessarily effected economies, but neither have they added cost. One of the most notable of these has come in the distribution of The Forensic. Some of the requests for copies of The Forensic seem to indicate that you would like two copies for each member. Therefore, we have identified the size of the membership of the chapter and thus have reduced the number of copies sent out by about one-third. We hope they will be passed around to the various members so that all may have a chance to read them. We shall attempt to hold down the costs to the best of our ability and still give the services you have ordered and have the right to expect.

The limitations of time and space do not afford the opportunity to discuss some of the thoughts I have about some of the discussion on the floor of the convention or in the pages of The Forensic. That time will come, and the space will be available. In the meantime, let me say that I fully expect that the National Council will respond with mature judgment to the requests made and that such judgments will be made with all of the possible facts before them. I, for one, pledge that any decisions to which I am a party on the Council will be rendered with concern and only after much thought. I believe this to be in the best interests of our fraternity.

I wish to use this space to express my deep personal appreciation to our soon to be Past President Tom Harte. It has been a most pleasant opportunity to work with him and to respond to the best of my ability to his leadership. He has given much time and effort to the organization and has accomplished all that could be expected of his office. Carolyn Keefe has done much for our publication and deserves much commendation. It should come as no surprise that this office must work closely with the editor, and these four years have been a pleasure. To the other people who will soon be leaving the Council, I also wish to express my thanks.

To the new president and newly elected members of the Council, I say that I feel the convention chose well. This office will do everything it can to make your tenure a pleasant one, and it will give you the cooperation in our power.

To all I wish a pleasant last month of the school year, as well as a pleasant future. These wishes are meant especially for all of the graduates who leave the institutions this year. We educators in PKD are sorry to have your leave us, but, after all, your commencement is our goal. Good luck to all!
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Stan Jackson
Carla Jordan
Keith Kopp
Kent Newport
Rob Nigh

ETA ............. Central Missouri State University
James Floyd, Judge
Derald Harris, Judge
Jill Luehrman, Council
Karolyn Yocum, Judge
Roberta Burnette
Joe Chapman
Bruce Duvall
Barbara Ewing
Curtis Jacobs
Mike Short
Sheri Vanderhoof
Deborah Washington

IOTA .......... Southeast Missouri State University
Brad Brann, Judge
Tom Harte, Council
Joseph Low, Coordinator
Robert Broeker
Steve Dunaway
Randy Hagan
Sharon Handlin
Kathy Hill
Donna See
Megan Williams

PI .......... University of Missouri-Kansas City
Walter Murrih, Judge
Joe Goldenberg

RHO ............. Evangel College
Nonna Dana, Judge
Chee Chee Hogan, Judge
Cathy Eiland
Robert Keller
Lonnie Kibiger
Trudy Lively
Nancy Osborne
Lori Steinbach

SIGMA .......... Southwest Baptist College
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Darrell Moore, Council
Eric Moore, Judge
Mike Pollock, Judge
Tom Cotlan
Glenda Cowen
Randy Cowling
Les Frazer
Becky Heistand
Van Kierstead
Martha Lively
David Munton
Alvin Rohrs
Elizabeth Vincent

**TAU** Missouri Western State College
Bruce Garren, Judge
Ron Wagner, Judge
Mike Easton
Rita Dupree
Michelle Humphrey
Jan McQueen
Bob Papison
Lisa Rice

**UPSILON** Missouri Southern State College
Dick Finton, Judge
Bill Conkley
Steve Fielder
Kelli McDaniel Hopkins
Val L'allier
Chris Pilgrim
Joe Rupp

**MONTANA**
**BETA** Montana State University
Jean Lawrence, Judge
Les Lawrence, Judge
Bill Bickle
Russ Crawford
Randy Lund
Mark Seiffert
David Shontz
Marc Strahn
John Sturgeon
Chris Tiahrt

**ZETA** University of Montana
James A. Polsin, Judge
Denny Dutton
Walter Congdon
Maryann Madson
Mike Pawliss

**NEBRASKA**
**ALPHA** Nebraska Wesleyan University
Alice J. Jaswal, Judge
Brad Gulick
Sally Wolf

**GAMMA** Doane College
John Burtis, Judge
David McManigal
Gregory Torrey

**DELTA** Hastings College
John R. Schedel, Judge
Jackie Lockhart

**ZETA** Kearney State College
Kent Emer, Judge
P. J. Stec
Kirk Thonsen

**NEW JERSEY**
**ALPHA** Monmouth College
William A. Yaremchuk, Sponsor

**BETA** New Jersey Institute of Technology
Clarence Johnson, Sponsor
James McAllister, Judge

**NEW YORK**
**ALPHA** Ithaca College
Pat Mohan

**NORTH CAROLINA**
**EPSILON** Appalachian State University
Terry W. Cole, Judge
Cindy Aldridge
Sue Fillipelli
Tony Jones
Lee Kirkman
Gaylen Stanley

**NORTH DAKOTA**
**DELTA** North Dakota State University
Jeanine Congalton, Judge
Cady Kirk
Greg Mattern
Karla Schmit
Julie Sherman

**EPSILON** Mayville State College
Betty Garske, Judge
Janice Overmoe
Laurie White

**OHIO**
**ALPHA** Baldwin-Wallace College
Mark Schulte

**DELTA** University of Akron
Michael Olah, Judge

**EPSILON** Otterbein College
Jennifer Goins, Judge
Mary Ann Deer
Al Johnson
Janette McDonald
Steph Shaw

**ZETA** Marietta College
Mabry M. O'Connell, Sponsor

**ETA** Bowling Green State University
Ray Yeager, Judge
Howard Lester

**OKLAHOMA**
**THETA** Southeastern Oklahoma State University
Kirk Ashton, Judge
La Dawn Day
Mike Hill
James Owlesy

**OMICRON** Cameron University
Tony Allison, Judge
J. C. Hicks, Judge
Danny Asphalt
Natalie Freeman
Mike Gray
Sylvia Kasper
Marly McKellips
Susan McNutt
Jacqueline Perkins
Carolyn Rankins
Jeff Shaw
Emmit Tayloe

**OREGON**
**ALPHA** Linfield College
Maryellen Gleason, Judge
Carrie Davis
Larry Skinner

**PENNSYLVANIA**
**DELTA** Bloomsburg State College
Dan Dessel, Judge
Harry Strine, Judge
Cindy Fissell
Gert McGoff
Penny Moyer
Dave Pautsch
Denise Reed
Sue Waters

**ZETA** California State College
Robert Cowles, Judge
Sharon Miller
Teresa Naus
Angela L. Russo

**ETA** Clarion State College
Jane Elmes, Judge
Roger Hufford, Council
Tim Anderson
Marianne Babnis
Laura Gordon
Sandy Humphrey
LeRoy Kline
George Lakes
Betsy Mallison
Bill Myers
Kellie Panella
Ami Weekley

IOTA ............ West Chester State College
Carolyn Keefe, Council
Joe Cassel
Jodi Daley
Henry Gentry
Jim Shinehouse

NU ...... Edinboro State College
Robert Beagle, Judge
Margaret Mills
Theresa Specht

XI .......... Shippensburg State College
Ron Horn, Judge
Dave Shumaker, Judge
Joe Poplawski

OMICRON ...... Wilkes College
Bradford Kinney, Judge
Colleen Gries
Jon Pliskin
Davida Roberts

PI .......... York College
Jeanine Fry, Judge
Camille McRoberts

Bruce Kinder
Paula Lantsberger

IOTA ............ Black Hills State College
Arthur Prosper, Judge
Laurie Brown
Lori Glover

KAPPA ........... University of South Dakota
John McNeill, Judge
Virgil Kleinheisselink

TENNESSEE
DELA ..... Tennessee Technological University
Robert H. Woodland, Judge

THETA ........ David Lipscomb College
Ken Schott, Judge
Bruce Clayton
Tony Saunders

LAMBDA .......... Austin Peay State University
James N. Holm, Jr., Judge
Paige Chargois
David Mason

MU .......... Trevecca Nazarene College
James A. Kneer, Judge
Lena Hegi
Laura Long
Paul Montemuro
Cheri Waldrip

SOUTH DAKOTA
ALPHA .......... Dakota Wesleyan University
Mike Turchen, Judge
Peg Brodeen
Tamara Rogers
Cris Stewart

BETA ............ Huron College
Bruce Woodruff, Sponsor

DELA .......... South Dakota State University
Joel Heffing, Judge
Michael Schliessmann, Judge
Harold Widvey, Judge
Judy Fellows
Mary Gill
Denise Haisch
Loren Lemon
Lynne Lundberg
Brenda Oole
Andrew Rist
Donna Siebrose
Mary Stung
Deb Taylor
Tom Woldt

ZETA ............ Northern State College
James Zeman, Judge
Arlen Brammer
Greg Charboneau

Texas
ZETA .......... Texas Christian University
Robert Grim, Judge
Dick Price, Judge
Alicia Gerson
Alan Robinson
Tom Taylor

ETA .......... North Texas State University
Don Brownlee, Sponsor

LAMBDA ...... Southwest Texas State University
Robert Greenstreet, Judge
Linda Corona
Wendy Urbanowicz

MU .......... Stephen F. Austin State University
William Bos, Judge
Debbie Beauchamp
Barbara Kramer
Beth Parrish

NU .......... Texas A & I University
Charlene Strickland, Judge
Sharon Wilson, Judge
Gloria Allen
Kristie Bird
Gregg Catter
Michael Garcia

Tommy Powell
Larry Watts
Eddie Zamora
Gilbert Zepeda

Omicron ........ University of Houston
Val Flake, Judge
Wayne Thompson, Judge
Douglas Dryer
Mike Fain
Cheryl Gillum
Ken Hughes

CHI .......... Midwestern University
Trevallyn Garner, Judge
Freddie L. Buckner
Kerry Gene Love
Clara D. Phillips

VIRGINIA
BETA .......... Old Dominion University
Kevin Butterfield

WASHINGTON
ALPHA .......... University of Puget Sound
Kristine Davis, Judge
Scott Halford
Bill Hochberg
Gary Long
Bruce Schroeder
David C. Smith

BETA .......... Seattle Pacific University
Rebecca Thompson

EPSILON .......... Pacific Lutheran University
Richard O’Dor, Judge
Sandra Braaten
Pat Madden
Sean Madden
Kathy McCormick

ZETA .......... Western Washington University
Larry Richardson, Judge
Bruce Eckfeldt
Don Hendrickson

ETA .......... St. Martin’s College
Nicholas Rausch, Judge
Bruce Morris
Angела Simon

THETA .......... Whitman College
Remy Wilcox, Judge
Joel Carlson, Judge
David Hartfield
Tim O’Connell
Brent Stratton
Cathy Tanasse
Karen Wishart

KAPPA .......... Eastern Washington State University
Larry Kraft, Judge
Sandra Erickson
Katherine Forney
Cathy Moore
Gary Nichols
Jack Smith

**WEST VIRGINIA**

**GAMMA** ......... Morris Harvey College
Roselyn Freedman, Council
John Frail
Bonnie Gray-Lamb
Steven Morris

**WISCONSIN**

**ALPHA** ......... Ripon College
Bonnie Buzza, Judge
Janis Bloecher
Lisa Brunette
Terri Hommerding
Laurie Kumberec
Kent Menzel
Wally Pohlman
Randy Slone

**GAMMA** ......... University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh
John Bliese, Judge
Joan Dushensi
Sandy Hafenbraedl
Barb Hurula

**DELTA** ......... University of Wisconsin-River Falls
James Pratt, Judge
Dean Ammerman
Dale Bark
Ed Falkner
James Gieseke
Paul Oehlke
Beth Wright

**EPSILON** ......... University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
Lois A. McElroy

**ZETA** ......... University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire
Grace Walsh, Judge
Lisa Huber
Tom Siegel

**LAMBDA** ......... University of Wisconsin-La Crosse
James Norwag, Judge
Jack Starr, Council
Stephan Farkas
Mark Groves
Marga Krumins
Karen Tranchita

**ALUMNI CHAPTERS**

R.C. Williams Alumni Chapter #1 at University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
Sandra Madsen

P.C. Harvey Alumni Chapter at

William Jewell College
Georgia Bowman
L.A. Lawrence Alumni Chapter at Montana State University
L.A. Lawrence
Missouri Central State University Alumni Chapter
Derald Harris

**GUESTS**

John Baird, Past President
Mrs. Robert Beagle
Georgia Bowman, Past President
John Burt, Parliamentarian
James Clymer, Coordinator
Fred Goodwin, Past President
Mrs. Fred Goodwin
Jill Harte
Tom Harte, Jr.
Mrs. Tom Harte
Theodore O. H. Karl,
Past President, Council
Mrs. Theodore O. H. Karl
Mrs. Joseph Low
Roy Murphy, Past President
Larry Norton, Past President, Council
John Randolph, Past President
Mrs. John Randolph
Homer Veatch, Past President
Mrs. Ray Yeager

Looking down on the Debate Scene in a Suite at the Chase-Park Plaza in St. Louis
Bringing Home the Gold

Superiors in Poetry: (seated, left to right) Judy Pistulka, Moorhead State Univ.; Sylvia Kasper, Cameron Univ.; Jodi Daley, West Chester State; Marla Wiens, Bethel; Donna Fleishman, Sterling; (standing, left to right) Mark Lowery, Univ. of Arkansas-Fayetteville; Troy Tinker, Univ. of Central Arkansas; Randy Sloane, Ripon; Jonathan Cloud, Harding.

Superiors in Prose: (seated, left to right) Debra Knutson, Southwest State Univ. (MN); Cindy Aldridge, Appalachian State Univ.; Jodi Daley, West Chester State; Cindy King, Univ. of Arkansas-Fayetteville; (standing, left to right) David Hartsfield, Whitman; Troy Tinker, Univ. of Central Arkansas; Vanessa Wynder, Wheaton; Sean O'Rourke, Humboldt State Univ.; Jean Flickinger, Bethel.
Superiors in Speaking to Entertain: (left to right) Renee Schmidt, Buena Vista; James Chapman, Bethel; Sean O'Rourke, Humboldt State Univ.; (seated) Davida Roberts, Wilkes; Dean Ammerman, Univ. of Wisconsin-River Falls; Joe Poplawski, Shippensburg State.

Superiors in Lincoln-Douglas Debate: (left to right) Mark Groves, Univ. of Wisconsin-La Crosse; Ed Falkner, Univ. of Wisconsin-River Falls.

Superior in Oral History Interview: Keith Kopp, William Jewell.

Superiors in Championship Debate: (front to back) Mike Hill and La Dawn Day, Southeastern Oklahoma State Univ.; Carol Tutzauer and Frank Tutzauer, Southwestern (KS).
Superiors in Discussion: (seated on floor, left to right) Brent Stratton, Whitman; Carol Tutzauer, Southwestern (KS); (seated on sofas, left to right) Danny Dutton, Univ. of Montana; Cindy King, Univ. of Arkansas-Fayetteville; Janewite LeBlanc, Bridgewater State; Van Kierstead, Southwest Baptist; Megan Williams, Southeast Missouri State Univ.; Carl Rider, Arkansas State Univ.

Superiors in Traditional Debate: (seated, left to right) Roger Jeremiah and Jan Nielsen, Henderson State Univ.; Lori Callahan and Beatrice Adams, Washburn Univ.; (standing, left to right) Bruce Duvall and Joe Chapman, Central Missouri State Univ.; Tommy Powell and Larry Watts, Texas A & I Univ.

Superiors in Oratory: (front row, left to right) Laurie White, Mayville State; Sylvia Kasper, Cameron Univ.; (back row, left to right) Tom Woldt, South Dakota State Univ.; Susan Fillippelli, Appalachian State Univ.; Susan McNutt, Cameron Univ.; Laura Chamberlain, Moorhead State Univ.; Tim O'Connell, Whitman; Ardie Schroeder, Bethel; Tony Jones, Appalachian State Univ.
Superiors in Informative Speaking: (front row, left to right) Mark Ferguson, Bowling Green State Univ.; Jill Luehrman, Central Missouri State Univ.; Barbara Hurula, Univ. of Wisconsin-Oshkosh; Mike Fain, Univ. of Houston; (back row, left to right) David Mason, Austin Peay State Univ.; Thomas Siegel, Univ. of Wisconsin-Eau Claire; Walter Congdon, Univ. of Montana; John Frail, Morris Harvey.

Superiors in Extemporaneous Speaking: (seated, left to right) Michelle Humphrey, Missouri Western State; Lisa Huber, Univ. of Wisconsin-Eau Claire; Marty McKellips, Cameron Univ.; (standing, left to right) David Mason, Austin Peay State Univ.; Andrew Rist, South Dakota State Univ.; Tim Coffin, Wheaton; Dan O’Rourke, Youngstown State; Walter Pohland, Ripon.

Superior Sweepstakes Coaches: (first row, left to right) Jane Elmes, Clarion State; Remy Wilcox, Whitman; Mary Ingalls, Univ. of Arkansas-Fayetteville; Patrick Garner, Harding; (second row, left to right) Tony Allison, Cameron Univ.; Karolyn Yokum, Central Missouri State Univ.; Charlene Strickland, Texas A & I Univ.; John Junke, Bethel; (third row, left to right) J. C. Hicks, Cameron Univ.; Derald Harris, Central Missouri State Univ.; Mike Pollock, Southwest Baptist; Edwin Hollatz, Wheaton.
THEY ALSO WON

ORATORY

Excellent Rating

Cheryl Curran .......... University of Southern Colorado
Ken Stewart ............. Bethel College
Michelle Humphrey ...... Missouri Western State College
Cindy Hoover ............ William Jewell College
Jonathan Cloud .......... Harding College
Greg York ............... Harding College
Gilbert Zepeda .......... Texas A & I University
Howard Lester .......... Bowling Green State University
Betsy Mallison .......... Clarion State College
Marlene Babins .......... Clarion State College
Cheri Waldrip .......... Trevecca Nazarene College
Lena Hegi ............... Trevecca Nazarene College
Julie Newell .......... Boise State University
Mike Pawliss .......... University of Montana
Brent Stratton .......... Whitman College
Gary Long .......... University of Puget Sound
Rebecca Thompson ......... Seattle Pacific University

Good Rating

Ken Clark .......... Ottawa University
Sharon Handlin .......... Southeast Missouri State University
Randy Hagan .......... Southeast Missouri State University
Elizabeth Vincent ...... Southwest Baptist College
Trudy Lively .......... Evangel College
Lori Steinbach .......... Evangel College
Tim Coffin .......... Wheaton College
Cheryl Smith .......... Wheaton College
Rebecca Whittington ...... Southern Illinois University
Terri Hommerding .......... Ripon College
Dan Lintin .......... Buena Vista College
Laurie Brown .......... Black Hills State College
Mary Gill .......... South Dakota State University
Tommy Ray .......... Louisiana State University-Shreveport
Larry Watts .......... Texas A & I University
John Frail .......... Morris Harvey College
Lisa Fish .......... Moorhead State University
Marga Krumins .......... University of Wisconsin-LaCrosse
Dean Ammerman .......... University of Wisconsin-River Falls
David Mason .......... Austin Peay State University
Carrie Davis .......... Linfield College
Mark Seiffert .......... Montana State University
Kevin Butterfield ...... Old Dominion University
Jon Pliskin .......... Wilkes College
Colleen Gries .......... Wilkes College
Henry Gentry .......... West Chester State College

EXTEMPORANEOUS SPEAKING

Excellent Rating

Ken Stewart .......... Bethel College
Frank Tutzauer .......... Southwestern College
Steve Jones .......... Kansas State University-Pittsburg
Joe Goldenberg .......... University of Missouri-Kansas City
Bruce Duvall .......... Central Missouri State University

Good Rating

Cindy Hoover .......... William Jewell College
Geoff Donnellan ......... Wheaton College
Lisa Brunette .......... Ripon College
Loren Lemon .......... South Dakota State University
Doug Carson .......... University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Tommy Powell .......... Texas A & I University
Howard Lester .......... Bowling Green State University
Ed Falkner .......... University of Wisconsin-River Falls
Randy Arp .......... Moorhead State University
Bruce Schroeder .......... University of Puget Sound
Joe Poplawski .......... Shippensburg State College

Good Rating

Steve Jensen .......... University of Southern Colorado
Mike Short .......... Central Missouri State University
Alvin Rohrs .......... Southwest Baptist College
Tom Colston .......... Southwest Baptist College
Lori Glover .......... Black Hills State College
Arlene Brannon .......... Northern State College
Janice Overmoe .......... Maryville State College
Lori Van Oosbree .......... Buena Vista College
Roger Jeremiah .......... Henderson State University
Jo Carson .......... University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Greg York .......... Harding College
Gregg Catter .......... Texas A & I University
Linda Corona .......... Southwest Texas State University
Alecia Gerson .......... Texas Christian University
Tommy Ray .......... Louisiana State University-Shreveport
Joey Tabarlet .......... Louisiana State University-Shreveport
Esther McGuire .......... Louisiana Tech University
Marianne Babins .......... Clarion State College
Roger Gibbs .......... Georgetown College
Don Hendrickson .......... Western Washington University
Tim O'Connell .......... Whitman College
Bill Bickle .......... Montana State University
Larry Skinner .......... Linfield College
Penny Moyer .......... Bloomsburg State College
Sue Waters .......... Bloomsburg State College

ORAL INTERPRETATION OF PROSE

Excellent Rating

Kathy Abeyta .......... University of Southern Colorado
Preston Benoist .......... Kansas State University-Pittsburg
Jill Sisson .......... Sterling College
Donna Heishman .......... Sterling College
Barbara Ewing .......... Central Missouri State University
Lonnie Kibiger .......... Evangel College
Lisa Rice .......... Missouri Western State College
Cheryl Lacefield .......... North Central College
Lisa Huber .......... University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire
Karla Schmit .......... North Dakota State University
Caryn Tabler .......... University of Arkansas-Monticello
Eddie Zamora .......... Texas A & I University
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Mark Ferguson  Bowling Green State University
Kim Chronowski  Kent State University
George Lakes  Clarion State College
Emily Brown  Georgetown College
Linda Kleykamp  Georgetown College
Fred Slabach  Mississippi College
Marylynn Madson  University of Montana
Cathy Tanasse  Whitman College

**Good Rating**

Marla Wiens  Bethel College
Gregory Torrey  Doane College
Keith Kopp  William Jewell College
Debbie Schaefer  Wheaton College
Randy Sloin  Ripon College
Tom Siegel  University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire
Rick Carter  California State University-Hayward
Kim Slavazza  California State University-Hayward
Cris Stewart  Dakota Wesleyan University
Julie Sherman  North Dakota State University
Lori Lee Hale  University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Susan Burkhett  University of Central Arkansas
Joey Tabarlet  Louisiana State University-Shreveport
Brenda Carter  Louisiana Tech University
Carolyn Rankins  Cameron University
Mike Faith  University of Houston
Jeff Jesse  Kent State University
Mary Ann Deer  Otterbein College
Janette McDonald  Otterbein College
Lisa Fourrier  Moorhead State University
Bill Fried  Moorhead State University
Paige Chargois  Austin Peay State University
Laura Long  Trevecca Nazarene College
Jack Smith  Eastern Washington State University
Scott Halford  University of Puget Sound
Teresa Naus  California State (PA) College

**Selected ORAL INTERPRETATION OF POETRY**

Jean Flieckinger  Bethel College
Martha Lively  Southwest Baptist College
Lonnie Kibiger  Evangel College
Barbara Ewing  Central Missouri State University
Shari Vanderhoof  Central Missouri State University
Debbie Schafer  Wheaton College
Debra Knutson  Southwest State University
Rick Carter  California State University-Hayward
Caryn Taber  University of Arkansas-Monticello
Trisha Amason  University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Cheryl Gillum  University of Houston
Kerry Love  Midwestern University
Debbie Beauchamp  Stephen F. Austin State University
Mark Ferguson  Bowling Green State University
Mary Ann Deer  Otterbein College
Paige Chargois  Austin Peay State University
David Hartfield  Whitman College

Les Frazer  Southwest Baptist College
Walter Johnson  North Central College
Vanessa Wynder  Wheaton College
Kent Menzel  Ripon College
Laurie White  Mayville State College
Tamarra Rogers  Dakota Wesleyan University
Chris Stewart  Dakota Wesleyan University
Jenni Haisch  South Dakota State University
Anita Eagan  Harding College
Carolyn Rankins  Cameron University
Gloria Allen  Texas A & I University
Eddie Zamul  Texas A & I University
Mike Fain  University of Houston
Freddie Buckner  Midwestern University
Kim Chronowski  Kent State University
Bill Withers  Winona State University
Emily Brown  Georgetown College
Scott Gillen  Missouri State University
Paul Montemuro  Trevecca Nazarene College
Chris Ridding  Boise State University
Doris Lay  Northern Michigan University
Carrie Davis  Linfield College
Cathy Tanasse  Whitman College
Kevin Butterfield  Old Dominion University

**INFORMATIVE SPEAKING**

**Excellent Rating**

Jill Sisson  Sterling College
Ardie Schroeder  Bethel College
Keith Kopp  William Jewell College
Lisa Brunette  Ripon College
Rick Carter  California State University-Hayward
Larry Bienati  California State University-Hayward
Sean O'Rourke  Humboldt State University
Janice Overmoe  Mayville State College
Jeff Shaw  Cameron University
Mary Mitlestall  Ferris State College
Tracy Koester  Moorhead State University
Dale Bark  University of Wisconsin-River Falls
Roger Gibbs  Georgetown College
Lena Hegi  Trevecca Nazarene College
Bill Hockberg  University of Puget Sound
Angela Russo  California State (PA) College

**Good Rating**

Donna Fleishman  Sterling College
Ken Stewart  Bethel College
David McManigal  Doane College
Bob Papison  Missouri Western State College
Becky Heistand  Southwest Baptist College
Nancy Osborne  Evangel College
Renee Schmidt  Buena Vista College
Dan Lintin  Buena Vista College
Trisha Amason  University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Anita Eagan  Harding College
Natalie Freeman  Cameron University
Michael Garcia  Texas A & I University
Becky McKay  Ferris State College
Jeff Jesse  Kent State University
Howard Lester  Bowling Green State University
George Lakes  Clarion State College
Cheri Waldrip  Trevecca Nazarene College
Ellen Hunter  Northwest Nazarene University
Bruce Morris  St. Martin's College
Katherine Forney  Eastern Washington State University
Joe Poplawski  Shippensburg State College

**FORENSIC**
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Colleen Gries ..................... Wilkes College
Jon Pliskin ...................... Wilkes College

SPEAKING TO
ENTERTAIN

Excellent Rating
Becky Heistand ................. Southwest Baptist College
Bob Papison ..................... Missouri Western State College
Greg Matten ..................... North Dakota State University
Gilbert Zepeda .................. Texas A & I University
Howard Lester ................. Bowling Green State University
Mark Ferguson ................. Bowling Green State University
George Lakes .................... Moorhead State University
Lisa Fournier ................... Clarion State College
Karen Tranchita ............... University of Wisconsin-La Crosse
Ivon Mallow .................... Georgetown College
Gaylen Stanley ................. Appalachian State University
Sandra Erickson ............... Eastern Washington State University

Good Rating
Donna Fleishman ............... Sterling College
Ken Stewart ..................... Bethel College
Gregory Torrey ................. Doane College
Van Kierstead ................. Southwest Baptist College
Lonnie Kibiger .................. Evangel College
Debbie Schaefer ............... Wheaton College
Kent Menzel ..................... Ripon College
Mark Lowery .................... University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Lane Zaffke ..................... Moorhead State University
Lee Kirkman ..................... Appalachian State University
Ken Gray ......................... Northwest Nazarene College
Walter Congdon ................. University of Montana
Tim O'Connell ................. Whitman College
Gary Long ....................... University of Puget Sound
Sharon Miller ................... California State (PA) College
Teresa Naus ..................... California State (PA) College
Henry Gentry .................... West Chester State College
Colleen Gries ................... Wilkes College

DISCUSSION

Excellent Rating
Ardie Schroeder ............... Bethel College
Carla Jordan .................... William Jewell College
Curtis Jacobs ................... Central Missouri State University
Lori Steinbach ................. Evangel College
David Munton ................. Southwest Baptist College
Steve Tuggy ..................... Wheaton College
John Spring ..................... Southern Illinois University
Dan Lintin ...................... Buena Vista College
Sandy Humphrey ............... Clarion State College
Peg Benzinger ................. Towson State University
Linda Kleykamp ................. Georgetown College
Gary Nichols .................... Eastern Washington State University
Russ Crawford .................. Montana State University
John Ross ....................... Bridgewater State College

Good Rating
Loretta Biehnoff ............... Ottawa University
James Chapman ................. Bethel College
Keith Kopp ..................... William Jewell College
Roberta Burnette .............. Central Missouri State University
Cheryl Smith .................... Wheaton College
Scott Maurer ................... Southern Illinois University
Sean O'Rourke ................. Humboldt State University
Laurie Brown ................... Black Hills State College
Becky Mayfield ............... University of Central Arkansas
Beth Parrish ................... Stephen F. Austin State University
Michael Garcia ................ Texas A & I University
Emmit Taylor ................... Cameron University
Marc Livanec ................... Ferris State College
Bill Fried ....................... Moorhead State University
Paul Oehlke ..................... University of Wisconsin-River Falls
Sandra Erickson ............... Eastern Washington State University
Marc Strahn ..................... Montana State University

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS
DEBATE

Excellent Rating
Elizabeth Vincent .............. Southwest Baptist College
Sandy Humphrey ............... Clarion State College
Bill Fried ....................... Moorhead State University
Mary Lynn Logsdon ............ Frostburg State College

Good Rating
Trudy Lively .................... Evangel College
Tim Coffin ....................... Wheaton College
Steve Brown ..................... University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Steve Simons ................... University of Arkansas-Fayetteville
Emmit Taylor ................... Cameron University
Alecia Gerston ................. Texas Christian University

CHAMPIONSHIP
DEBATE

Excellent Rating
Central Missouri State University
Missouri Southern State College
Harding College
Clarion State College

Good Rating
University of Southern Colorado
Kansas State University-Pittsburg
Wheaton College
David Lipscomb College
Pacific Lutheran University
Western Washington University

TRADITIONAL
DEBATE

Excellent Rating
Missouri Southern State College-Team B
Greenville College
Louisiana Tech University-Team A
Cameron University-Teams A and B
University of Houston-Team A
Texas A & I University-Team A
Texas Christian University

Good Rating
Kansas State University-Pittsburg-Team B
Central Missouri State University-Team B
Missouri Southern State College-Team A
Southeast Missouri State University-Teams A and B
Southern Illinois University-Team B
Northern State College-Team A
Arkansas State University
Harding College-Team A
Louisiana State University
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CONVENTION MINUTES AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

FIRST BUSINESS MEETING

The first business meeting of the Thirty-First Biennial Convention of Pi Kappa Delta was called to order by President Tom Harte at 8:10 PM, Sunday, April 8, 1979.

The invocation was given by a student delegate. The pledge of allegiance was led by President Harte.

A necrology service, prepared and read by Dr. Fred Goodwin, was held in memory of PKD members who had deceased since the Seattle Nationals. This was ordered to be published in the May Forensic. (See page 31.)

Bruce Garren, governor of the Province of the Missouri, gave a very generous welcome to the convention. The chapters of the Province of the Missouri spent much effort to prepare for and make the convention a successful one.

The President ordered the roll call, to which 113 chapters responded.

General announcements of a housekeeping nature were made by members of the National Council and various committees.

Council Member Roselyn Freedman, assisted by President Harte, presented charters to the following new chapters: York College of York, Pennsylvania, and Missouri Southern State College of Joplin, Missouri. It was also announced that Arkansas State University of State University, Arkansas, having met all the requirements for reactivation, had been received into active membership.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:10 PM.

SECOND BUSINESS MEETING

The second business meeting of the Thirty-First Biennial Convention of Pi Kappa Delta was called to order at 8:55 PM on Tuesday, April 10, 1979, by President Tom Harte.

The procedures for the balloting for president and vice-president were announced by the chairman of the Nominating Committee, John Baird. Only three names appeared on the ballot, due to the previous withdrawal of Phyllis Bosley from consideration for any position on the National Council. The names of Roselyn Freedman, Roger Hufford, and Jack Starr appeared on the ballot. The President then ordered the roll call of chapters for the purpose of balloting for the offices of president and vice-president.

Harold Widvey was introduced as the nominee of the Council for the position of editor of The Forensic. Balloting then took place.

John Baird then presented the nominees of the Nominating Committee for positions on the National Council. The following were nominated: Tony Allison, Carol Gaede, Bruce Garren, Bradford Kinney, Larry Richardson, and Roselyn Freedman. Nominations from the floor were accepted, and the following were nominated: Gary Horn, Kathleen Olson, and Jack Samosky.

The following were declared elected as a result of the first ballot: Roger Hufford, president, and Jack Starr, vice-president. Harold Widvey was approved as editor of
The Forensic.
The meeting was adjourned at 10:35 PM.

THIRD BUSINESS MEETING

The third business meeting of the Thirty-First Biennial Convention of Pi Kappa Delta was called to order by President Tom Harte at 10:50 AM on Wednesday, April 11, 1979.

A report was given by John Burt, member of the Constitutional Revision Committee. Later he submitted a written statement which incorporates the substance of his oral statement.

Explanation of the Constitutional Revision Committee

The task assigned to the then Committee at the Omaha Convention of 1973 to edit the Constitution was not fulfilled in time for the Philadelphia Convention (1975) nor for the Seattle Convention (1977). The reasons were varied and need not concern us in detail. The fact is that the task was far more complicated and extensive than first realized. You can be assured that the months of work since Seattle have produced not only the amendments being considered now, but a final document which will be a credit to the fraternity.

We have sought clarity of language, logical order of material, and a new format that will make necessary only two references in order to locate any item: paragraph and line numbers.

There will also be a table of contents to make ease of reference even greater.

In addition, a document has been assembled outlining the procedures for the Committee to follow in the future.

At this time I am making the offer to meet with any group of members at this convention to go into greater details the work done by this Committee in the past two years.

Respectfully,
John M. Burt for the Constitutional Revision Committee

The report required no action by the convention.

Phyllis Bosley, chairman of the Con-
stitutional Revision Committee, presented the proposed Constitutional amendments, as published in the January Forensic and in the official program of the convention. Number 1, dealing with the student lieutenant governor, was passed as printed. Number 2, dealing with the status of each of the province officers, was passed as printed. Number 3, dealing with provincial officers who are students, was amended so that the last four lines should read as follows: 

"...and provided, further, that such student officers shall be full-time, undergraduate students taking courses on campus during that term of office." The amendment was passed, as was the original motion as amended. Number 4, dealing with the term of the editor of The Forensic, was passed as printed. Number 5, dealing with the appointment of committee chairmen and their confirmation, was passed as printed.

Phyllis Bosley then presented the amendments numbered 6, 7, and 8. Number 6, dealing with the appointment of a parliamentarian, was passed. Number 7, dealing with the appointment of an alumni member as consultant on alumni chapter affairs, was passed. Number 8, dealing with the date of acceptance of proposed Constitutional amendments, was adopted, following the defeat of an amendment to change the date to November 1.

The Nominating Committee conducted the nominating speeches for each of the candidates for Council positions. Four minutes were allowed for each of the nine candidates. Balloting was conducted and officially closed.

Grace Walsh presented the report of the Evaluation Committee. (The entire report and its actions are included with the minutes of the fourth business meeting.) After reading the report, the first resolution of recommendation to the National Council was presented for discussion. There was considerable discussion on this resolution. Discussion was suspended without action by a motion to adjourn.

It was announced by the Nominating Committee that the results of the election for the Council positions would be posted at the Pi Kappa Delta store as soon as the results were available. Balloting for the
three Council positions, selected from the five finalists, would take place between 8:30 AM and 12:00 noon on Thursday.

The motion to adjourn was carried, and adjournment was declared at 1:03 PM.

FOURTH BUSINESS MEETING

The fourth business session was called to order by President Harte at 4:15 PM on April 12, 1979, in the Tiara Room, this being the final business meeting of the Thirty-First Biennial Convention of Pi Kappa Delta.

Declared elected as National Council members were Tony Allison, Gary Horn, and Larry Richardson.

The final roll call was ordered, and 108 chapters responded.

The secretary-treasurer’s report was given and received.

Roselyn Freedman gave the report of the Charter and Standards Committee.

Report of the Charter and Standards Committee

Those present at the Thirty-First National Convention have witnessed the presentation of charters to two new chapters. Additionally, Arkansas State University, State University, Arkansas, has been reactivated.

The Constitution of Pi Kappa Delta provides: “All undergraduate chapters are required to attend the National Convention. Any chapter failing to have a delegate at the first National Convention following its installation shall be placed on probation. Any chapter accumulating two consecutive unexcused absences from attendance at the National Convention shall be placed on probation.” Chapters may petition to be excused from attendance at the national convention.

The following schools have petitions pending to be excused from attendance at the 1979 St. Louis Convention: Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona; Chadron State College, Chadron, Nebraska; Eastern New Mexico State University, Portales, New Mexico; Tennessee State University, Nashville, Tennessee; Howard Payne University, Brownwood, Texas; Mary Hardin-Baylor College, Belton, Texas; University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie, Wisconsin; Carthage College, Kenosha, Wisconsin.

The Committee recommends that the following schools be placed on probation for failure to have a delegate at the first national convention after the granting of their charter: U.S. Coast Guard Academy, New London, Connecticut, and Glassboro State College, Glassboro, New Jersey.

The Committee recommends that the following schools be placed on probation for failure to have a delegate at two consecutive national conventions: California State College-Stanislaus, Turlock, California; Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven, Connecticut; Eureka College, Eureka, Illinois; Bradley University, Peoria, Illinois; Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, Illinois; McPherson College, McPherson, Kansas; Olivet College, Olivet, Michigan; Hope College, Holland, Michigan; Northern Michigan University, Marquette, Michigan; New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico; Rio Grande College, Rio Grande, Ohio; Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio; East Central University, Ada, Oklahoma; Mansfield State College, Mansfield, Pennsylvania; Augustana College, Sioux Falls, South Dakota; Carson-Newman College, Jefferson City, Tennessee; Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas; University of Texas, El Paso, Texas; Angelo State University, San Angelo, Texas;
Norwich University, Northfield, Vermont.

The Committee recommends that the request for disaffiliation be granted to Eastern Montana College, Billings, Montana.

The Committee recommends that the following chapters be removed from probation by virtue of their attendance at the 1979 St. Louis Convention: Western Illinois University, Macomb, Illinois; St. Olaf College, Northfield, Minnesota; Mississippi College, Clinton, Mississippi; Doane College, Crete, Nebraska; Hastings College, Hastings, Nebraska; Kearney State College, Kearney, Nebraska; Monmouth College, West Long Branch, New Jersey; University of Akron, Akron, Ohio; Kent State University, Kent, Ohio; Youngstown State University, Youngstown, Ohio; Southeastern State College, Durant, Oklahoma; Edinboro State College, Edinboro, Pennsylvania; Huron College, Huron, South Dakota; Trevecca Nazarene College, Nashville, Tennessee; Texas Christian University, Ft. Worth, Texas; University of Houston, Houston, Texas; Pan American University, Edinburg, Texas.

The Chair wishes to express her appreciation to the members of the Committee: Tony Allison, Cameron University; Jim Clymer, Bowling Green State University; and Edwin Hollatz, Wheaton College.

Respectfully submitted,
Roselyn L. Freedman,
chair on behalf of the Committee

The report was adopted.

The reports of the Site Selection Committees for Conventions in 1981, 1983, and 1985 were called for. For 1981 no specific site has been selected, although the 1977 Convention has already mandated that the site should be in the general area of Nashville, Tennessee. The 1983 Convention is to be in the Upper Central Area, and the 1985 Convention is to be on the East Coast.

The report of the Resolutions Committee was read by the chairman, L. A. Lawrence, and was adopted.

Report of the Resolutions Committee

Courtesy

Whereas the Thirty-First Biennial Convention of Pi Kappa Delta has been assembled at the Chase-Park Plaza Hotel in St. Louis, Missouri, April 8-12, 1979, and

Whereas the efforts of many individuals are required for the successful completion of such a convention, therefore, be it resolved that the membership of Pi Kappa Delta express appreciation and gratitude to:

The Province of the Missouri for hosting the convention;

The local hosts — Joe Low, coordinator, Bruce Garren, Barry Salsbury, Dick Finton, Derald Harris, Mike Pollock, and all of their helpers who did such an excellent job of planning and arranging the convention;

National President Tom Harte who overcame severe problems to give us a successful tournament and convention;

The members of the National Council who contributed significantly to the
administration of the tournament and convention;
The contest and events chairpersons and their assistants for their contributions to the success of the tournament;
National Secretary-Treasurer T. O. H. Karl, and his wife Betsy for their outstanding efforts in the service of the fraternity;
Forensic Editor Carolyn Keefe, her staff, and those who helped get out The Key during Carolyn’s emergency;
John Burt who continues to do yeoman service on the Pi Kappa Delta Constitution, even though he is no longer serving as a coach and sponsor;
Karen Reiss of the Chase-Park Plaza Hotel staff who was most helpful in making arrangements for the convention; and
All the students, coaches, and judges who helped to make the convention a success.

Special Resolution
Whereas it is the fundamental purpose of Pi Kappa Delta to promote and encourage, to the greatest degree possible, “The art of persuasion, beautiful and just,” and
Whereas dedication to this purpose has contributed very significantly to the development of leadership in many of the areas of American life, and
Whereas a great many members of Pi Kappa Delta have in all of the years since the founding of this fraternity distinguished themselves in significant measure because of their dedication to the philosophy of this fraternity, and
Whereas the fraternity is worthy of our continued support, promotion, and dedication, therefore, be it resolved that:
The membership of each local chapter rededicate itself to the purpose and spirit of Pi Kappa Delta through its promotion on the local campus, and
Each chapter be encouraged to send to each provincial and national convention of the fraternity its best persuaders, so as to maintain the high quality of each convention, both in contest activities and in its conduct of the business of the fraternity.

Respectfully submitted,
L. A. Lawrence, chairman, Montana State University
William H. Bos, Stephen F. Austin State University
Darrell Moore, Southwest Baptist College
Raymond Ford, Illinois College

The Evaluation Committee then continued its report.

Report of the Evaluation Committee
The Evaluation Committee wishes to
thank the chapters of this fraternity, the committees of this convention, and the National Council for their services in connection with this convention. For clarification we have divided our report into two major areas: convention procedures and tournament procedures.

Convention Procedures

1. We recommend that the convention be shortened by one day. Expense and school time lost have become prohibitive for some members and chapters.

2. For the purpose of reducing expenses, we recommend moving the convention to a college or university campus, if possible. If the convention must be held in a hotel, we recommend that transportation from common carriers be provided at minimal cost and that accessibility to reasonably priced food service be considered in the site selection decision.

3. We recommend that the National Council appoint a Calendar Committee to contact other major forensic organizations and avoid scheduling conflicts when possible.

4. We recommend that the above three issues be voted in a mailed referendum of all the chapters of this fraternity.

Tournament Procedures

1. We recommend that the first two copies of the debate ballot be given to the teams and that headquarters retain the third copy.

2. We recommend that a committee be appointed to annually reevaluate the rules and descriptions of the various events and revise and clarify them when necessary.

3. We recommend that the criteria for specific evaluation, including ballot forms to be used, be described in The Forensic prior to the convention.

4. We recommend that future tournaments include three divisions of debate: a) cross-examination championship debate on the national topic, b) Lincoln-Douglas debate as currently structured, and c) a two-person team audience-oriented CEDA style debate event.

5. We recommend the addition of duet interpretation as a contest event.

Respectfully submitted,

Grace Walsh, chairman, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire
Wayne Easley, University of Central Arkansas
Jill Luehrman, Central Missouri State University
Larry Kraft, Eastern Washington State University

Each above recommendation was considered by the convention, with these decisions being made: Convention Procedures, 1. adopted, 2. defeated, 3. adopted, and 4. adopted; Tournament Procedures, 1. adopted, 2. adopted, 3. adopted, 4. adopted as amended with the addition of traditional debate, and 5. adopted.

At the call for new business, the following recommendations were acted upon. A motion was adopted to recommend the inclusion of impromptu speaking as an event. A motion was adopted to ask for the use of three judges in quarterfinals, semifinals, and finals of championship debate. A motion to abandon the use of elimination rounds in championship debate was defeated. A motion was passed to file in the minutes the recommendations of the student meeting, as presented by Darrell Moore, student member of the National Council.

Report from the Student Meeting

We move to include in the convention minutes the following student meeting nonbinding recommendations:

1. That a copy of all committee reports be made available at the beginning of the convention to voting delegates.

2. Make rhetorical criticism a tournament event.

3. Move the problem solving rounds to the middle two rounds (rounds III and IV), limit the problem solving rounds to one problem per round, and make a
copy of the problem available to each contestant in the round.

4. Add impromptu, and place individual events in a block format.

Respectfully submitted,
Darrell Moore, student member of Council

Still under new business, a motion to add a division of cross-examination debate for junior division contestants was defeated. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:08 PM.

Respectfully submitted,
Theodore O. H. Karl, secretary-treasurer

DISPLAY OF NEW CHARTERS

Dick Finton (left), formerly the Tennessee Zeta chapter sponsor at Carson-Newman College, joins with his students in showing off their new charter. With the presentation of this document at the opening session of the convention, Missouri Southern State College officially became a member of PKD.

The youngest province, that of the Colonies, welcomes Pennsylvania Pi of York College into its ranks. Governor Harry Strine is shown with Camille McRoberts, newly elected student lieutenant governor, and Jeanine Fry, chapter sponsor.
The Order of the Beards: (seated, left to right) Theodore O. H. Karl, W. H. Veatch, Georgia Bowman, John Randolph, Roy Murphy; (standing, left to right) Fred Goodwin, John Baird, Ray Yeager, Francis Short, Larry Norton, Evan Ulrey.

1977-79 National Council:
(left to right) Darrell Moore, student member; Evan Ulrey, past president; Jill Luehrman, student member; Roselyn Freedman, member; Roger Hufford, member; Phyllis Bosley, member; Carolyn Keefe, editor of The Forensic; Tom Harte, president; Jack Starr, vice-president; Theodore O. H. Karl, secretary-treasurer; Larry Norton, historian.

1979-81 National Council: (seated, left to right) Jack Starr, vice-president; Roger Hufford, president; Theodore O. H. Karl, secretary-treasurer; (standing, left to right) Tony Allison, member; Larry Norton, historian; Tom Harte, past president; Harold Widvey, editor of The Forensic; Gary Horn, member; Larry Richardson, member.
A Memorial
Delivered in St. Louis by Past President Fred Goodwin

The movement of the years produces the inevitable — the loss of friends, of teachers, of colleagues, of brothers.

We mourn their passing and observe the closeness of fraternal bonds with these stanzas from Hugo von Hoffmannsthal:

Upon my cheeks I still can sense their breath:
How may it be that days which scarce are spent
Are gone, forever gone, and lost in death?

This is a thing that none can fathom right,
And far too dreadful for vain lament:
That all things slip and pass away from sight.

Harry H. Anderson, formerly director of forensics at Oklahoma State University, died July 14, 1978. Born August 2, 1897, at Sleeth, Indiana, he attended DePaul University where he graduated in 1923 with a degree in speech and English. Mr. Anderson later attended Oklahoma A & M at Stillwater and received a master of arts degree in English at the University of Southern California. In 1951 he was the host for the National PKD Convention which met on the Oklahoma State campus that year. He served the fraternity as well as province governor and as committee chairman at various national conventions. Retiring in 1963 after forty years of service as a forensic educator, he is remembered respectfully by those in our order who were privileged to work with him.

Dale E. Black, director of forensics at Hastings College, Hastings, Nebraska, passed away November 4, 1978, following a lingering illness through which he continued his teaching and coaching activities at Hastings. Dr. Black received his bachelor and masters degrees at Kearney State College, Nebraska, where as an undergraduate he participated actively in speech and theatre programs. His doctoral degree was completed at the University of Northern Colorado-Greeley. He taught speech in the high schools in a number of Nebraska communities and joined the staff at Hastings in 1969. Dr. Black compiled an admirable record as a successful teacher of forensics. He served as president of the Nebraska Speech Communication Association, and is remembered as a thoughtful and generous teacher who gave greatly of himself not only to his students but to the development of the Hastings Delta chapter of Pi Kappa Delta.

Former National Pi Kappa Delta President Harvey Cromwell died December 28, 1977, in Houston, Texas, after a brief illness. Harvey Cromwell had a distinguished forty-seven-year teaching career, most of which was contributed to Mississippi State University for Women. At that institution he established the departmental speech clinic, founded the state's first campus radio station, and served as head of the department of speech and dean of the graduate school. As director of forensics he produced teams which consistently won top awards in national, regional, and state competition in debate and individual events. Dr. Cromwell was the author of five books and co-author of two books treating speech communication, parliamentary procedure, and phonetics. He served as chairman of the Speech Communication Association's Committee on Discussion and Debate and for fourteen years was a member of the Executive Council of the Mississippi Speech Association.

Harvey Cromwell's contributions to Pi Kappa Delta were legion. He served as editor of The Forensic from 1951-54. He was governor of the Province of the Southeast. Joining the National Council in 1955, he became national vice-president in 1957 and served as national president from 1959-61. He was national convention chairman for the 50th Anniversary Convention in 1963 and at that convention was among those selected to receive the Distinguished Service Award of the fraternity. Few people have contributed as much to Pi Kappa Delta and its ideals as Harvey Cromwell.

Professor Ann Williams, director of forensics at Frostburg, Maryland, State College from 1968 until the fall of 1978, passed away February 13 of this year. At the time of her death she was a doctoral candidate at Ohio University. Professor Williams was instrumental in obtaining
the Pi Kappa Delta charter for Frostburg in 1971. She served the fraternity in a number of important ways, including work as director of individual events for the Pi Kappa Delta Province Tournament in 1972 and as a member of the Resolutions Committee at the 1971 National Convention and Tournament. Active in other areas of speech as well, she was secretary-treasurer of the Collegiate Forensic Association from 1971-72 and was a member of the Executive Board of the National Individual Events Association Tournament in 1972-73.

A letter from LeeAnn Hancock, president of the Frostburg, Maryland, Beta chapter of Pi Kappa Delta, characterizes Professor Williams as a warm individual who had that rare ability to communicate her genuine concern for the personal and academic lives of her students.

It is with great sorrow and yet with a sense of gratitude for their association that we pay tribute to these four friends and colleagues: Harry Anderson, Dale Black, Harvey Cromwell, and Ann Williams. Such loss is always difficult, creating a void and uncertainty which, however, carry with them promise. We move often from awkward feelings of loss to the serene and graceful acceptance of death expressed in Rainer Rilke’s poem, “The Swan.”

The misery that through the still-undone must pass, bound and heavily weighed down, is like the awkward walking of the swan.

And death, where we no longer comprehend the very ground on which we daily stand, is like his anxious letting-himself-go into the water, soft against his breast, which now how easily together flows behind him in a little wake of waves . . . while he, infinitely silent, self-possessed, and ever more mature, is pleased to move serenely on his majestic way.

### Analysis of Forensic Research at PKD Institutions 1975-79

I. Summary of the Survey Tabulations

A. Most of the forensic research conducted at Pi Kappa Delta colleges and universities is heavily oriented toward debate.

B. There is a strong proclivity toward historical and descriptive research with little emphasis upon experimental studies.

C. In general, forensic research completed at Pi Kappa Delta institutions is published in other journals rather than in The Forensic.

D. There is strong support for the designation of the March issue of The Forensic as a forensic research publication.

E. Pi Kappa Delta chapters should be encouraged to engage in more experimental studies in order that empiricism may be exemplified.

F. The respondents stressed the urgency of forensic research in order to strengthen the academic identity of Pi Kappa Delta.

II. Recommendations

A. That the March issue of The Forensic shall be designated as a forensic research publication with the following guidelines:

1. The number of pages shall be expanded in order to include the publication of scholarly research articles. The present size of the journal precludes the longer articles which require statistical data and other research citations and documentation.

2. A general research theme shall be specified one year in advance. Manuscripts shall be solicited and evaluated according to criteria established jointly by the editorial staff of The Forensic and the Research Subcommittee.

3. “Research in Progress” shall be a special feature of the March issue. Chapters shall be encouraged to file a report annually citing research completed and
research in progress. An awareness of forthcoming research publications and papers can provide unity and cohesiveness for our organization as we seek to emphasize our research mission. An annual review of research completed, as well as research in progress, will provide us with current data. The "exchange center" should help us to focus on meaningful and significant research projects.

B. One general session of our biennial convention shall involve the presentation of two or three forensic research papers based on current research conducted by Pi Kappa Delta sponsors and/or members. Our conventions can gain added prestige and academic dignity by the inclusion of such papers. It is hoped that these presentations can provide a paradigm of research methodologies that can become a catalyst for future research.

C. Pi Kappa Delta should provide a forum for pragmatic studies to measure the efficacy of our methods and techniques. The respondents to the questionnaire cited the need for such studies to determine the results of forensic training. Many respondents contended that we need scholarly research to quantify the benefits of the forensic program.

D. The Research Subcommittee should function as a central clearing house in order to advise, inspire, and coordinate research endeavors. One on-going function of the Committee would involve listing available grants and foundations which might be utilized as possible sources for research funding. The subcommittee should serve in an advisory capacity to provide assistance to member institutions with respect to processing grant applications.

E. Pi Kappa Delta should take the initiative in helping to formulate a critical thinking test which can be employed as an instrument for measuring the results of forensic training in this significant area. Current tests of critical thinking leave much to be desired. A test which has high reliability and validity could serve as a springboard for experimental research.

III. Conclusions

These recommendations are supported by a large percentage of the respondents. The survey provided ample evidence that Pi Kappa Delta sponsors are cognizant of the need for intensification of our research efforts. Many sponsors contended that the future of intercollegiate forensics may be contingent upon the hard data we are able to muster in support of our programs.

The erosion of the forensic budget was cited as a cause for concern. Many sponsors concluded that empirical evidence may help to provide program justification which, in turn, should lead to greater financial solvency. Forensic research and budgetary determination seem to be highly correlated.

Our research mission constitutes a challenge as well as an opportunity. Pi Kappa Delta can point with pride to its past accomplishments. However, analysis, experimentation, and evaluation are crucial if we are to serve our members and society effectively. Through research we may be able to justify our methodologies or to recognize the need for modification and change so vital in charting the path for future growth.

Research is not an end per se, but it is a technique which can help us to perceive our role in a more defensible fashion. Our commitment to human communication is commendable. However, we need to add impetus to that challenge by strengthening our research efforts. Systematic research helped to establish our organization. Intensified research should help to preserve it.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter H. Murrish, chairman of the Research Subcommittee
Bob Beagle Interviews Jonathan Winters in St. Louis

He is a genuinely funny man, one of America's most popular television, movie, and night club entertainers. But, as some of us in Pi Kappa Delta have discovered, he is also a genuinely warm and sensitive human being. He is Jonathan Winters, and he graciously spent much of his lunch time one afternoon in St. Louis discussing his interest in, and relating his theories about, the art of debate.

Winters, who was staying at the Chase-Park Plaza, was impressed by the large number of students who had traveled from all parts of the country to participate in Pi Kap's biennial tournament-convention. Believing that such gatherings are valuable educational experiences, and interested in learning more about Pi Kap, he willingly welcomed the opportunity to talk. That afternoon chat presented a dimension of the man not readily seen by a viewing public.

Winters is a graduate of Kenyon (Ohio) College where he majored in speech and theatre. He also did some forensic work there, but, as he puts it, "They never hung any medals on me." He retains a lifelong interest in forensics, especially debate, which he believes is a crucial tool for the resolution of human problems. As he sees it, "As long as man debates, the longer man debates, the chances of his living longer are increased. When man stops debating, the mushroom cloud will come." Winters believes that people should enjoy arguing about issues and controversies and should especially thrash things around at an interpersonal level. He, himself, enjoys arguing, particularly "... with people next to me at 36,000 feet."

Since debate is an important human tool, Winters believes that students should be encouraged to engage in it. And, he feels, debate as an academic activity should stress education over competition. Thus, a student who never wins a competitive award is, in Winters' view, still a success because, "No student ever loses a debate. Every participant wins in his preparation for life."

When asked what advice he would give to debaters, Winters paused and reflected. Then he set forth some practical and substantive thoughts. First, be flexible and know how to improvise. Winters believes that much of his own success as an entertainer has resulted from his ability to improvise — to adapt to his own and to an audience's current mood and to be free from a prepared script. In this respect, Winters contends that debating must be like acting.

Secondly, he suggests that debaters should know the importance of nonverbal communication and learn how to use it. At this point Winters (improvising, of course) did a mock-debate. He especially wanted to illustrate how to nonverbally "psyche out" the opposition, especially an opponent who conveys the impression of being an authority, of having the definitive word on the subject under discussion. Winters' own favorite technique is to continually give a self-proclaimed authority a quizzical look, topped off by a skeptical, unimpressed "Oh?" He says it usually leaves the "authority" deflated and flustered.

Additionally, Winters eschews shouting, remarking that "People from nondemocratic countries shout. Debate is a democratic activity, and lowered voices maintain its moments of democratic value." He also recommends dressing neatly — "not necessarily expensively, just neatly" — since people often react to others on the basis of how they look.

With great vigor Winters also argued
that in debate there is "no substitute for logic." Thus, he returned to an earlier point and pursued it by saying that people who debate should "research less and improvise more." Debaters "... must think out in front of themselves, must know where they're going with their thoughts but must always be willing to improvise." He, himself, is more impressed by people who can think on their feet than by people who seem only capable of arguing what they've amassed through research.

Finally, he summarized his thoughts about debate by describing the perfect debater, an archetype he believes advocates everywhere might want to follow. "Go out at least thinking like Lincoln, talk like Patton, jest like Jessel, and leave like Emmett Kelly." Then he paused and thought about this statement. He liked it. He felt it captured the essence of what debate means to him, and he commented that he hoped it would have some meaning and value for student debaters.

Although I felt that I was overextending my welcome, Winters gave no such indication. Our conversation covered other topics, and always I received the impression that this man was a sensitive and serious thinker. He seemed eager to share his thoughts with our readers in the hope that maybe his thoughts would be useful.

As I was about to leave, he wrote me a note for me to give my seven-year old son, a note he said he hoped would have meaning as my son grows older. It says, "Walk loudly and you won't need a big stick." He joked about what Theodore Roosevelt would think if he could hear that twist to his famous statement. As I mulled his note over in my mind, I realized that it seemed to reflect all the things he had said about debate. If we master the tool of debate, if we use this analytical weapon at our command, then, as human beings, we don't need any other weapons with which to settle our differences.

The more attention I gave that statement, the more I realized that, as retiring associate editor, there is no better way to close out this column than to leave you with that sentiment from Jonathan Winters.

---

The Debate Conspiracy

A spoof by Walter Ulrich of West Georgia College

For several years, I was a member of a highly competitive debate squad. Then one day I saw the error in my ways. I recognized the evils of debate, and I sought to make up for the time I had foolishly spent on that activity. After much searching, I discovered that there was another educational activity that took place on campus during the week between tournaments (when I was usually in the library researching). This activity was known as going to school, and, much to my surprise, a large number of people engaged in this activity. I immediately plunged into this extra-debate activity, signing up for classes (something I had never done before), and I even attended a few lectures. It was only then that I realized the magnitude of the threat that debate posed to the well-being of the nation. I realized that debate was a cancer in our society and that its undesirable characteristics were spreading rapidly to other portions of academia. I hope that my warnings do not come too late.

Like debate, the academic environment was totally artificial. In the real world, people are not herded into a room to hear a lecture for an hour, only to be shuttled off to another room. They are not divided up into classes and administered tests. The school, like debate, had no relationship to what was going on in the real world. Some even referred to it as an "ivory tower." How could it serve an educational function?
When I walked into the classroom, I was horrified by what I found. I had expected the classes to be simple, but instead the teachers used jargon and complex words. How can you learn a subject when the teachers use jargon? They should try to communicate with their students, to use words the students can understand. If you cannot explain something with one-syllable words, it is not worth explaining. The teachers need to learn from the critics of debate and lower themselves to their audience, rather than attempt to raise the audience to their level.

I was also amazed that the students in the classes were flowing the lectures. I always thought the audience was not expected to have to flow a speech; the speaker was expected to try to communicate to the audience. In real life, audiences do not flow speeches. In school, however, not only did people flow lectures, it was expected that students take notes. Teachers should not expect anyone to take notes or to keep pace with a lecture filled with material. Teachers should slowly cover material in an oratorical manner; students should not be required to make an effort to learn.

I learned after a few weeks at school that students had something like a debate tournament to evaluate their progress. This is known as a test. I felt that this would be very different from debate, but alas, debate’s influence had spread to testing too. I learned that tests were given in artificially determined time limits, just like debate. What was worse, the tests emphasized speed. Obviously, the faster you could write an essay question, the more you could get in during an hour. This encouraged the use of the spread by students, pouring out quantity of arguments rather than quality. Slow students were penalized.

Even worse was the way the tests were graded. Students in a class were always graded by the same teacher, and even during one’s career in school, the student was graded by a small group of teachers. This obviously led to the inbreeding of ideas. Tests should be graded by a variety of people — plumbers, lawyers, dog catchers, and people off the streets (hopefully sober, but not necessarily). We should let our tests be graded by a wide variety of people, not by the same elite audience week after week.

We also need to get away from grading content. I learned that the purpose of composing an essay was to show off your penmanship. People should be rewarded for writing neatly. I can’t believe that some of my teachers even looked at content. This emphasis on content, not form, is going to mean the death of academia. It will lead to sloppy handwriting. What is worse, this emphasis on content is spreading. At the National Speech Communication Association Convention, of all places, papers are selected for presentation without even attempting to rate the author’s delivery. The result is that many of the papers are read in a monotone. To make matters worse, the audience listens to the content of the speech, not the diction of the speaker. The whole speech field has lost its academic integrity, all because it has followed in the footsteps of debate and has ignored form for the sake of content.

I was shocked to find that school had become as competitive as debate. This is probably one of the reasons the number of people attending college has decreased in recent years, some colleges have closed, and state governments are cutting many college budgets. People were competing for grades, and when they left they were competing for jobs. There were elections on campus where people competed for votes. I left debate to avoid the evils of competition, only to find that the competitiveness of debate had spread to other fields. Schools should not be competitive. High powered programs like those at Harvard, Yale, and Stanford deserve to be condemned. They clearly are not educational. It is these super-competitive schools that destroy academia. We need to stop this drive toward excellence and to move schools back toward the Golden Mean. Diversity in programs is to be condemned, both in debate and education. There should be one uniform style of education. All programs should be the same, and none should stress competition. We need to give the students a wide range of audiences and debate formats, as long as in
none of those audiences is there a flow judge and none of the formats is highly competitive.

It should be obvious that many of the evils of debate have infiltrated our educational system. It is up to us to stop this trend. Surely no school system based on the contemporary debate model can be educational. We need, therefore, to expand our criticisms of debate to those positions of our educational system that have been subverted by competitive debate. Indeed, some of these evils may have already spread to our social system. It is not too late to stop this menace. It is my hope that the members of the debate community will join me in this, the noblest of all crusades.

**Boosts in Rank**

**Highest Distinction**

Leroy Kline, Jr., PA Eta (D)
Henry Gentry, PA Iota (CIS)
Bradley Burgess, OK Omicron (D)
Emmit Tayloe, OK Omicron (D)
Sylvia Marlene Kasper, OK Omicron (D)
J. C. Hicks, OK Omicron (I)
Tony Allison, OK Omicron (I)

**Special Distinction**

David E. Hartsfield, WA Theta (CIS)
Gregg Catter, TX Nu (CIS)
Jan Wasson McQueen, MO Tau (CIS)
M. Theresa Mann, MO Tau (D)
Keith Allen Kopp, MO Delta (CIS)
Lany L. Watts, MO Nu (D)
Beth Renee Wright, WI Delta (D)

---

**RECAP ON THE 1978-79 PKD EXPERIMENTAL REFORM DEBATES**

Carolyn Keefe

At the 1978 Summer National Council Meeting in St. Louis, several members decided that they would sponsor experimental debate tournaments during the 1978-79 season. Subsequently, tournaments were held at the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse, Southeast Missouri State University, and West Chester State College. While no attempt was made to standardize these tournaments nor the evaluation of them, each was designed to accomplish the same purpose: to mitigate the delivery and conceptual abuses that the hosts feel have cropped up in debate.

Jack Starr of the University of Wisconsin held one tournament in November and another in January. At both he ran an open communication debate division. In the invitation, as well as in instructions to the judges, he imposed restrictions on rapid speaking rate and spread strategy. The AFA parameter was tightened and required. Basic speech class students using the AFA ballot served as judges in November. Regular debate coaches and also professors not connected with debate judged the January competition. They used a variation of the ballot published in the May 1978 *Forensic*. Eleven teams from eight schools participated at the first tournament, while four schools and six teams (three schools canceled due to the weather) debated at the second.

Jack Starr reports that he did not receive much feedback from the participants. One person said that the tournament was "too negative" and seemed "to be out to get a certain style." Starr himself observed that debaters appeared to enjoy speaking to an audience and approved of the open communication division as an alternative to other types of debating.

The tournament at Southeast Missouri State University was held in late October,
with eighteen teams from nine schools in attendance. It featured three divisions — regular, novice, and Lincoln-Douglas — and used the Forensic ballot. Director Tom Harte included a statement of philosophy in his tournament invitation and urged judges and debaters to respect the parameters.

An interesting outcome of the tournament was revealed in a letter to Harte from a director of forensics:

We have found the PKD-type ballots that you used in the SEMO tourney to be quite satisfactory in the classroom.

In fact — and you may find this somewhat hard to believe — we have even adapted the approach to a sermon evaluation form ... We hope you don't mind your ballot "entering the ministry" this way.

Directed by Diane Casagrande, Carolyn Keefe, and the forensic squad, the West Chester State College Revolution D was held on a snowy February weekend. Not surprisingly, several schools canceled, necessitating the collapse of the varsity division and leaving fourteen teams in novice and five speakers in Lincoln-Douglas. The ballot retained the five major criteria areas of the PKD experimental model but included three, one to five-point subcategories under each. The ballot was sent out with the invitation which specified that debaters would be expected to adhere to the parameters and judges would be expected to give post-debate critiques.

At the close of the tournament, all participants were asked to complete a questionnaire dealing with demographic characteristics and attitudes about the ballot, debate in general, and Revolution D in particular. Generalizations pertinent to this recap emerged from the forty-five replies submitted: it is important for any ballot to penalize recognized excesses in debate; the experimental ballot was hard to use and judges did not like it as well as the "most used ballot;" debaters get upset over judges who do not supply specific information on their ballots; the required post-debate critique was a good teaching/learning tool; and the quantity of evidence used by teams at tournaments is not particularly upsetting. No clear-cut attitudinal trend was evident over rate of speaking or use of squirrel cases in general debate. About one-third of the respondents felt they had seen fewer of these practices at Revolution D than at other tournaments they had attended.

Thus four attempts at debate reform have come and gone. None drew more than a meager number of schools, none generated a tidal wave of support for widespread change. Undoubtedly Jack Starr had an accurate grasp of the situation when he said, "There's a lot of sympathy for alternative types of debate, but there are not many people who'll stick out their necks to try new forms in a tournament."

Harold Widvey, governor of the Province of the Sioux, presides over a meeting of his province held at the St. Louis Convention. Widvey will succeed Carolyn Keefe as editor of The Forensic.
province of the Missouri

SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY

Reporter: Donna See

Despite a record snowfall of two feet, the Missouri Iota chapter has managed to keep busy during second semester by involving itself in public debates on and off-campus, as well as in tournament encounters. Early in the year, squad members traveled to nearby Mount Vernon, Illinois, to participate in a series of public debates with the University of Illinois. Audiences for these debates included high school classes and civic clubs. Highlight of the series was an evening debate before the local Chamber of Commerce. A reactor panel of experts and an audience question and answer period were featured. The entire proceedings were broadcast live on radio. The Southeast squad has also debated publicly on campus with Arkansas State University and the University of Arkansas at Little Rock.

In tournament action the highlight so far has been the Missouri State Tournament at Missouri Southern State College in Joplin. Randy Hagan won first in senior division oratory, while Sharon Handlin won the junior oratory. The team of Hagan and Roberta Broeker collectively amassed the highest total speaker points of any team in the six preliminary rounds. Overall in debate Broeker was the second ranked speaker and Hagan third.

CENTRAL COLLEGE

Reporter: Bette Brunsting

Central College’s Iowa Beta chapter has been active this year with one member qualifying for the AFA Individual Events National Tournament and three participants winning trophies at the Iowa Intercollegiate Forensic Association Tournament. Dan Plato, a senior, qualified for the national in interpretation of prose. He also won second place in impromptu speaking at the Iowa Intercollegiate Tournament. Initiate Kim Kolenbrander, sophomore, won second in informative speaking, while Joe Brammer, sophomore initiate, won first in exttemporaneous speaking. Central ranked third in sweepstakes. Iowa’s Lieutenant Governor Terry Bransted presented the trophies. Bette L. Brunsting, coach and chairman of the division of fine arts at Central, was elected president of the Iowa Intercollegiate Forensic Association.

SOUTHWEST BAPTIST COLLEGE

Reporter: David Munton

The Southwest Baptist College forensic year finished with a strong showing. At the Missouri State Tournament, Southwest won first in junior informative, junior exttemporaneous, and after-dinner speaking, with Becky Heistand, Alvin Rohrs, and Les Frazer winning the awards. The junior division debate team of Tom Colston and David Munton also won first place as well as second and third speaker awards. Taking second place awards were Glenda Cowen in junior exttemporaneous, Martha Lively in junior informative, Darrell Moore in rhetorical criticism, and David Munton in senior informative. Les Frazer also took third place in senior informative. Breaking into finals, but not placing, were Darrell Moore in senior exttemporaneous speaking and Les Frazer and Steve Gilpin in senior poetry.

At PKD Nationals a scared but hopeful team brought home a superior rating in sweepstakes. Individuals winning certificates were Van Kierstead with a superior in discussion, David Munton with an excellent in discussion, Martha Lively with an excellent in poetry, Becky Heistand with an excellent in speaking to entertain, and Elizabeth Vincent with an excellent in Lincoln-Douglas debate.

The Missouri Sigma chapter, pleased with this past year, is planning a banquet to honor the squad and coach, Mike Pollock.
GREENVILLE COLLEGE
Reporter: Robert Shermer
Illinois Chi debaters have won speaker or team awards in eight out of nine debate tournaments this season, including four first place awards. Pictured are Denise Wright and Mark Scandrett, junior winners of the Illinois Forensic Association Debate Tournament. Mark was the junior first speaker. Also winning first place awards this season were Alan Bolds and Dan Parry. Miss Wright is president of the Chi chapter.

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY
Reporter: Joyce Carey
The Upsilon chapter has a new look — a new coach and all new debaters in one year. Isaac Catt left Southern to take a coaching job in Vermont. He was replaced by Joyce Carey, a master's candidate at SIU. Needless to say, a massive recruitment program was underway. The season began rather slowly with would-be debaters sifting in and out; nevertheless, Southern has been able to continue its winning tradition. At the 29th Annual Greenville College Tournament they garnered a second place trophy in open division. Scott Maurer received a fifth place speaking trophy. In February, fighting snow and ice, SIU traveled to the St. Valentine's Day Massacre Tournament at Oshkosh, Wisconsin. The team of John Spring and Philip Nelson (first debate tournament of their lives) won a quarterfinal trophy. Most recently, the squad attended the Illinois Intrastate Tournament at Augustana College in Rock Island. Rebecca Whittington and Scott Maurer placed second in junior varsity.

New Pi Kappa Delta members are John Spring, Philip Nelson, Scott Maurer, and Rebecca Whittington.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—EAU CLAIRE
Reporter: Grace Walsh
For ten consecutive years, the University of Wisconsin-Easton College forensic squad has won the Wisconsin State Collegiate Championship. This organization is open to all private and state universities in Wisconsin.

This year was one of the strongest finishes for the Eau Claire team with eighty-six sweepstakes points, more than double the points scored by any other team. Second place was won by the University of Wisconsin-River Falls. The debate team of Mark Chapin, Eau Claire, and Paul Emmons, Stevens Point, went undefeated through the tournament.

In individual events, Eau Claire's Jodene Hrudka set another tournament record by being the only person to win an event four years in a row. This was in poetry reading. Second in poetry reading went to Pam Mendenhall of Eau Claire. In extemporaneous speaking, Lisa Huber captured first place, while second in that event went to Bob Boisvert. Other winners were Dan Dahm, second in poetry reading; Cathy Olson, third in expository speaking; Mark Ollinger, third in after-dinner speaking; Bob Hampelman, third in impromptu speaking; and Pam Mendenhall and Tom Siegel, second in dramatic duo.

NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Reporter: Don Brownlee
The Eta chapter has had an active year with debate teams reaching the finals at the University of Texas at San Antonio, Louisianna Tech, the University of Central Arkansas, Oklahoma Christian College, Southwestern College, and Midwestern
Flanking the trophy case are members of the Southern Illinois University forensic squad (left to right) Scott Maurer, Phil Nelson, John Spring, Becky Whittington, and Joyce Carey, coach.

Sporting some of this year’s trophy catch are University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire team members (front row, left to right) Bob Hempelman, Lisa Huber, J. Thomas Christy, Jodene Hrudka, Bob Boisvert, and Mark Ollinger; (back row, left to right) Pam Mendenhall, Paul Emmons, Mark Chapin, Dan Dahm, Grace Walsh, coach, and X. Salyer.
State University. Debate teams also qualified for the elimination rounds at the University of Arizona, the University of Texas at El Paso, the University of Southern Colorado, the U.S. Air Force Academy, Wichita State University, and Central State University. Students have been successful on both the NDT and CEDA topics as well as in off-topic competition.

The Odeion, a campus interpretation group, has presented monthly performances ranging in content from Christmas material to the writings of Woody Allen. The NTSU Interpretation Festival and Debate Tournament, hosted in February, was attended by over forty universi- versities from nine states.

HARDING COLLEGE
Reporter: Philip Gould

The Arkansas Zeta chapter traveled to tournaments in Arkansas, Oklahoma, Illinois, Louisiana, and Kentucky during the first half of the forensic season and placed teams consistently in elimination rounds.

At Western Illinois University and Oklahoma Christian College, Arkansas Zeta placed a team into the octafinals of debate.

In the Kentucky Colonel Forensic Classic at Western Kentucky University, the teams of Dal Narramore-Brad Baker and Philip Gould-David Satterfield advanced to the quarterfinals in junior division. The team of Jeff Hobbs-Bob Chandler advanced to the senior division finals, where they defeated David Lipscomb College and won the division.

At the Red River Forensic Classic at Louisiana State University at Shreveport, all of the debate teams entered by the chapter advanced to quarterfinals. In junior division Harding was represented by Greg York-Anita Eagan and Dal Narramore-Brad Baker, while in senior division David Satterfield-Philip Gould and Jeff Hobbs-Bob Chandler were the representatives from Harding. Narramore-Baker and Hobbs-Chandler advanced to finals and received second place trophies in their respective divisions. The chapter as a whole received first in sweepstakes.

The Arkansas Speech Communication Association's Student Congress of Human Relations served as the finale for the semester. Bob Chandler was elected president of the Senate, and numerous awards were achieved by members of the delegation. Arkansas Zeta accumulated the most points of any delegation and received a superior for outstanding delegation.


UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS—FAYETTEVILLE

Jo Carson, president, Joe Childers, vice-president, Doug Carson, treasurer, and Carol Goforth, secretary, returned to lead the twenty-member University of Arkansas at Fayetteville squad through a variety of activities and tournaments.

Members attended tournaments at Oklahoma Christian College, Wichita State University, University of Kansas, Southeast Missouri State University, Pittsburg State University, University of Central Arkansas, and Baylor University. The squad also went to a student congress in Little Rock and to the Arkansas State Speech Festival. The debate teams broke to the final rounds in all but one tournament, placed first in CEDA debate and second in NDT debate at states, swept UCA and SEMO, and won numerous superior and excellent ratings as well as placing many in individual events. In addition the squad hosted two tournaments — the high school tourney for twenty-seven schools from seven states in October and the college tournament for twenty-four schools from a like number of states in February. Three new events were added to the college tournament: CEDA debate for greater audience adaptation, rhetorical criticism for a more in-depth analysis of our art, and duo interpretation to balance the slate.
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The New Mexico Beta chapter in Portales is enjoying a successful year. Last year the chapter became activated and saw five members join and graduate. Last year’s members included Lynda Fisher, now seeking a master’s degree at Ohio State University; Phillip Bustos, working on a master’s at Harvard University; Marty Rowley, a December graduate who will be attending law school in September; and Kris Fickling, a graduate teaching assistant coaching the chapter at Portales.

This year the new squad (who will become members of Pi Kappa Delta at the end of this semester) has traveled from ocean to sand dune to attend eight tournaments. The squad returned from Texas Southern University where seven squad members advanced into finals. Two trophies, as well as a van load of seashells, were brought home. Karen Hale won second place trophies in oratory and dramatic interpretation.

In February the squad traveled to San Marcos, Texas, where Debbie Kennedy placed third in expository, David Rainey finished third in poetry interpretation, and Gary Cohen placed third in after-dinner speaking.

January found the ENMU squad among the sombreros and serapes in El Paso, Texas, where the duo team of Debbie Kennedy and David Rainey advanced to finals, and David Rainey was awarded a first place trophy in poetry interpretation.

On March 30-31 the New Mexico Beta chapter hosted the New Mexico High School State Tournament. The chapter has hosted this tournament eight of the nine years of high school state championships.

The New Mexico Beta chapter includes (front row, left to right) Carol Hubbard, Karen Hale, and David Rainey; (back row, left to right) Phyllis Richards, Arman Hargett, Debbie Kennedy, Gary Cohen, Kris Fickling, coach, and Dr. A. B. Schroeder, director of forensics.
province of the northwest

WHITMAN COLLEGE
Reporter: Janet G. Allen

On January 19-20 the WashingtonTheta chapter held our annual high school tournament with thirty-eight schools and 350 competitors. For the second year, we utilized Whitman College’s Hewlett-Packard computer for registration, and for the first time, we used it to tabulate results.

The squad also has been active at college tournaments, winning first in sweepstakes at Lower Columbia Community College, second at Boise State University, and fourth at Oregon State University.

province of the colonies

WEST CHESTER STATE COLLEGE
Reporter: A. G. Kershner, Jr.

During the academic year 1978-79, Pennsylvania Iota chapter at West Chester State College enjoyed one of the most active seasons in its eleven-year history. The local program came to a climax with the induction of nine new members at ceremonies held on campus in the Faculty Lounge of Philips Memorial Building. Joining the group in both the orders of debate and competitive individual speaking was George Cominski. Robert McAllister joined in the order of debate, and Rosemarie Bisciotti, Alberta Fay Despirito, Constance Keane, Rosalie Orlovsky, Susan Paquette, Philip Sears, and Antonia Szupper all joined in the order of competitive individual speaking. The following officers were elected for 1979-80 at a business meeting that followed the initiation ceremony: president, Antonia Szupper; vice-president, Kim Allen; recording secretary-treasurer, Jane Barry; and corresponding secretary-historian, Sondra Lisse.

This year the speech and debate teams have won some notable awards, including these sweepstakes trophies: first at the University of Maryland, second at both Shippensburg State College (fall tournament) and Monmouth College, fourth at Shippensburg State College (spring tournament), and fifth at Bloomsburg State College. At the Pennsylvania Forensic Association Tournament, the debate team of Joe Cassel and Jim Shinehouse took the state championship.

During the 1978-79 season, individuals on the speech team accumulated more than fifty trophies and awards. The winners are Kim Allen, Lynn Angelica, Jane Barry, Roe Bisciotti, Joe Cassel, George Cominski, Jodi Daley, Alberta Despirito, Hank Gentry, Connie Keane, Sondra Lisse, Rosalie Orlovsky, Susan Paquette, Philip Sears, and Toni Szupper. Jodi Daley, president of the Forensic Society, was the top competitor with many first place and pentathlon awards. In St. Louis she captured two gold medals in oral interpretation events.

AVOID CHAPTER NEWS LAG

Until the new Chapter News editor is announced, send reports of your doings, comings, goings, winnings, and hopings to:
Harold Widvey
Editor of The Forensic
South Dakota State University
Brookings, SD 57006
NEW MEMBERS OF PKD

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY—HAYWARD
51471 Kim O. Slavazza
51472 Keith D. Siebert
51493 Louise Heath
51568 Harris B. Shaffer
51569 Kathleen Annette Kirckhoff
51570 Raphaella A. Ryan
51629 Sharon Markos

TENNESSEE TECH UNIVERSITY
51473 Gwenette Gaddis
51474 Jill Covington

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—LA CROSSE
51475 Gayle McDermott
51476 Mark Groves
51477 Christine Cupp
51478 Marc Bickford
51479 Milan Bekkum
51480 Stephan Farkas

CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
51481 Geoffrey L. Stanley
51482 Marilee Mouser
51604 John Daratony

RIPON COLLEGE
51483 Jennifer G. Tift
51600 Julia E. Clasen

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS
51484 Rebecca A. Mayfield
51654 Judith Dianne Burrow
51655 Susan Burkett

WILLIAM JEWELL COLLEGE
51485 Emily N. Romans
51486 Kenton L. Newport
51487 Stan Jackson
51488 Carla S. Jordan
51489 Steve Stites
51490 Lesa L. Blalock
51491 Robert R. Nigh, Jr.

MIDWESTERN UNIVERSITY
51492 Julie D. Vonada
51662 Freddie L. Buckner
51663 Kerry Gene Love
51664 Clara Demaris Phillips

HARDING COLLEGE
51494 Booth Mitchell
51495 Johnny L. Johnson
51496 Walter F. Buce
51497 Brad Richard Baker
51498 Anthony G. Parham
51499 Greg York
51551 Anita Eagan

MISSOURI WESTERN STATE COLLEGE
51500 Rita Dupree
51501 Michael Easton
51502 Bob Papisan
51503 Nancy Beccera
51504 Lisa Rice

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY—PITTSBURG
51505 M. Scott Avery
51506 Preston Benoit
51507 Elizabeth Hessman
51508 Linda M. Jones
51509 Stephen C. Jones
51510 Nancy Puckett
51511 Jerry Haggard
51512 Steve Wood

WILKES COLLEGE
51513 Darlene Schaffer
51514 Jon Pliskin
51515 Colleen Cries

CLARION STATE COLLEGE
51516 Lisa Ann Rankin
51517 Cynthia Lu Slick
51518 Anthony Lewis
51519 Patricia Kelley
51520 Janice Jean Elson
51521 Nancy L. Newson
51522 George Lakes
51523 Anne Keller Stambach
51524 Laura Gordon
51525 Florence Sandra Humphrey

TEXAS A & I UNIVERSITY
51526 Myrtha Lamar Garcia
51527 Barry Dunn
51528 Larry L. Watts
51529 Terrie Garrison
51530 Jean E. Stockwell

EASTERN WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
51531 Jack R. Smith
51532 Catherine Marie Heilsberg
51533 Sandra L. Erickson

WEST CHESTER STATE COLLEGE
51534 Susan Paquette
51707 George Cominskie
51712 Antonia C. Szupper
51713 Robert McAllister
51714 Constance Keane
51715 Rosemarie Bisciotti
51716 Philip H. Sears, III
51717 Rosalie A. Orosky

NORTHWEST NAZARENE COLLEGE
51535 Kenneth D. Gray
51536 Merilyn Lynne Thompson
51537 Ellen Gunter

ITHACA COLLEGE
51538 Patricia Mohan
51539 Theophilis Nix

UNIVERSITY OF AKRON
51540 Stanley Skrzypiec
51541 Margaret Whited
51542 John Thomas Straga
51543 Carolyn Presutti
51544 Terri Caddell
51545 Michael James Olah
51546 Teresa Lynn Stotbsbury

WESTMAR COLLEGE
51547 James E. Seibert, II
51548 Steve Laubenstein
51549 Pam Arhrends
51550 Walter Syltie, II

BLACK HILLS STATE COLLEGE
51552 Vicki Marie Smith
51553 Gale Folsland
51554 Laurie Lee Brown
51555 Lauri Robertson Glover

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY—SHREVEPORT
51556 Michael Konosky
51557 Monique Kolonko
51558 Thomas M. Ray

BRIDGELATER STATE COLLEGE
51559 Anne-Marie Minelli
51560 John Ross
51561 Anne M. Donegan
51562 J. Patricia Robosky
51563 Janewite L. LeBlanc

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY
51564 Scott Maurier
51565 Rebecca Whittington
51566 John Spring
51567 Philip Nelson

SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY
51571 Sharon A. Handlin
51572 Megan Williams
51573 Paul J. Trottier

EDINBORO STATE COLLEGE
51574 Margaret Ann Mills
51681 Theresa Anne Specht

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—OSHKOSH
51575 Mary Buzzell
51576 Carol Ann Danile
51577 Joan Dushenski
51578 Marie Garzynski
51579 Michael Goodman
51580 Sandra B. Hafenbraedl
51581 Joyce A. Schumacher

CAMERON UNIVERSITY
51582 Susan Williams McNutt
51583 Michael David Gray
51584 Jacqueline Lanette Perkins
51585 Danny L. Ahlfield
51586 Carolyn Denise Rankins
51587 Natalie Teresa Freeman
51588 Jeffrey Lee Shaw
NORTHWEST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY
51589 James Sand

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—EAU CLAIRE
51590 J. Thomas Cristy
51591 Robert Charles Boisvert, Jr.
51592 Daniel M. Dahm
51593 Franklin E. Billerbeck

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS—FAYETTEVILLE
51594 Lorilee Hale
51595 Cynthia Lee King
51596 Daniel Clay Bailey
51597 Patricia Ruth Amason
51598 Steven Simmons
51599 Steven Ray Brown
51600 Mark Dale Lowery

FERRIS STATE COLLEGE
51601 Becky M. McKay
51602 Julia Anne Chapman
51603 Philip E. DeLong
51604 Thomas Donald Jacques
51609 Mark E. Livander
51620 Patricia J. Canning
51621 Mark Andrew Stefani

EVANGEL COLLEGE
51605 Cathy Ann Elland
51606 Lori Steinbach
51607 Nancy Osborne
51608 Lonnie Kibiger

BALDWIN—WALLACE COLLEGE
51610 John Ziembka
51611 Tom Matowitz
51612 Elaine Hilliard

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
51613 Chris A. Tiahrt
51614 A. William Bickle
51615 David Shontz

SOUTHEASTERN OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
51616 James Daniel Owsley
51617 Michael D. Hill
51618 LaDawn Day

MIDLAND LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
51619 Sherri Nun

PACIFIC LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
51622 Patrick Madden
51623 Kathy McCormick

BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY
51624 Julie Renee Newell
51625 Wade Franklin Hyder

TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY
51626 Thomas W. Taylor
51627 Robert Grimm
51628 Alan R. Robinson

WINONA STATE UNIVERSITY
51630 Catherine Grace Blowers
51696 StevenGWilt
51697 Rebecca Joan McConnell
51698 Bill Withers

SOUTHWEST BAPTIST COLLEGE
51631 Thomas James Colston
51632 Martha Lively
51633 Rebecca Heiland
51634 Jeanine Congleton
51635 Wade Mark Geilow

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS—MONTICELLO
51636 Lillie Kemp
51637 Martha Lynn
51638 Juey H. Dickson, Jr.
51639 Connie High

UNIVERSITY OF PUGET SOUND
51640 Matt Mercer
51641 Christine M. Davis
51642 David Cloyce Smith
51643 Scott Fairchild Holford

STERLING COLLEGE
51644 Donna Lynn Fleishman
51645 Diane Massey

DOANE COLLEGE
51646 Mark John Bigelow

TREVECCA NAZARENEL COLLEGE
51647 Paul R. Montemuro
51648 Laura Long
51649 Leni Hegi
51650 Becky Greer

STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY
51651 Becky Greer
51652 Barbara Kramer
51653 Judith Collier

GEORGETOWN COLLEGE
51656 John Lawrence Butler
51657 Roger A. Gibbs
51658 Linda Kleykamp
51659 Jack Palmer

SHIPPENSBURG STATE COLLEGE
51661 Joseph J. Poplawski

CENTRAL MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY
51665 Cheryl L. Vanderhoof
51666 Robert B. Pierce, Jr.
51667 Karolyn L. Yocum
51668 Curtis Jacobs
51669 Deborah M. Washington
51670 Barbara Ewing
51671 Michael Lee Short

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY
51672 Dana Lynn Bryson
51673 Kim Chronowski
51674 Kenneth Hiller
51675 Maria Scali
51676 Robert Allen Bell

CULVER—STOCKTON COLLEGE
51677 Karin G. Thomas
51678 Enid Campbell
51679 Dana Fralick
51680 David Prussner

TOWSON STATE UNIVERSITY
51682 Margaret G. Benzinger

APPALACHIAN STATE UNIVERSITY
51683 Tony Jones

HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY
51685 Sean Patrick O'Rourke

NORTHERN STATE COLLEGE
51686 Paula Lantsberger
51687 Arlen Brummer
51688 Gregory John Charboneau

MARIETTA COLLEGE
51689 Marilyn C. Underwood
51690 Susan M. Schneider
51691 Robert W. Mackenzie
51692 Janet J. Geier

BLOOMSBURG STATE COLLEGE
51693 Daniel Dessel
51694 David Pautsch
51695 Beverly Verna Romberger
51699 Philip E. Olinger
51700 Lucille Scarpini
51701 Cheryl Walker

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—STOUT
51702 Herbert J. Haubrich

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI—KANSAS CITY
51703 Charles Moreland

BETHEL COLLEGE (KS)
51704 James Chapman
51705 Maria Wiens
51706 Jean Flickinger

WHEATON COLLEGE
51708 Geoffrey Fraser Donnellan
51709 Stephen Arthur Tuggy
51710 Laness Elaine Wynder

MOORHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY
51711 Lane C. Zaffke

The Forensic expresses appreciation to Dave Hogan, graduate student at Harding College, for his extensive photographic coverage of the St. Louis Convention. All the photographs in this issue, except those depicting Chapter News, are the work of Hogan. Thanks are also extended to Past President Evan Ulrey who “discovered” Dave.
A Word From The Editor . . .

Often last words seem perfunctory. I hope that mine will not, because as I write them I am feeling anew the significance of what being Forensic editor has meant to me. My overwhelming reaction is appreciation for the opportunity and, yes, the work.

Upon my appointment by the 1975 Philadelphia Convention, I set the goal of securing associate editors who would be both competent and dependable, not merely ambitious to have their names listed on the publication page. I shared this ideal with Georgia Bowman, the outgoing editor, who then recommended Ada Mae Haury of Bethel College. I did not know her, but I trusted Georgia’s judgment, so I made the contact. Ada Mae has stuck with me through four years, even this year when she has not been teaching. Her conscientiousness in pulling news out of the chapters has resulted in a steady flow of material. Along with her neatly typed copy, she has sent encouraging notes to me.

In choosing Bob Beagle as an associate editor, I had my forensic circuit experience to go on; I knew that he was “a good man.” Working with Bob has generated one of my most cherished professional relationships. Not only has he faithfully written “Forensic Forum,” but he has solicited and edited many of the scholarly articles. In Seattle and St. Louis, he has labored long and imaginatively on “The Key.” Both Bob and Ada Mae have enabled me to reach my initial editorial goal.

First as the local convention officer of the Philadelphia Convention and then as editor, I have had the privilege of working with three National Councils. Each was unique, each dedicated, each fun. Secretary-Treasurer Ted Karl and his wife Betsy have given me excellent cooperation and so have Past President Evan Ulrey and President Tom Harte. During my term, Historian Larry Norton has been a regular contributor to the magazine. For these and other fine leaders of PKD I have great respect.

Many pleasant relationships have also developed at Graphics Standard Inc. of West Chester. Every person there with whom I have been associated has been uncommonly helpful and interested in producing quality work.

As I review these positive personal outcomes of my editorship, other people also come to mind: Jodi Daley, West Chester’s superchamp, who served competently as the student editor for the last two issues; Hank Gentry, the able president of our local chapter, and our Forensic Society’s President Elect Jim Shinehouse who have helped me on several mailings; my mother who has consistently given me a hand on postal regulations and packing; the colleagues across the country who have taken time to write their comments; and a former editor whom I have never met but whose thoughtfulness has been warming. For each and everyone my gratitude abounds.

Soon Harold Widvey will have responsibility for the publication. In long talks with him in St. Louis and here in my Pennsylvania home, I have been impressed by his grasp of the job. To him I wish a most successful and personally rewarding editorship.

Four years ago I read these words in “A Manual for Editors” prepared by Georgia Bowman: “All in all, the editor must think about The Forensic and Pi Kappa Delta almost daily for four years.” Georgia was absolutely right — and I can add that for me this thinking has brought far more pleasure than pain.

Carolyn Keefe