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Love Boat

One prime time TV offering this year takes viewers on a pleasure cruise each week. A group of strangers get together in a new environment. Before the cruise is over, lives have been changed - new romances, new approaches to vexing problems, even whole new personalities emerge, from the chance to start fresh with a group of strangers in exotic surroundings.

Floating is not a necessary component. A well-designed forensic tournament can work the same magic. Stimulating intellectual competition should add something to the festivities, and knowing you share common interests with most of the strangers ought to intrigue you. Pi Kappa Delta's 1981 convention will take place in exotic surroundings (we can tell you in the next issue which exotic surroundings), will bring together like-minded strangers, and should be an experience you will always remember.

Unlike Love Boat, we cannot guarantee a new romance (though it has happened), or that you will live happily ever after. But we can say you will have the opportunity to meet new people, encounter new ideas, and rise to the challenge of new opponents and new judges. What you gain from the experience will depend on how well you have prepared yourself - for the competition, for the sight-seeing, and for the intangibles that have long led doctors to prescribe an ocean voyage for those who have grown weary of the daily grind. We are still excited by such prospects, and hope you will plan to be aboard.
Secretary's Page . . .

Theodore O.H. Karl

This issue of the FORENSIC has many important reports and notices, each of which is of great importance to Pi Kappa Delta members. Please read it carefully. The National Council met last August 11-13 in Lawton, Oklahoma, at Cameron University, and some decisions were made which may well affect the future of the entire organization. This page will restrict itself to the matters which pertain to this office. Once again a convention year begins. Plans should be made to attend the convention in Gatlinburg, Tennessee, by every chapter. Activities and events have been planned to make it a very exciting four days. Attending the convention means that every student attending must be a member of Pi Kappa Delta with paid up dues. Membership applications should be sent to this office with the $15.00 membership fee as soon as the student is qualified. A rebate plan has been formulated, of which you are aware, which will affect the registration fee at the convention.

On or about September 1, 1980, the Fall Report blank, as well as the invoice for the chapter fee was sent out. If your Fall Report is sent in quickly, we will have the opportunity to check our records and allow this office to be as accurate as possible when the time comes to verify the registration forms for the convention. The chapter fee of $15.00 is also important, since it will also affect the rebate plan at the time of registration at the convention. If your invoice carries the amount of $30.00, it means we have no records of your having paid for the year 1979-80, and that $15.00 of that amount is for 1980-81.

In this issue will also be found the audited financial report of the Treasurer. This will give you a picture of our financial situation as of August 1, 1980.

The number of memberships, as well as the chapter fees paid, remained about the same as for last year. With some really serious budget cuts and savings in various areas of fraternity operations, we have been able to stay in the black. Please be advised that $2,600.00 of the funds shown in

(Continued, page 16)
Editorially Speaking . . .

WHEN FORENSIC CHAPTERS COMMUNICATE

Bob Derryberry

Pi Kappa Delta members repeatedly affirm that individuals with ideas must communicate! Further, as students of effective thinking and speaking, we need to utilize every oral and written channel which is available to us. Since we are members of a fraternity devoted to developing communication skills, we benefit by sharing our experiences with the entire fraternity. One helpful communicative format is the chapter report. By reporting our activities and thinking, we contribute to the strength and philosophy of our fraternity and the total forensic community.

Planning and publishing a chapter report will produce clear benefits which more than compensate for the time devoted to preparation. Consider the rewards which even a brief story can bring to your chapter and the fraternity.

Preparing a chapter report can motivate valuable internal evaluations. When a reporter asks "What can I say about us?" chapter members and the forensic director are called upon to summarize and evaluate forensic activities. Such an exercise may generate purposeful talk about forensic directions and goals. Preparing a "public report" can encourage individuals and chapters to engage in helpful self-evaluation.

A chapter report performs a needed public relations function which serves chapter members, the local forensic program, the province, and the national fraternity. A magic cord is often struck when a local administrator reads a report from his or her university in The Forensic. Deserved publicity for participating students serves to enhance the credibility of participants and the local forensic program.

Reports contribute to a sense of achievement. Though Pi Kappa Delta has long recognized standards beyond the collection of awards and trophies, achievement in forensics must be recognized. The chapter report is an ideal format to publicize successes, victories, and experiments. Experiences, travel, learning, and awards that are earned convey that a chapter is alive and working. A clear lesson from the "athletic world" is that publicity strengthens a program. Some wisdom is evident in an old maxim about achievement: "He who toots not his own horn, the same shall not be tooted."

Reports enhance forensic pride and strengthen chapter unity. The alert reporter can mention new members, achievers, travelers, officers, and attainments or contributions of graduates. Students who attempt new events and projects, or the service performed by a local chapter can comprise the "heart" of a report. A picture not only publicizes a new slate of officers or a victorious season, but it records and unifies a group which changes quickly from year to year.

Now that advantages of preparing a chapter report have been reviewed, note a few suggestions and reminders for preparing your chapter reports during the coming

(Continued, page 21)
Contest Rules Changes Approved

The National Council of Pi Kappa Delta approved rule changes for debate and individual events and increased allowable entries at its summer 1980 meeting.

The limits on entries were increased to allow two teams in each of the areas of team debate and two individuals in Lincoln-Douglas debate. Individual events entries are increased to three in each event. However, entrants may not enter two events which are scheduled at the same time.

Debate will continue to include Championship, Traditional and Lincoln-Douglas divisions. CEDA debate will also be offered if approved by the Cross Examination Debate Association.

Individual events are increased from six to eight. The tournament will continue to include oratory, extemporaneous speaking, oral interpretation of prose and poetry, informative speaking, and speaking to entertain. Two added events are dramatic duo and impromptu speaking.

Rules were changed for two events. Cross-examination in extemporaneous speaking was eliminated. In the interpretation events, readers may use the same material in each round instead of being required to prepare two programs. The Council asked Tournament Chairperson Jack Starr to establish rules for new events and revise rules for existing events so they do not conflict with those which contestants follow other major tournaments. Complete rules will appear in the Winter issue of The Forensic. Tournament entry forms will be mailed in January, 1981.

Council Approves Convention Budget

The budget for the Pi Kappa Delta National Convention, approved by the National Council at its summer meeting, calls for expenditures of $12,828 and income of $12,500, based on estimated attendance of 500 members. Nine items are included in the cost estimates:

**Expense**

- Banquet, 500 at $12.00 plus guest $6,168.00
- Official Convention Travel 2,000.00
- Telephone, Postage, Printing 750.00
- Medals for Awards 350.00
- Continental Breakfasts 1,500.00
- Local Expense 500.00
- Security 60.00
- Secretary-Treasurer's Conv. Supplies 500.00
- Conv. Supplies 1,000.00

Total $12,828.00

**Income**

500 registrants at $25.00 $12,500.00

The budget as approved allows a reduction of the entry fee. The 1979 fee was $40.00 per person. The reduction results from charging some expenses to the general fund which had traditionally been considered as convention expense. The largest single item transferred out of the convention expense was the cost of the summer meeting of the National Council, a nearly $4000.00 item. An actual saving will result from the decision of the National Council to authorize payment of convention travel expense for Council Members only when they are required to arrive at the convention site early and cannot travel with a forensic squad. The amount budgeted for such expense is $1500.00 less than last convention. An accounting change will
remove items stocked for sale to members from the convention expense statement. The savings and transfer of expense items to other accounts allows funding of the convention costs at $25.00 figure.

**Southeast Province Promises Benefits at Gatlinburg in April 1981**

Galen Stanley, Student Member of the National and Margaret Greynolds of Georgetown College, province coordinator, assured the PKD National Council that all needed services and some added benefits will be available for the National Convention of Pi Kappa Delta, April 1-4, 1981, in Gatlinburg, Tennessee.

Arrangements are being made to provide transportation at a reasonable cost for those who fly to Knoxville, the nearest major airport. Those who choose other public transportation will also have commuting service available.

The province will host a dance on one of the nights of the convention. They have also offered to print the convention program and will contact local firms who wish to welcome Pi Kappa Delta to the Great Smoky Mountains.

**Tournament Fees Will Reflect Rebate**

President Roger Hufford’s plan to allow dues rebate to be applied to tournament entry fees at the 1981 Convention will be available as announced earlier.

The rebate plan will allow a five-dollar reduction in a chapter’s tournament fees for each membership which reaches the National Secretary-Treasurer in good order by March 1, 1981. Allowance for the rebate has been built into the budget estimates for the tournament and the general fund.

**Professional Activities Day Established for Convention**

The National Council adopted President Roger Hufford's proposal for a professional activities day at the PKD National Convention in Gatlinburg. Walter Murrish, whom Hufford has designated to serve as Research Chairman in his behalf, will be asked to set up the program planned for April 1, 1981, before other convention activities begin.

The program will include papers and other reports of research. There will also be opportunity for professional exchange of ideas. The program is a response to member requests for greater emphasis on professional concerns at the national meetings of Pi Kappa Delta.

**Topics Selected for Individual Debate**

The National Council selected the "National Off-Topic Topic" and the topic which placed second among the same group for Lincoln-Douglas debate at the 1981 National Tournament.

The first topic is "Resolved: that censorship is a greater moral evil than pornography." The second topic reads: "Resolved: that United States Government foreign espionage activities since 1960 have done on balance more harm than good." The Council authorized Jack Starr to modify the wording if necessary, possibly by substituting "covert operations" for "espionage activities." The final wording will be announced in the Winter issue of The Forensic.
Starr Plans Tight Convention Schedule

Jack Starr, PKD vice-president and tournament events chairperson, presented a tournament schedule which includes eight rounds of debate in four separate divisions, four rounds of discussion, and three rounds each of eight individual events.

The debate divisions include championship, traditional, Lincoln-Douglass, and CEDA, if approved by the sponsoring Cross Examination Debate Association.

Discussion will return to a more traditional format and will probably use the "National Intercollegiate Discussion Question."

Individual events selected through action of the last national convention are prose interpretation, poetry interpretation, dramatic duo, extemporaneous speaking, informative speaking, impromptu, oratory, and speaking to entertain. Topic areas for extemporaneous speaking and impromptu will be established and will be announced with the tournament information in the Winter edition of The Forensic. Some limits or guidelines will be established for the interpretation events; however, the requirement that separate selections be prepared for rounds one and two has been eliminated.

The schedule, as approved on August 14, 1980, by the National Council, calls for student lieutenant governors and province governors to meet at 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 1981. Following those meetings, the provinces will meet at 7:00 p.m. The first business session of the convention is set for 8:00 on April 1.

On the three subsequent days of the convention, meetings of students and of special groups are scheduled from 8:00-9:30 a.m. in conjunction with a continental breakfast. No lunch hour is scheduled, but events are planned to allow individuals time for a lunch period between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. Extemp. draw is scheduled at 9:00 a.m. each of the three days. Individual events rounds begin daily at 9:30 a.m. Rounds are one and one-half hours for all events. Dinner hour is from 6:30-7:30 p.m., followed by meetings of the convention or of the provinces. The awards Banquet is set at 8:00 p.m. on Saturday, April 4.

Corbin Retires From ISU

William N. Corbin, who closes a 25 year career at Idaho State University as debate coach and associate professor of speech, is packing with him a host of honors and awards conferred by appreciative students and colleagues.

Although retiring from ISU, Corbin will teach debate as an associate professor at Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville, Mo., starting next fall.

Before going, Corbin has been through a round of dinners, luncheons, and ceremonies all in recognition of his achievements. Among recent honors is a hardwood chair from the ISU Alumni Association given on rare occasions for outstanding service; the ISU Mortarboard's December 1979 Professor of the Month, establishment of the William N. Corbin Scholarship Fund, initiated by ISU debate team students, a highest distinction award from Pi Kappa Delta, an Appreciation for Service award from area high school debate coaches, Outstanding Forensic Director award from the Yellowstone Valley Invitational Tour-
From the Student Member of the National Council

Gaylen Stanley

To all members of Pi Kappa Delta:

As we move into this convention year, many issues still run through our minds from St. Louis. I realize the main issue has been costs, for many forensic budgets have been cut, and costs of travelling are increasing. This summer, the National Council tried to economize in every possible way. We were quite fortunate to receive free housing at Cameron University for our summer meeting. This is only one of the ways the Council has tried to reduce spending. We all feel that the students should not have to pay for benefits they do not receive. I feel the present Council has succeeded in cutting costs without taking anything away from the members of Pi Kappa Delta. This is the first year of the rebate plan, and we hope that everyone will try to take advantage of it. Before it can be successful, everyone must understand it. If you have any questions, feel free to ask any member of the National Council. I feel the rebate can be very helpful to many schools with limited forensic budgets.

One of the primary targets of the National Council was to cut the registration fee from $40 to $25. We have done this, and also included three continental breakfasts and a banquet. The breakfasts are important because each will have its own specific purpose. One will be a chance to meet the past presidents of Pi Kappa Delta, another will be a chance for students to meet the candidates for National Council, and the last will be the Student Meeting. (Don’t worry coaches, during the student breakfasts, we will serve you breakfasts somewhere else.) These breakfasts will be an excellent chance for students to voice their opinions about forensics and Pi Kappa Delta. Since the convention has been shortened by one day, the schedule has to very condensed in order to fit in all the events, plus two new ones, into three days. Although we will all be very busy, we still hope to keep this a convention, and not just another National Tournament.

There are many ways the students can become more involved in Pi Kappa Delta. We all need to realize that student members are as important as faculty members. What students think is important to the National Council. Any questions or ideas are welcome. I learned this summer that the present Council is very receptive to new ideas. Feel free to write any of us, for we are your elected representatives. As one of the student representatives, I want to be able to convey your ideas and your opinions. Please let me know how you feel. Whether an opinion is from one person or an entire province, all are worth hearing.

I hope to see you all in Gatlinburg,

Gaylen
Points of View . . .

Debate: The Game Is Up for Me

According to Guinness, the manufacturer of the most popular game in America is Parker Brothers with "Monopoly." But America's colleges and universities cannot be far behind as manufacturers of what has been for years one of the most often-played intellectual games for students: intercollegiate debate.

In the early 60's, a feature writer for a metropolitan newspaper attended his first college debate tournament and in his subsequent article he described the debate game as a "polite form of mental mayhem characterized by yards and yards of yakety-yak."

Today's debate game is not total mayhem, however. The game has certain rules, unwritten though they may be. A paraphrased version of several of University of Maryland coach Stephen Wood's rules of the game are as follows:

RULE ONE—DELIVERY—One shall achieve successful, that is 'winning' debate delivery by bending over a table with one hand grasping the furthest edge and the other alternating between being in the debater's pocket, shifting through evidence, and making meaningless gestures; while the debater audibly sucks in vast quantities of air and speaks in an affected Eastern (Harvard) accent at speeds approaching the incomprehensibility barrier.

RULE TWO—EVIDENCE—To be a winning debater, one shall scare any reasoning right out of his opposition by lugging in five catalogue cases, 14 fileboxes, two briefcases (filled with the latest in computer cards, of course), and three gophers to help him move from round to round. COROLLARY TO RULE TWO—The best of debaters soon learns to keep all of his real evidence in the various pockets of his three-piece suit so it will not get lost in five cat cases and 14 fileboxes.

RULE THREE—STYLE—When confronted with a choice between using reason and reading a blurby quote from a quasi-qualified source to make a point, a winning debater shall always choose the latter strategy. Reason: a fool in print is worth two debaters in a round.

It is the evolution of game rules like these that has made debate the target of more attacks than any other area within the speech field. But the debate about debate is certainly now new to his decade, nor is it even unique to this century. Two thousand years ago, Protagoras, the "father of debate" was lampooned for neglecting the dialectic in favor of a group of speeches for and against a resolution. And indeed the verbal wars about debate have continued through our present day. Today, Oxford debaters attend our tournaments and nearly go into convulsions laughing at us. For in the words of the 1972 visiting Englishmen, American debate typically involves "four machines with voice boxes shouting into a dictaphone that automatically writes out their speeches, adds up the subpoints and computes the winner."

This leads me to the first of two deleterious effects of our modern debating game. The human-to-human persuasion element is being (Continued, page 14)
More Points of View

PKD Needs to Restore Inactive Chapters

One of the constantly dreary reports at recent National Conventions has been that of the Charter and Standards Committee when numerous schools are placed on probation, continued on probation, or have their charters revoked. In contrast far fewer schools are restored to full standing.

A multi-year effort to restore former chapters to active status ought to be PRIORITY ONE in PKD’s future plans. It cannot be a program that starts and stops with each biennial convention. It must be a commitment by the National Convention that has a long range target date such as the up-coming 75th anniversary. And it should be a highly coordinated program on national, provincial, and local levels, including undergraduate and alumni chapters.

At the present time the Charter and Standards Committee changes most of its membership every two years. There ought to be a gentlemen’s agreement that at least one person shall be a continuing member who both because of personal conviction and assignment will devote his major effort to the task of restoring former chapters to full status.

The member of the National Council serving as Province Co-ordinator should also be involved with this task. And, the Alumni Advisor would assist in securing the help of individual alumni who wish to see their former chapters restored to full status.

On the Province level the Governor, or an appointee, should have a major concern. Perhaps the Province might also establish the position of Alumni Advisor.

In addition a goal ought to be set. Let us assume that the 75th anniversary celebration would be in 1987 on the national level and 1988 on the province. If each Province established a goal of restoring (on average) three chapters in each biennium, there would be the possibility of bringing back over 100 chapters by the 75th anniversary. That would be a wonderful achievement.

A Special Council on Re-Activation could be established with membership from those mentioned earlier. The first task would be to identify the reasons that chapters failed to meet their commitments. Then a map might be prepared for each province showing the location of all chapters, active, probationary, and defunct. This would enable everyone to pinpoint areas when presently active chapters might be in sufficiently close proximity to defunct chapters to offer assistance in re-activating them.

One complaint I recall hearing was that province convention/tournament was simply “another tournament” where the same schools met once again. Why not structure a province meet around fraternity concerns. Debates on specific proposals for PKD’s future. Discussion rounds on problems of tournament schedule-

(Continued, page 20)
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Pictures Copyright Buckhorn Press
systematically annihilated at both debaters and judges today seem to function more like automatic, electronic, high-speed, data-processing computers than they do like persons.

According to Walter Fisher and other communication analysts, the result of this orientation toward logic and evidence—to the exclusion of persuasion—is that debate is rendered "essentially unrealistic," thus, "void of rhetorical value." Extending the phrase popularized (though not coined) by David K. Berlo that "meanings are not in words but in people." I would posit that arguments are not to be found in evidence cards or on flow pads or in any other of the debate game pieces for that matter. Rather, arguments are to be found in other players—in people and in the conceptual choices they make. In short, if our debating is to have any rhetorical value, we must somehow embrace a system which forces us to surrender a bit of our speedy, jargon-filled elitism and which encourages us to bother to seek out all available means of persuasion in bending and adapting to the listener needs of at least the reasonably educated non-debater.

A second undesirable aspect of debate practiced as a mere game is that it is almost criminally negligent in fulfilling the tremendous practical training debate could potentially provide. In trying to transfer our skills from the Candyland playing board to the gameboard of Life, veteran debaters like myself who have debated for eight years are discovering that argumentation which wins the approval of a debate coach in a round may not help us win the election, sell the car, convert our fellow men to Christianity, or sway the jury. In fact, the only relevance of many current debate practices seems to be to train debaters to become coaches and judges ... in order to train more debaters to become more coaches and judges?

What we need is to encourage a system which teaches and uses debate techniques that will win decisions, not only at the tournament, but more crucially, decisions necessary for success in life.

At this point, I do want to emphasize that I see nothing wrong with enjoying indulgence in the keen sporting instinct which is an integral part of debate as we all have grown to love it. To debate partly for the game is challenging and exciting. But to debate only for the game is repulsive. For debate involves reality and thus should deal with truth rather than pointless jests (like "melting the polar ice-caps), with things rather than playthings.

Unfortunately, academic debate has become less and less an activity relevant to reality. And still more unfortunate is the fact that this failing of competitive debate seems to be inherent within the specific structure of NDT. Proposed debate reforms within NDT have focused almost exclusively on merely encouraging debaters to "refuse to conform to inhuman delivery habits, while admonishing judges to use critiques to penalize the same type of debate "malpractices." But setting goals has done little and really can do little toward insuring organized implementation of tangible reforms in debate. Harris and Smith, in fact, correctly label most debate reform proposals as "practically unacceptable" because sporadic efforts by scattered individual participants will not likely effect change in an ongoing closed system such as NDT debate. In other words, most presently-proposed debate reforms
lack plan-meet-need qualities. Therefore, I propose the following two solutions to be implemented by any and all necessary means. PLANK I—Debaters and coaches alike should band together to strengthen and promote CEDA debate. CEDA stands for the Cross-Examination Debate Association and in its four years of existence the number of colleges and universities participating in CEDA debate has grown phenomenally as CEDA is the only debate alternative to NDT in the nation.

What are the reasons for the dramatic growth of CEDA? Well, CEDA has several advantages over NDT. First, because the CEDA topic is not published until late October, evidence overload is significantly decreased. Second, by encouraging the use of lay judges, CEDA strives to make the judge and audience, rather than a paper argument, the center of the debate. As a result, CEDA debate if often characterized by some decrease in the rate of speaking, less reliance on evidence, and a decrease in the number of separate arguments with increased clarification by debaters on how an argument helps win a particular issue for the debater’s team. Such deviations from traditional debate style are not to be regarded as ends in themselves so much as they should be considered means to the end of adapting to different persons in a concentrated effort to effectively persuade them. In short, CEDA specializes in training speakers, rather than talkers.

Now I know that the idea of utilizing relatively inexperienced judges to force audience adaptation may, at the outset, mortify many true-blue NDTer's. But lay judges do not have to be incompetent. In fact, studies made at NDT nationals in the 60's have shown that non-debate coaches used as judges at the tourna-

ment have been in the majority in decisions more than half of the time. As Samuel Butler elucidated: "The public may not know enough to be experts, but they do know enough to judge between them."

In much the same way that Oxford debaters have laughed at American NDT debate, I know that many American NDT debaters emit quite a shortl whenever the acronym "CEDA" is no more than mentioned. I know because I used to be one of those NDTer's shortlors who took part in such cruel snickering.

But CEDA is no longer anything to snicker at. For CEDA is currently debated with great eloquence and expertise by debaters from some of the most academically presigious universities in our country's west and southwest. And though the midwest and east presently remain relatively isolated from the reforming influence of this debate alternative, quantitatively and qualitatively CEDA continues to increase in significance every year. But CEDA still needs our nurturing help and that is the essence of Plank I. As coaches and debaters, it is time we include and enter CEDA divisions in our tournaments across the nation, especially in the midwest and east; and it is time we stop the vicious degradation of CEDA as a "sub-novice" form of debate.

PLANK II—Debate teams should periodically sponsor on-campus public debate forums with a significant feature being questions from the floor. On my own college's camp-

us, Wheaton College, I know that the initiation of such forums this year has not only enlightened students on topics of real world importance, but also I think these forums have alerted and further convinced most of our team as debaters that we have to deal with more than dozens of sterile arguments—we
must deal with the broader notions of live people. You know, with the limitations of this short discourse I hope I have not come off as an overzealous crusader rampaging to have all existing debate games thrown onto a giant bonfire and burned. On the contrary, my sincerest desire is to help rescue debate before it burns itself out, as NDT seems to be doing, and becomes but another obscure entry in the encyclopedia of curious games and pastimes. For as the late Alexander Meiklejohn stated: "It seems to me that stronger than any other group, tougher in intellectual fiber, keener in intellectual interest and better equipped to battle with coming problems, are the college debaters."

I believe in that potential. That is why before I cash in my chips ending my many happy years in the game and before this game is up not only for me but for hundreds like me, I am making this one last play to persuade you to make debate more than just a game. Will I win or lose? I don't know. It's your move.

Cheryl J. Smith
Wheaton College

Secretary . . . from page 4

reserve have been held back as a part of the rebate plan. Three thousand dollars more, which will be charged to operating expenses, will be for this last Summer's Council Meeting and will not be charged to the convention as in past years. As a result, the registration fee will be reduced to $25.00. Thus I hope you will not be misled by the amount listed in the reserve. We really have a need to increase our membership this year so that the convention can pay for itself.

Registration forms for the convention will be sent out during the first week of January 1981. Included will be the registration form, a housing form, and information on travel. Both the registration and housing forms will carry deadlines, and they will be adhered to strictly.

One more word about deadlines. The absolute deadline for sending in memberships to be considered for rebate of registration fee at the convention is MARCH 1ST. Any memberships received in this office after March 1, 1981, will not be credited to the chapter for consideration in the reduction of the registration fee. Memberships will be accepted after that date according to normal procedures. We welcome them and hope that will continue to come in after that date.

SUMMARY:

Membership fees: $15.00, accompanied by Form A

Chapter fees: $15.00 for the year 1980-81, payable now.

March 1, 1981: Deadline for memberships to arrive in this office, to be computed for registration fee rebate.

Our hope is that you will be planning all of this year to be at Gatlinburg to make decisions affecting the future of Pi Kappa Delta, to compete, and to participate in the fellowship that only a National Pi Kappa Delta Convention can afford.

Reports . . . from page 8

nament, recognition as an outstanding forensics director in the west by the University of California at Los Angeles national tournament, a certificate of appreciation from the Idaho State Board of Education, and a similar honor from the ISU Athletics Department.

Corbin has held national leadership positions in both the American Institute of Parliamentarians, and Pi Kappa Delta. Regionally he has boosted debate with efforts in the college Gem State Jamboree, and the high school Blacksnake Forensic
Tournament. Ironically Corbin did not plan to be a debate coach. Instead he was interested in business and economics. However, his teaching duties at Classen High School, Oklahoma City, Okla., which included debate, eventually earned him a graduate assistantship in debate at the University of Denver. There he earned his master's degree in public address and eventually landed the position at Idaho State University.

He comments that all of his years at ISU have been good ones, and that it's difficult to single out particular highlights. His wife of 39 years, Aileen F. Corbin is looking forward to the move to Missouri because, "it is close to her territory," he notes. She was reared in Emporia, Kan.

Council Adopts Change Proposals

The National Council of Pi Kappa Delta at its summer 1980 meeting passed a number of resolutions which if given final adoption by the organization would make significant changes.

Council Member Larry Richardson indicated a need to develop leadership exists in the organization and indicated that the Province of the Northwest had had some success in nurturing the potential of its members through conferences and help sessions. Further discussion among the members of the council indicated a need for improved communication between the provinces and the National Council. There also seemed to be a lack of communication among the various provinces. A three-part proposal was enacted designed to improve the operation of the National Council and improve internal communication in Pi Kappa Delta:

1. The National Council will meet to begin its work in the summer immediately following the National Convention-Tournament.

2. A conference of province governors will be sought for the day immediately following the National Convention.

3. A plan will be developed to provide help and information to the new coaches who become chapter sponsors so that they can be effective in maintaining their local chapters.

President Roger Hufford appointed council members Richardson, Tom Harte, and Gary Horn as a task force to prepare plans through which the last two items of the resolution could be implemented. The first item is at the discretion of the National Council.

The Council approved the group of proposed amendments reported by Larry Richardson, chairperson of the Committee on the Constitution. These will be printed in the Winter edition of The Forensic. The one most likely to be construed as a major change deals with modifying the compulsory attendance rule for National Conventions. The proposal would provide a modification of the set of requirements for maintaining chapter charters.

The Council acted to place a petitioned amendment, limiting the power of the Editor of The Forensic to edit chapter news, with other amendments to be placed before the convention.

In other action, the Council authorized the presentation of a charter to Trenton State College at the 1981 Convention. The presentation had been postponed at the last PKD Convention. The Council also considered current inquiries and criticisms and provided responses. The final action established a site selection committee for the 1983 National Convention and invited provinces to submit their invitations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEW MEMBERS OF PI KAPPA DELTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WESTERN STATE COLLEGE, CO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52042  Rita L. McCoy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52043  Debie G. Beyer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52044  Eric Jurgens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52045  Brenda G. Breeding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52046  Paul E. Tauer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52047  Kathleen A. Sullivan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52048  Cindy M. Yale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHITMAN COLLEGE, WA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52049  John Morrison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52050  John C. Bunnell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52051  Cheryl Marie Schonhardt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52020  Tammy Gryntness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52053  Robert A. Sanford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52054  Carolyn Snyder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52055  Brenda Cameron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52056  Sheryl Ungeford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARIETTA COLLEGE, OH</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52353  George E. Ludwig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52354  Katherine Ellen Currie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52356  Jeffrey D. Besougloff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52357  Loren Michael Pusey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52358  Robert Edward Harris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52350  Paul T. LaPrise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52360  John Michael Butler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52361  Kimberly Ann Ludwig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52362  Katherine Bitzas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAN AMERICAN UNIVERSITY, TX</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52363  R. Neal Rieke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52364  Ofelia de Los Santos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PITTSBURG ST. UNIVERSITY, KS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52365  Kathleen Owsley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OKLAHOMA CHRISTIAN COLLEGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52366  Roger Wayne Kelcy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOORHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY, MN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52367  Jon Alan Peterson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52368  Timothy Edward Field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52369  Margaret Skadberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52370  Denelle Emerson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEBRASKA WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52371  Karla Woolford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52372  Linda Selben</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52373  Brenda Bence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52374  Dana Pratt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52375  Karleen Carlson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52376  Mary Jo Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52377  Frank Watson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52378  Steven Norris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAKOTA WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, SD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52379  Dr. Craig Challender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52380  Susan Rogness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52381  Tammy Patten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52382  Pete Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52423  Dave A. Brown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52525  Jeff Grapevine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIPON COLLEGE, WI</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52383  Patrice E. Patterson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52384 Lynne M. Wakefield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82385  Jennifer M. Sparrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52386  Charles Darrell Langley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHOOL OF THE OZAR克斯, MO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52387  Steve McArthur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52388  Paul R. Loomans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - WHITewater</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52389  Kathleen Hanlon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52390  Lawrence Husick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52391  Dr. Christopher Joyner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52392  Margaret Levy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52393  Karen Meyer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52394  Barry Paul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52395  Charles Pierson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52396  Robert Seide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOUNT UNION COLLEGE, OH</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52397  Cheryl Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52398  William E. Coleman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52399  Vincent Folliert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52400  Steven C. Deller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52401  Dawn Ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52402  Melissa A. Wise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS - MONTICELLO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52403  Richard Justice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52404  Lynne Robinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52405  Jacqueline Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52406  Frances Stark Franklin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HASTINGS COLLEGE, NB</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52407  Robbin Sherman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52408  Anne Wattles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52459  Thomas L. Fraser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTHWEST STATE UNIVERSITY, MN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52410  Joel E. Nelson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52411  Kathy A. Woratshka</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52412  Philip Mollie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52413  Pam Johnson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52414  Randy Nelson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52415  Tim Werner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52416  Susan Harwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY, CA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52417  Lucas Paul McQuillan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - EAU CLAIRE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52418  Mrs. L.E. Phillips</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52419  Lynn Antonissen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52420  Jane Marie Hoffman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52421  Noreen R. Fish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52422  Pamela Jean Adamski</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52426  Richard Kirmis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52427  Gregory Lee Davidson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52428  Kursten Lass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52429  Anne K. Manlove</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52430  Marilyn Mische</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52431  Dennis Jacobsen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52432  Carol Marie Rummel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52433  Michael J. De Luca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52434  Debbie D. Farrell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52435  Elizabeth Anne Dalker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FALL 1980
52436 Donavan Ternes  
52437 Timothy Ernest Messmer  
52438 Cordell Colman Hanson  

TEXAS A & I UNIVERSITY  
52438 Ruth Vajdos  

CLARION STATE COLLEGE, PA  
52440 Rebecca K. Hamberger  
52441 Rebecca R. Steward  

FERRIS STATE COLLEGE, MI  
52442 Thomas O'Brien  
52443 Suzanne M. Hillman  
52444 Christopher Allen Hogan  
52445 Lori L. Hoekema  

NEW JERSEY INST. OF TECH.  
52446 Jonathon Allsop  
52447 Michael Lubas  
52448 Michael Luddy  

TOWSON STATE UNIVERSITY  
52449 Barbara Christine Shea  
52450 Shari A. Hoffman  
52451 Lisa Marie Sklamm  

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - OSHKOSH  
52452 James M. Ryan  
52453 Laurie J. Wickert  
52454 John Harper  
52455 Debra A. Radtke  
52456 Peter F. Mannenbach  
52457 Margaret Sabal  
52458 David P. Byers  

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY - HAYWARD  
52460 Bonnie G. Fuchs  
52506 Shirlee Linda Steele  

NORTHERN STATE COLLEGE, SD  
52461 Kenneth Edward Marks  

BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, OH  
53462 Dr. Michael R. Ferari  
52463 Mary Ellen Minnick  
52464 Denise Marie Bestdorff  
52465 Karen L. Holzapfel  
52466 Elizabeth Ann Peterka  
52467 Carol Jones  
52468 Caroleton Wayne Dunlap  
52469 Joseph Justin Gustains  
52470 Kevin W. Dean  
52471 Marianne Miele  
52472 Beth Reynolds  
52473 Julie Dryden  
52483 Nancy Protheroe  

BLOOMSBURG STATE COLLEGE, PA  
52473 James J. Marshall  
52475 Eileen M. McAlluiffe  
52476 Cheryl Murray  
52477 Robert John Norelli  
52478 Karen Pingar  
52479 Harry A. Strausser III  
52480 Iris Marlene Walsh  
52481 Marian V. Wilson  
52482 Dr. John S. Mulka  

BALDWIN WALLACE COLLEGE, OH  
52484 Robert C. Leibold  
52485 Nicholas A. Colabianchi  
52486 James J. Peters  
52487 Heidi Y. Haggins  
52488 Christina I. Tomsa  
52543 Thomas J. Sotiropoulos  
52544 Fonda Lee Miller  

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS  
52489 Pat Heard  
52490 Rick Gordon  
52491 Terry Sharp  
52492 Maria Hilt  
52493 Dahren White  
52494 Daryl Arbor  
52495 Beth Allard  
52496 Georgia Woods  
52497 Barbara Ward  
52498 Charles Hall  

WHEATON COLLEGE, IL  
52499 Emory A. Griffin  
52500 Amy Loretto Brown  
52501 Gary N. Larson  
52502 Wendy Jean Porte  
52503 Kevin A. Rynbrandt  
52504 Karleen Marie Lee  
52505 Susan Kaye Plecucu  

STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY, TX  
52507 Jim Johnson  
52508 Lois Lancaster  
52509 Joni McClellan  
52510 Sherry A. James  
52511 Ronda Basye  
52512 Darrell Yarborough  
52513 Robin Alexia Mathews  
52514 Diana Gural  

EASTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
52515 Ralph C. Hoover  
52516 Mark T. Lisi  
52516 Mark T. Lisi  
52517 Jacquelyne Hajeris Snell  

OHIO NORTHERN UNIVERSITY  
52526 Kelly Marcia Castle  
52527 Debbie Wilcox  
52528 Catherine Jo-Ann Hanlin  
52529 Tammie Marie Riley  
52530 Patricia Ann Wooster  
52531 Gil S. Weithman  

EASTERN NEW MEXICO UNIV.  
52532 Keith Townsend  
52533 Synnye Lynn Conaway  
52534 John R. Kline, Jr.  

MONMOUTH COLLEGE  
52535 Laurie Joseph  
52536 Evan Nappan  
52537 Joanne Di Napoli  
52538 Carolyn Michelle Jones  
52539 Robin Ann Bickell  
52540 Jodi Kay Leitstein  

OTTERBEIN COLLEGE, OH  
52541 Kathy Jenkins  
52542 Rebecca Leigh Sebring  

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - LA CROSSE  
52507 Kim Nofsinger  
52508 Kristine E. Aasen
ADVANCED DEGREES

HIGHEST DISTINCTION

Idaho GAMMA
William N. Corbin (Inst., D, IS)

Louisiana ZETA
Craig D. Passman (D)

Minnesota MU
Deborah J.M. Knutson (IS)

Missouri ETA
Joseph William Chapman (D)
Robert B. Pierce (D)

South Dakota ZETA
Bruce Edward Kinder (D)

Texas ALPHA ZETA
Larry L. Wats (D, IS)

Washington ALPHA
William D. Hochberg (D, IS)

Washington EPSILON
James C. Clymer (D, IS)

Washington, THETA
Tim O'Connell (IS)
Brent Stratton (D, IS)
Donald W. Taylor (D)

SPECIAL DISTINCTION

Arkansas THETA
Travis Berry (IS)
Gary McAllister (IS)
Caryn Short (IS)

North Carolina ZETA
Terry W. Cole (Inst.)

North Dakota DELTA
Marian Cady Kirk (IS)

Washington THETA
Diane Echelbarger (IS)
Jennifer J. Falk (IS)
Charles Harwood (D, IS)
Megan Salzman (IS)

View ... from page 11

...ing, program support, and judging. Speeches on the arts and sciences of persuasion, audience appeal, and speech criticism.

A closely related area needing attention is that of public relations and publicity. Include in this our own fraternal relations in terms of recognition and support of efforts to build the fraternity. At the national convention there has usually been one alumni award. Many times the recipient has not been present to receive it, and the award soon passes from memory. We need more alumni awards, perhaps in various areas such as business, law, politics, education. And the provinces might establish a program of alumni awards.

Also, the undergraduate chapter might make better use of the honorary member status provided for in our constitution. And, perhaps, we might copy some of the ideas of college athletic programs in establishing undergraduate chapter awards for "most valuable," "greatest improvement," or others; certainly forensic minds should be able to show some imaginative ideas.

And why not awards at province and national conventions for the chapter with the most registrations, the one which came the greatest distance, the one with the highest percentage of members in attendance. These have often been items in The Key, but a little emphasis and flair would not be misplaced.

An extra special blue-ribbon award at each national for the province which has the highest percentage for former chapters receiving new charter at re-activated chapters would be appropriate.

The task of restoring chapters will not be an easy task; it ought to call forth the best efforts of a fraternal
organization, with all that implies. A real test of the "Art of Persuasion, Beautiful and Just" will be our ability to persuade former chapters to reactivate.

John M. Burt
Bloomington, IL

Editorial . . . from page 5

year:
1. The length of the report is not the first consideration. A few brief paragraphs can convey pride in your chapter's achievements and report goals which are being attempted on your campus.

2. If your time as a reporter or sponsor is limited, simply record a few facts that you would like included in your report and the editors will finalize the message from your chapter.

3. Make the story as inclusive as possible by mentioning as many participants and achievements as you can meaningfully discuss in the report. Consider the possibility of submitting more frequent reports with a different focus on emphasis in each story.

4. Give emphasis to special campus and province projects which may spark the interest of others within the national fraternity.

5. When possible, highlight events and achievements with pictures which are labeled accurately with correct spelling of names. Dr. Widvey appreciates receiving sharp, black and white, glossy or smooth matte pictures.

6. Think about the projected publication of your report and record events in language which will accurately record your activities.

7. Humanize the chapter message with humorous incidents or details which may help us to laugh together while working and competing.

8. Try to reveal your best self and the best image of your chapter in the report. The news should demonstrate good taste and ethical dimensions essential in responsible communication.

9. As the report is evaluated, ask if it says what you want it to communicate about your chapter. Welcome help and insight from colleagues.

10. Remember that the chapter report is a communicative experience which should be meaningful to the sender and the intended receivers of the message which you want to convey.

11. Share a report from your chapter during the coming academic year.

FINANCIAL REPORT
1979-1980

RECEIPTS
Certificates, Decals, Misc. Income, Membership Fees $ 8,274.06
Chapter Fees 1,725.00
Forensic 184.00
Charter Fees 60.00
Wooden Key and Triangle 25.00
PKD Keys and Rings 539.72
1979 National Convention 162.30
1980 Province Conventions, Medals & Certificates 325.00
Refund from Questions Committee 156.85
Repayment of NSF Checks 61.50
Bank Balance July 31, 1979 8,895.93
$20,409.36

EXPENDITURES
Forensic $ 1,601.62
PKD Keys and Rings 270.27
Secretary's Office and Supplies 3,454.72
Postage, Telephone and Printing 953.20
National President's Office 300.00
Question's Committee 541.14
Accountant's Fee 50.00
Returned NSF Checks 137.00
1980 National Convention 621.00
Purchase of Certificate of Deposit 5,000.00
Petty Cash 73.63
Bank Balance July 31, 1980 7,406.78
$20,409.36

ASSETS ON HAND
Savings Account Including Interest $ 543.64
Six-Month Certificate of Deposit 13,104.26
90-Day Certificate of Deposit 5,000.00
Bank Balance July 31, 1980 7,406.78
TOTAL $26,054.68

(Continued, page 23)
From the Editor...

I have attended a meeting of the National Council of Pi Kappa Delta. I am impressed! The election process has provided us with a group of competent people. As one would expect from a group sharing forensic activity backgrounds, there is much talk, occasional argument, and effective discussion and sound decisions are reached. It was a meeting in which the best ideas prevailed and personal agenda were set aside. Our host was Tony Allison, Member of the Council, and his Pi Kappa Delta Chapter at Cameron University. Thanks Tony! I have learned what is meant by Southern hospitality.

Three decisions of this council should make Pi Kappa Delta a stronger organization. The first established a professional concerns session to be held in conjunction with the National Convention. For the Gatlinburg Convention, the day before contest events were chosen. While this may not secure the largest participation or audience at this convention, it establishes a precedent for us to follow. The second significant action focuses on expanding the opportunities for communication within Pi Kappa Delta through conferences with province governors. The aim is to increase the impact of good programs and ideas beyond the provinces where they are initiated and to generate leadership from within the membership of our fraternity. The third action created a committee to work on site selection, both for choosing a site for the 1983 convention and for improving the approach to site selection for the future. I hope they have your support.

Pi Kappa Deltans will find Gatlinburg and the Great Smoky Mountains an interesting area. I drove over there from our National Council Meeting in Lawton, Oklahoma. The trip by car puts one in touch with desert, forest, farms, flatlands, hills, and mountains. You'll cross the rivers and find the towns that country music celebrates. You'll see the contrasts of the rural South and the spreading industrialization. That contrast is evident in the short drive from Knoxville to Gatlinburg. Be warned, the tourist industry is there in full force. For five miles before you enter Great Smoky Mountains National park from the Knoxville direction, the road is lined with attractions to amaze you and every sort of food service from elegant restaurant to sandwich shop. Gatlinburg has excellent accommodations. While the River Terrace Motel offers the most economical rates in the area because we have guaranteed them guests, there are a number of other motels within easy walking distance. This means there should be a place to stay for everyone who wants to be there.

We found motels were abundant and rooms available without reservations on the routes we traveled in August. We're convinced that Gatlinburg will be a great place for a convention, both going and coming back.

One of our "Points of View" in this issue offers a student debater's criticism of debate practices which have developed. Cheryl Smith thinks that CEDA debate and audience debates could bring some improvement. The Forensic needs the reaction of others. There are many elements in debate practice which are not inherent flaws, and some practices seen as flaws by one person may be regarded as strengths by others. I would like to have some responses to Cheryl Smith for the Winter edition of The Forensic.
One of the complaints I have heard from Pi Kappa Deltans is the absence of an annual PKD national tournament. Some say that having one would kill province activities. Perhaps we should consider an alternative: In the years without a National Convention we could sponsor a tournament of province champions. Such a tournament held on a campus in the central United States would provide an opportunity for PKD national competition and awards each year. In the province tournament years, it would keep expenses low for many chapters and might be a less costly national event if it could be hosted by a campus. The opportunity for competition beyond the province might encourage increased participation at province meets.

I have resolved to have The Forensic out on time this year. The National Council approved a change in the dating. The October issue is now the "Fall" issue, January becomes "Winter," March becomes "Spring," and May becomes "Summer." This is not the first change in designated dates. Volume 1, Number 1 carries a February date. Date changes were common until 1930. The new designation is a response to changes in school calendars and practices in PKD. With a late March or early April convention, it becomes impossible to have the editing and printing completed for May delivery. Using less precise datelines will also allow an editor to do his work at "slack" times in the school year. We want you to understand the reasons for the change.

Finally let me echo Bob Derryberry. We want and appreciate your chapter news. We'll need pictures to add more interest. Those who have been consistent in reporting continue to have our thanks. We want to hear from the rest of you!

Financial . . . from page 21

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:
This is to certify that I have examined the books and records of Pi Kappa Delta Forensic Fraternity for the period of August 1, 1979, to July 31, 1980, inclusive. The foregoing statement is prepared in conjunction with Theodore O. H. Karl, according to established from and is a true and correct report of the financial status as of this date.

August 7, 1980

Dianne Mayhew, C.P.A.

ARTICLES NEEDED

We need good articles based on research. We would welcome your material on any area of debate or individual events. Submit articles to:

Prof. Walter Murrish, Associate Editor
The Forensic
Communication Studies Department
University of Missouri at Kansas City
Kansas City, Missouri 64110
"POINTS OF VIEW"

DON'T JUST TALK TO A FEW PEOPLE!
SEND YOUR POINT OF VIEW ON ANY CONTROVERSIAL ISSUE TO:

Professor Harold Widvey, Editor
The Forensic
Speech Department
South Dakota State University
Brookings, South Dakota 57007

WE'LL PRESENT YOUR IDEA TO ALL PI KAPPA DELTANS!

We Need Chapter News for Upcoming Editions of

The FORENSIC

We would like material by these dates:

Nov. 5, 1980, Winter Issue
Jan. 15, 1981, Spring Issue
Mar. 25, 1981, Summer Issue

Send to:
Prof. Bob Derryberry, Associate Editor
The Forensic
Speech Department
Quachita Baptist University
Arkadelphia, Arkansas 71923

We need pictures, Black and White Glossy Prints.